#### Evidence tables cardiomyopathy surveillance

## WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?

Sorensen et al. Late anthracycline cardiotoxicity after childhood cancer: a prospective longitudinal study. Cancer 97:1991-8, 2003

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-upStudy designProspectivelongitudinal cohortstudyTreatment era1970-1990Follow-upFirst evaluation:ALL mean 6.2 ±2.0Wilms mean 6.7±3.7Second evaluation:ALL mean 10.3±2.1Wilms mean 11.1±4.7	Type and number of participantsALL 101/120 includedWilms 97/110 included2 Echo's, mean 4 years apart.Diagnoses (%) 101 ALL83 Wilms TumorAge at diagnosis ALL mean 4.8 +/-2.7 Wilms mean 4.1 +/-2.3Age at follow-up First evaluation: 	Chemotherapy         Anthracyclines 100%         ALL mean 180 +/-73 mg/m2         WT mean 301 +/-78 mg/m2         Radiotherapy         Not reported         Surgery only         none         HSCT         Not reported	Outcome definitionsComprehensive echo.FS, LVPWS, LVPWD, LVID, circumferentialfiber shortening (VCF), ESWS, SVI (stress- velocity index)ResultsAll indices were worse in ALL and wilms compared to controlsMultivariable linear regression FS evaluation 2:Anthracycline dose per 100 mg: B -1.77 (- 2.7, -0.9)Wilms vs ALL B 0.55 (0.12, 0.97)Follow up/year B -0.16 (-2.74, 0.69) Age treatment/year -0.03 (-0.39, 0.07)Height at evaluation 2 per SD B -0.09 (-1.08, 0.22)Female vs Male B -0.73 (-2.07, 0.60)	Homogeneous populations:ALL and Wilm'sEssentially comparing high dose vs.low-dose anthracycline with noheterogeneity <b>Risk of bias</b> A. Selection bias: Low riskReason: >88% included, nodifference in gender or stage ofdisease between included andoriginal cohort.B. Attrition bias: low riskReason: low drop out betweenevaluation 1 and evaluation 2.Unclear how many were lost tofollow-up from the full cohort atevaluation 1.C. Detection bias: low riskReason: sonographers were
	Second evaluation: ALL mean 14.8 ± 3.7		Difference FS (evaluation 1-2): Anthracycline dose per 100 mg: B -1.48 (- 2.4, -0.5)	blinded

V	Wilms mean 16.3 ± 4.6	Wilms vs ALL B -0.02 (-1.61, 2.03)	D. Confounding: low risk
		Follow up/year B -0.01 (-0.25, 0.23)	Reason: Multivariable regression
<u>C</u>	Controls (if applicable)	Age treatment/years 0.18 (-0.09, 0.45)	adjusted for sex, follow-up, age
1	100 normal children	Height at evaluation 2 per SD B -0.19 (-0.87, 0.49)	during treatment. Did not mention chest RT dose.
		Female vs Male B -1.38 (-2.78, 0.03)	
		Average FS E1 and E2 to avoid regression to the mean: -0.02 (-0.20, 0.18)	

Kremer et al. Frequency and risk factors of subclinical cardiotoxicity after anthracycline therapy in children: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 13:819-29, 2002

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design Review of Frequency and Risk Factors of anthracycline- induced sub <i>clinical</i> cardiotoxicity Medline: 1966-2001 >50 children/study <u>Follow-up</u> Range across studies 0.1-23 years	Type and number of participants25 articles included (2563 patients)Limitations in 14 studies: Missing info Non-rep. populations Non-original research10 studies with RF analyses6 studies which defined an abnormal SF with validity score>5	Chemotherapy8 studies doxorubicin2 studies daunorubicin15 studies combination11 studies mean/median dose<300 mg/m2	Outcome definitionsAbnormal FS (<28 to <30%, 15 studies) or EF, VCFc, afterload (i.e. ESWS) or SVIFrequency of abnormal SF (6 studies with validity score >5) <300 mg/m2 (0-15.2%) >300 mg/m2 (15.5%-27.8%)Risk factor analysis for abnormal FS/EFSteinherz (1991) N=201: Anth – median 450 (200-1275) >cumulative dose x f/up, mediastinal radiation (no dose effect calculation)	Several studies with associations with age and other indices (ie: ESWS, SVI, wall thickness) <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> high risk in most studies, low risk in 4 studies Reason: representative sample in only 4/25 included studies. <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> unclear Reason: not reported if all patients had outcome assessment in the included studies. <u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear
			<u>Silber (1993) N=150:</u>	

<u>Diagnoses (%)</u> Not reported	Anth – mean 307 (50-750) >anthracycline dose, <age at="" female="" sex<="" th="" tx,=""><th>Reason: blinding of investigators not mentioned</th></age>	Reason: blinding of investigators not mentioned
<u>Age at diagnosis</u> Range 0-28.9 years <u>Age at follow-up</u> Range 3.9–36.9 years	Sorensen 1997 >age at tx Lipshultz (1995) N=87: Anth- median 390 (224-550) >dosage in w3 wks x diagnosis >cumulative dose, <age at="" dx<="" td=""><td><u>D. Confounding:</u> unclear Reason: multivariable regression was performed in the individual studies, however the covariates used for adjustments were not mentioned in the review.</td></age>	<u>D. Confounding:</u> unclear Reason: multivariable regression was performed in the individual studies, however the covariates used for adjustments were not mentioned in the review.
	<u>Nysom (1998) N=189:</u> Anth range 0-550 >cumulative dose	

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?					
Kremer et al. Frequen	icy and risk factors of anthracy	cline-induced clinical he	eart failure in children: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 13:503-1	2, 2002	
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	
Study design Review of Frequency and Risk Factors of anthracycline- induced <i>clinical</i> heart failure <u>Medline search:</u> 1966-2000	71 articles reviewed (12507 anthracycline treated children) Limitations in many: Missing information Lack of risk factor analysis Non-representative populations	Chemotherapy Cumulative anthracycline dose range across studies: 50-1275 mg/m2 <u>Radiotherapy</u> Range across studies 0-32% of the participants (all fields)	<u>Outcome definitions</u> Heart failure as reported by the authors Risk factor analysis within studies with 2x2 table Risk factor analysis across studies with multivariable regression with backward selection on p value (p<0.10). <u>Results</u> Frequency of heart failure 0-16%	Review is driven by anthracycline exposure Few with XRT dose quantification and none with careful heart dosimetry calculation Unclear if lack of association with age in the other 9 studies was because age was	

<u>Follow-up</u> Range across	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u> Various	Surgery only	Multivariable regression with backward selection on p value:	not evaluated or non- significant.
studies 0.9-7.3 years	<u>Age at diagnosis</u> Range across studies: 0.8- 15 years <u>Age at follow-up</u> Not reported	none <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	Predictors evaluated: study design, validity score, doxorubicin vs daunorubicin, cumulative anthracycline dose, maximal anthracycline dose within 1 week. Selected predictors: maximal anthracycline dose within 1 week >45 mg/m2 RR 5.8 (95% CI 1.7-14.1) Daunorubicin vs Doxorubicin: RR 3.1 (95% CI 0.6-11.2), not significant	Risk of bias A. Selection bias: high risk Reason: selection bias was present in 8 the included studies B. Attrition bias: unclear Reason: loss to follow-up was
	<u>Controls (if applicable)</u> -		Univariate analysis (heart failure): Risk with chest RT reported in 4 out of 10 studies (3 out of	not clearly stated in individual studies included in the review
			<b>4 significant):</b> Gilladoga (1976) N=50 XRT to heart: RR 5.2 (1.6-16.8) Dearth (1984) N=116 XRT to heart: RR13.5 (3.4-53.3) Bu'Lock (1996) N=226 XRT to heart: 11.1 (3.7-33.5) Krischer (1997) N=6493 XRT to heart: RR 0.7 (0.3-1.9)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> High risk Reason: Only 1 included study clearly defined heart failure. None reported blinding of investigators for risk factors for heart failure. <u>D. Confounding:</u> High risk Reason: Only 2 of the included studies did a multivariable adjusted risk factor analysis.
			Risk with anthracycline dose in 5 out of 10 studies: Goorin (1981), N=382 ≤500 mg/m2 (Ref) >500 mg/m2: RR 4.8 (1.6-14) Dearth (1984), N=112 ≤400 mg/m2 (Ref) >400 mg/m2: RR 26.1 (3.2-210) Sallan (1984), N=379 Maximal dose/wk <45 mg/m2 (Ref)	

Maximal dose/wk ≥45 mg/m2	
RR: 7.7 (2.1-28.1)	
Godoy (1997), N=120	
≤300 mg/m2 (Ref)	
>300 mg/m2 – HR 1.5 (0.3-3.9), NS	
Krischer (1997)	
<500 mg/m2 (Ref)	
≥500 mg/m2: RR 2.6 (1.1-6)	
1 out of 10 studies:	
Age <4 years as predictor of CHF	
Godoy (1997), N=69	
RR = 11.7 (1.4-96.4)	

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?					
<b>Feijen et al.</b> Risk and	Temporal Changes of He	art Failure Among 5-Year Childhood Cancer	Survivors: a DCOG-LATER Study. JAHA, 2019; 8: e0	09122	
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias	
<u>Study design</u> Cohort study <u>Treatment era</u> 1963-2001 <u>Follow-up</u> Median 19.9 years (range 5-50.4)	Type and number of participants5-year Childhood cancer survivorsn=5845DiagnosesLeukemias, myeloproliferative	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Chemotherapy+/-surgery 2882 (49.3%) Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy +/- surgery 1854 (31.7%) Cardiotoxic chemotherapy only 2304 (39.4%) Cardiotoxic chemotherapy and chest RT 434 (7.4%)	Outcome definitions Heart failure CTCAE (2010 version) grade 3-5 <u>Results</u> N=116/5845 (2%) symptomatic heart failure Cumulative incidence 40 years after diagnosis 4.4% (95% CI 3.4%–5.5%) in all survivors Cumulative incidence among survivors treated in the	Overlap Feijen 2015 and 2 Feijen 2019 studies Potential heart failure was validated following a standardized method 3 cases without potential cardiotoxic treatment (all	

	ompared with curvivors treated earlier ilarav	nredisnose to heart failure
s 3099 (53 0%) te	est, P=0.05).	Duchenne muscular
191 (8 4%)	Nortality due to heart failure decreased in the	dystrophy, noncompaction
2 1402 (24 0%)	nore recent treatment periods (Gray test,	cardiomyopathy,
(12 3%)	=0.02).	and Tetralogy of Fallot).
() (94 anthracyclines		
sing)	umulative incidence among all survivors at age	Long-term follow-up
50	0 years 5.3% (95% CI 3.7-6.9); increasing with	
edian dose 40 (IQR 20–	igner attained age	<u>Risk of bias</u>
	Aultiveriable analyzad	A. Selection bias: Low risk
5660 (96.8%)	Aultivariable Cox proportional bazards model	Reason: 5845/6165 eligible
.4%)	vith attained age as the time scale. The model	survivors (94.8%) included
.0%) w	vas adjusted for age at childhood cancer	
(7 mitoxantrone yes di	iagnosis, sex, and calendar year of childhood	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk
Ca	ancer diagnosis.	Reason: cardiac follow-up
la (introvanaus)		participants
H (Intravenous)	IR (95% CI)	
(°)	ge at primary childhood diagnosis (per year)	C. Detection bias: Unclear
%) 54	(0.6-0.5)	Reason: not reported if
70) V	ear of childhood cancer diagnosis (per year)	outcome assessors were
1.	.0 (1.01–1.1)	blinded
%) A	nthracycline (per 1 mg/m2, splines) significant	
re	esult (no HR provided)	D. Confounding: Low risk
%) N	<pre>/itoxantrone (per 1 mg/m2, splines) significant</pre>	Reason: all important
re	esult (no HR provided)	into account
C	yclophosphamide (per 100 mg/m2, splines)	
%) SI	hest radiotherany (reference -no chast	
	11231 1 autometapy (1212121102 – 110 chest	
l ra	adiotherapy):	
	s 3099 (53.0%) tr 491 (8.4%) 12 1402 (24.0%) (12.3%) %) (94 anthracyclines sing) 2dian dose 40 (IQR 20- 5660 (96.8%) 4%) 0%) ) (7 mitoxantrone yes ) de (intravenous) %) %) %) %) %) %) %) %) %) %	s 3099 (53.0%)test, P=0.05).491 (8.4%)Mortality due to heart failure decreased in the more recent treatment periods (Gray test, P=0.02).(12.3%)Cumulative incidence among all survivors at age 50 years 5.3% (95% CI 3.7-6.9); increasing with higher attained ageedian dose 40 (IQR 20-Multivariable analyses: Multivariable Cox proportional hazards model with attained age as the time scale. The model was adjusted for age at childhood cancer diagnosis, sex, and calendar year of childhood cancer diagnosis.(1 (intravenous))HR (95% CI) Sex (reference=male) 0.9 (0.6-1.3) Year of childhood cancer diagnosis (per year) 1.0 (1.01-1.1)%)Anthracycline (per 1 mg/m2, splines) significant result (no HR provided)%)Mitoxantrone (per 1 mg/m2, splines) significant result (no HR provided)%)Cyclophosphamide (per 100 mg/m2, splines) significant result (no HR provided)

and malignant melanomas 88 (1.5%)	Vincristine	Radiotherapy potentially involving the heart 1.0 (0.4–2.0)
Other and unspecified malignant neoplasms 7 (0.1%)	None 1642 (28.1%) Any 4164 (71.2%) Unknown 39 (0.7%)	Radiotherapy involving the heart <20 Gy 2.0 (1.1–3.6) Radiotherapy involving the heart ≥20 Gy 2.1 (1.1–4.0)
<u>Age at diagnosis</u> Median 5.5 years (IQR 2.8-10.5)	Radiotherapy Radiotherapy+/-surgery 445 (7.6%)	Cisplatin (per 1 mg/m2) 1.0 (1.0–1.0) Ifosfamide (per 1 mg/m2) 1.0 (1.0–1.0) Vincristine (per 1 mg/m2) 1.0 (1.0–1.0)
Age at follow-up Median 27.3 years (range 5.1-65.2)	Cardiotoxic chemotherapy and chest RT 434 (7.4%) Radiotherapy field involving the heart	No significant interaction term between anthracycline and radiotherapy involving the heart identified
Cardiovascular risk factors Not reported	No chest radiotherapy 4575 (78.3%) Radiotherapy potentially involving the heart 588 (10.1%) Radiotherapy involving the heart <20 Gy	
Cardioactive Meds Not reported <u>Controls (if</u> <u>applicable)</u>	275 (4.7%) Radiotherapy involving the heart ≥20 Gy 363 (6.2%) Unknown 44 (0.7%)	
NA	<u>Surgery</u> Surgery only n=587 (10%))	
	<u>HSCT</u> Not reported	

Dietz et al. Solid orga	n transplantation after treatme	nt for childhood cancer: a retros	pective cohort analysis from the Childhood Cance	r Survivor Study. 2019
The lancet oncology				
Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design retrospective cohort of individuals who survived at least 5 years after childhood cancer, from one of 25 institutions in the USA. Exclusion if solid organ transplantation before cancer Linkage to the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network—a database of all US organ transplants. <u>Treatment era</u> between Jan 1, 1970, and Dec 31, 1986, <u>Follow-up</u>	Type and number of participants13318 from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS)Female 6177 (46·4%) Male 7141 (53·6%)Diagnoses Leukaemia 4502 (33·8%) CNS tumour 1639 (12·3%) Hodgkin lymphoma 1846 (13·9%), Non-Hodgkin lymphoma 1022 (7·7%), Kidney (Wilms') tumour 1162 (8·7%), Neuroblastoma 866 (6·5%), Soft tissue sarcoma 1167 (8·8%), Bone tumour 1114 (8·4%)Age at diagnosis diagnosed < 21 years of age Median 6 years (IQR 3–13) $0-4y$ 5295 (39·8%) $5-9y$ 2922 (21·9%) $10-14y$ 2687 (20·2%) $15-20y$ 2414 (18·1%)	Chemotherapy Anthracycline 4574/11548 (39·6%) Data about other chemotherapy are taken into account (of whom cyclophosphamide) <u>Radiotherapy</u> Heart, mean dose, Gy None 3853/11 320 (34·0%) >0 to 10 4847/11 320 (34·0%) >10 to 20 939/11 320 (8·3%) >20 to 30 627/11 320 (5·5%) >30 1054/11 320 (9·3%) Unknown 1998 <u>Surgery</u> -	Outcome definitionsTwo primary endpoints for each type of organ transplant : date of first registration of a transplant candidate on the waiting list for an organ & the date of the first transplant received.Cumulative incidence of being placed on a waiting list or receiving a solid organ transplantation, hazard ratios (HRs) for identified risk factors, and 5-year survival following transplantation.Results -37 heart transplantations, 25 waiting list -Age at heartx: median 28, IQR 21-32 years -Time to heartx: median 17, IQR 13-26 years -Cumulative incidence of heartx or waiting list at 35 years from diagnosis: 0.49% (0.36–0.62) (2 <sup>nd</sup> place after kidney) -40% with heartx had received > 450 mg/m2Risk factors for heartx in multivariable Cox regression (95% CI) -Anthracycline dose, mg/m2 (none=ref) >0-150: HR 8.4 (2.2-32.6) >150-300: HR 5.0 (1.3-19.5) >300-450: HR 26.5 (9.9-71.0)	Data about anthracycline : total anthracycline dose (doxorubicin equivalent dose) was calculated with doxorubicin doses equivalent to daunorubicin doses and idarubicin doses multiplied by 3. Data about radiotherapy (unknown for 15% = 1998 patients) reconctructed doses with age-specific computational phantoms, mean organ doses were estimated by averaging all the calculation points in the organ with blocking taken into account using standarised blocking by field <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Low risk Reason: All eligible survivors were included <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk Reason: Outcome was assessed in all patients
			>300-450: HR 26.5 (9.9-71.0)	C. Detection bias: Unclear

		-		-
Not reported,	Age at follow-up		>450: HR 94.2 (35.3-251.2)	Reason: Blinding not reported
median ±23 years	Median 39, IQR 33–46 yrs		-Mean heart dose, Gray (none=ref)	
	<20y 612/13 311 (4·6%)		>0-10: HR 2.2 (1.0-4.8), p=0.050	D. Confounding: Low risk
	20–29y 989/13 311 (7·4%)		>10-20: HR 1.9 (0.5-7.3), p=0.33	Reason: Multivariable adjusted
	30–39y 5147/13 311		>20-30: HR 6.1 (1.8-20.6), p=0.0035	analysis
	(38·7%)		>30: HR 19.7 (7.1-54.2), p<0.0001	
	40–49y 4805/13 311		-Cyclophosphamide, mg/m2 (none=ref)	
	(36·1%)		>0-10,000: HR 0.3 (0.1-0.6), p=0.0018	
	≥50y 1758/13 311 (13·2%)	13 311 (13·2%)	>10,000-20,000: HR 0.8 (0.4-1.7), p=0.57	
			>20,000: HR 1.3 (0.5-3.7), p=0.61	
	Controls (if applicable)		-Model also adjusted for sex, age at diagnosis,	
			cisplatin, busulphan but HR not shown (not	
			significant)	
			-5-year survival after heartx: 80.6% (95% CI	
			63·6–90·3)	

Bates et al. Therapy-Related Cardiac Risk in Childhood Cancer Survivors: An Analysis of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. JCO 2019 1090-1102

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				e.g. risk of bias
Study design	Type and number of	Anthracycline dose,	Outcome definitions	Large cohort study,
Multi-institutional	<u>participants</u>	mg/m2, n(%)	Cardiac disease: any of the following conditions: CAD, HF, valvular	no report on EF etc
retrospective cohort	24,214, five years	None 11,615 (49.6)	disease, pericardial disease and arrhuthmias (grade 3-5) according	Self-reported
study	survivors of common	1-249 6,305 (35.6)	to the NCICTC	outcomes
	childhood	≥ 250 3,455 (14.8)		Cardiac disease was
Treatment era	cancers diagnosed		<u>Results</u>	self-reported
1970-1999	before age 21 years at	Cisplatin, mg/m2, n(%)	- Cumulative incidence of cardiac disease after 30 years: 4.8%	
		None 20,132 (92.6)	(95% CI, 4.3 to 5.2).	Risk of bias

<u>Follow-up</u> Median follow-up 20.3, range 5.0-39.3 years	any of the 27 participating institutions across the United States and Canada <u>Diagnoses n(%)</u> Leukemia 7,282 (38.4) CNS tumors 4,313 (15.7) Hodgkin lymphoma	1-299 558 (2.2) ≥ 300 1,300 (5.2) Alkylating agents, n(%) Yes 11,929 (54.8) No 10,094 (45.2) <u>Radiotherapy</u> Chest RT in 51.6% Maan cardiac PT doco	<ul> <li>The overall AER compared with the sibling population was 1.28 events per 1,000 person-years.</li> <li><u>Multivariable piecewise exponentional models estimating RR(95%</u> <u>CI) for Grade 3 to 5 for Any Cardiac Disease / HF</u></li> <li>-Also adjusted for attained age (spline), race, smoking history, year of diagnosis.</li> <li>Sex Male vs. female 0.9 (0.8 to 1.1) / 0.7 (0.5 to 0.9) Race/ethnicity</li> </ul>	A. Selection bias: Low risk Reason: 99.4% of the original cohort of childhood cancer survivors were included. B. <u>Attrition bias:</u> low risk
	2,985 (10.9) Non-Hodgkin lymphoma 1,976 (7.2) Wilms tumor 2,141 (7.8) Neuroblastoma 1,837 (6.7) Soft tissue sarcoma 1,693 (6.2) Bone cancer 1,987 (7.2) <u>Age at diagnosis</u> Median: 7 years (0-20.9)	Gy, n(%) None 9,569 (48.4) <0-9.9 7,399 (32.6) 10-19.9 1,912 (8.3) 20-29.9 1,364 (5.5) ≥ 30 1,285 (5.2) <u>HSCT</u> not reported	BNR VS. WNR1.7 (1.2 to 2.4) / 2.0 (1.3 to 3.1)Hispanic vs. WNH $1.0 (0.7 \text{ to } 1.5) / 0.7 (0.4 \text{ to } 1.3)$ Other vs. WNH $1.1 (0.7 \text{ to } 1.8) / 1.8 (1.1 \text{ to } 2.9)$ History of smokingYes vs. no $1.1 (0.9 \text{ to } 1.3) / 1.0 (0.7 \text{ to } 1.3)$ Mean cardiac RT dose, Gy $0.1-9.9 \text{ vs. None}$ $0.8 (0.6 \text{ to } 1.1) / 0.7 (0.5 \text{ to } 1.0)$ $10-19.9 \text{ vs. None}$ $2.2 (1.6 \text{ to } 2.9) / 1.7 (1.1 \text{ to } 2.7)$ $20-29.9 \text{ vs. None}$ $2.8 (2.0 \text{ to } 3.8) / 2.9 (1.9 \text{ to } 4.6)$ $\geq 30 \text{ vs. None}$ $6.4 (4.8 \text{ to } 8.5) / 6.7 (4.6 \text{ to } 9.9)$ Cumulative anthracycline dose, mg/m2 $1-249 \text{ vs. None}$ $1.7 (1.1 \text{ to } 2.5) / 2.9 (1.6 \text{ to } 5.3)$	who dropped out of the study group were censored in time to event analysis. <u>C. Detection bias</u> : unclear Reason: unclear if outcome assessors were blinded.
	Age at follow-up Median: 27.5 years (range, 5.6 to 58.9 years) Controls (if applicable) 5046 untreated siblings		$\geq 250 \text{ vs. None} \qquad 2.4 (1.7 \text{ to } 3.5) / 6.5 (4.0 \text{ to } 10.6)$ Alkylating agents Yes vs. no $\qquad 1.2 (1.0 \text{ to } 1.4) / 1.1 (0.8 \text{ to } 1.4)$ Interaction-Anthracycline dose, $0 \text{ mg/m2}$ ; age at diagnosis, years $< 4 \text{ vs.} > 13 \text{ yrs} \qquad 1.1 (0.6 \text{ to } 1.8) / 1.3 (0.6 \text{ to } 2.9)$ $> 4 \text{ to } \le 13 \text{ vs.} > 13 \text{ yrs} \qquad 1.1 (0.8 \text{ to } 1.5) / 1.3 (0.8 \text{ to } 2.2)$ Interaction-Anthracycline dose, $1-249 \text{ mg/m2}$ ; age at diagnosis, years $\le 4 \text{ vs.} > 13 \text{ yrs} \qquad 2.1 (1.3 \text{ to } 3.5) / 2.1 (1.0 \text{ to } 4.2)$	<u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: Adjusted for potential confounders

>4 to $\leq$ 13 vs. > 13yrs 1.4 (0.9 to 2.2) / 1.5 (0.8 to 2.8)
Interaction-Anthracycline dose ≥ 250 mg/m2; age at diagnosis,
years
≤ 4 vs. > 13yrs 4.0 (2.5 to 6.4) / 4.6 (2.7 to 7.9)
> 4 to $\leq$ 13 vs. > 13yrs 2.4 (1.7 to 3.5) / 2.5 (1.7 to 3.8)
-No clinically significant association between age at diagnosis and
cardiac irradiation on the rate of cardiac disease
-Association of anthracycline dose with rate of cardiac disease
was not modified by cardiac RT dose
Thirty-Year Cumulative Incidence % (95%CI) for Grade 3 to 5 for
Any Cardiac Disease/ HF
Sex
Male 4.5 (3.9 to 5.1) / 2.1 (1.7 to 2.5)
Female 5.1 (4.4 to 5.8) / 3.0 (2.5 to 3.6)
Race/ethnicity
White non-Hispanic 4.6 (4.1 to 5.1) / 2.4 (2.0 to 2.7)
Black non-Hispanic 8.4 (5.0 to 11.7) / 5.4 (2.5 to 8.3)
Hispanic 4.1 (2.4 to 5.7) / 2.0 (0.9 to 3.1)
Other 5.2 (2.8 to 7.7) / 4.4 (2.0 to 6.8)
History of smoking
Yes 5.5 (4.6 to 6.4) / 2.6 (2.0 to 3.3)
No 4.4 (3.8 to 4.9) / 2.4 (2.0 to 2.8)
Mean cardiac radiotherapy dose, Gy
None 3.4 (2.6 to 4.2) / 2.5 (1.8 to 3.2)
0.1-9.9 2.6 (2.0 to 3.1) / 1.4 (1.0 to 1.7)
10-19.9 5.8 (4.2 to 7.4) / 2.6 (1.6 to 3.6)
20-29.9 7.7 (5.2 to 10.2) / 4.7 (2.7 to 6.7)
≥ 30 17.3 (14.5 to 20.0) / 6.9 (5.2 to 8.7)
Cumulative anthracycline dose, mg/m2
None 3.7 (3.1 to 4.2) / 1.2 (0.9 to 1.5)

1-249 4.3 (3.2 to 5.4) / 1.9 (1.3 to 2.5)
≥ 250 8.4 (6.9 to 9.9) / 7.2 (5.7 to 8.6)
Alkylating agents
No 3.6 (3.0 to 4.2) / 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2)
Yes 5.9 (5.1 to 6.7) / 3.1 (2.6 to 3.7)
Anthracycline dose, 0 ma/m2: age at diagnosis, years
< 4 yrs 1.2 (0.9 to 1.6) / 0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)
>4 to $\leq 13$ yrs 3.9 (2.5 to 5.3) / 3.1 (1.7 to 4.5)
>13 yrs 5.5 (3.6 to 7.3) / 3.9 (2.3 to 5.5)
Anthracycline dose, 1-249 mg/m2; age at diagnosis, years
< 4 yrs 2.7 (1.8 to 3.6) / 1.6 (0.9 to 2.3)
>4 to $\leq 13$ yrs 2.6 (1.8 to 3.3) / 1.2 (0.7 to 1.6)
>13 yrs 2.2 (1.1 to 3.4) / 1.4 (0.5 to 2.4)
Anthracycline dose $\geq$ 250 mg/m2; age at diagnosis, years
< 4 yrs 4.7 (2.3 to 7.1) / 2.7 (0.8 to 4.5)
>4 to $\leq 13$ yrs 3.8 (2.1 to 5.5) / 2.8 (1.4 to 4.3)
>13 yrs 12.7 (6.9 to 18.5) / 2.1 (0.4 to 3.8)
RR(95%CI) Percentage Volume of Heart Receiving Specific RT
Doses for Any Cardiac Disease / HF
Volume of heart receiving 5 GY when V20 = 0% (V5V20=0%), %
0 <sup>+</sup> vs. No RT to heart 0.8 (0.6 to 1.0) / 0.6 (0.5 to 0.9)
0.1-49.9 vs. No RT to heart 0.7 (0.3 to 1.5) / 0.7 (0.3 to
$\ge 50$ vs. No R1 to heart 1.6 (1.1 to 2.3) / 1.3 (0.8 to 2.2)
,

Volume of heart receiving >20 Gy (V20), %
0‡ vs. No RT to heart 0.9 (0.7 to 1.1) /0.8 (0.6 to 1.0)
0.1-29.9 vs. No RT to heart 2.4 (1.4 to 4.2) / 2.3 (1.1 to 4.8)
30-79.9 vs. No RT to heart 3.3 (2.3 to 4.8) / 3.4 (2.1 to 5.6)
$\geq$ 80 vs. No RT to heart 4.5 (3.5 to 5.7) / 4.5 (3.2 to 6.2)
<i>†Indicates maximum RT dose to heart of 0.1 to 4.9 Gy.</i>
<i>‡Indicates maximum RT dose to heart of 0.1 to 19.9 Gy.</i>
Absolute excess risk in survivors compared with siblings:
Any cardiac disease per 1000 py for 0, 1-249, ≥250 / HF per 1000
py for 0, 1-249, ≥250 <i>mg/m2</i> .
Mean cardiac RT dose, Gy
None 0.09, 0.40, 3.08 / 0.04, 0.47, 2.81
>0-9.9 0.17, 0.67, 2.01 / 0.05, 0.34, 1.85
10-19.9 1.16, 2.26, 4.38 / 0.21, 1.20, 4.05
20-29.9 1.67, 1.79, 8.10 / 0.62, 1.57, 5.39
≥ 30 6.82, 10.3, 13.8 / 2.43, 4.96, 10.5
Volume of heart receiving 5 Gy when maximum heart dose
is < 20 Gy (V5V20=0%), %
No cardiac RT 0.09, 0.40, 3.08 / 0.04, 0.47, 2.81
0* 0.18, 0.52, 2.01 / 0.05, 0.19, 1.87
0.1-49.9 0.31, 0.94, 0.99 / 0.07, 1.08, 1.15
≥ 50 0.52, 1.54, 4.13 / 0.00, 0.78, 3.69
Volume of heart receiving ≥20 Gy (V20), %
0 and dose 0 Gy 0.09, 0.40, 3.08 / 0.04, 0.47, 2.81
0† 0.24, 0.77, 2.22 / 0.05, 0.36, 2.05
0.1-29.9 0.80, 6.25, 4.05 / 0.27, 4.20, 3.13
30-79.9 2.08, 5.02, 8.25 / 0.60, 4.12, 7.50
≥ 80 4.80, 3.68, 9.65 / 1.73, 2.10, 6.60
*Indicates maximum RT dose to heart of 0.1 to 4.9 Gy.
†Indicates maximum RT dose to heart of 0.1 to 19.9 Gy.

*Chellapandian et al.* Congestive heart failure among children with acute leukemia - Leukemia & Lymphoma 2019 385-394

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				e.g. risk of bias
Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Patients with Down
Retrospective	<u>participants</u>	77% of population	CHF according to ICD 9 and 10 codes	syndrome were included (4%
matched cohort	2053 survivors of ALL and	received		of study population)
study from 5	AML	anthracyclines	Results	
tertiary pediatric			Cumulative incidence of CHF after 10 years: 1.7% (ALL) and	<u>Risk of bias</u>
cancer centers in	<u>Diagnoses</u>	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	7.5% (AML)	A. Selection bias: Low risk
Canada	AML and ALL	10.5% received	ALL: 14/32 CHF events (43.8%) within 3 years from cancer	Reason: 96-98% of childhood
Treatment era		CHESCKI	diagnosis	of age included
1992-2010	Age at diagnosis		AML: 9/20 CHF events within 0.5 years from cancer diagnosis	of age included
1552 2010	50% of the population was	Surgery		D. Attrition bio of Low side
Follow up	diagnosed < 4 years of age	-	Risk factors for CHF in multivariable Cox regression	B. Attrition blas: Low risk
<u>Follow-up</u>	No median age was		(prespecified predictors):	Reason: Outcome was
surviving nationts	mentioned	<u>HSCT</u>	ALL CHF n=32	assessed in an patients
without CHF 10 4		-	-Female gender HR 3.26 (95% Cl 1.49-7.14)	
vears (range 5.9-	Age at follow-up		-Age <1 year at cancer diagnosis HR 3.82 (1.09-13.31)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear risk
16.0)	0-24 years after diagnosis		-chest RT yes/no HR 6.26 (2.93-13.4)	Reason: blinding not
			-Cumulative anthra >=250 mg/m2 3.04 (1.41-6.55)	mentioned
	Controls (if applicable)			
	General population cohort		AML CHF N=20	D. Confounding: Low risk
	matched on age and		-Female gender HR 0.99 (not sign)	Reason: multivariable
	geography (postal code)		-Age <1 year at cancer diagnosis HR 0.93 (ns)	important predictors
			-chest RT yes/no HR 4.67 (1.77-12.28)	included.
			-Cumulative anthra >=250 mg/m2 not available as all patients	
			with CHF were treated with doses >=250mg/m2.	

#### WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? Slieker et al. Echocardiographic Assessment of Cardiac Function in Pediatric Survivors of Anthracycline-Treated Childhood Cancer. Circ Imaging 2019;12:e008869 Study design **Participants** Treatment Main outcomes Additional remarks Treatment era Years of follow-up Study design Type and number of Chemotherapy Outcome definitions -Multiple imputation of 36% of Anthracyclines Echo: Structural measurements (Z-scores) biomarker data. 40 imputed echo participants Cross-100% (median dose datasets. 546 asymptomatic CCS treated LVEF ≤50% GLS, CS, twist (continuous) sectional 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup>; IQR 79-Observational with anthracyclines, in CS, twist and 4Ch LS Z-scores (>2SD below mean) -All asymptomatic but 7 were on 300) remission, >3 years after final *Biomarker:* NT-proBNP >178 ng/L, hsTnT >8.81 cardiac meds. Multicenter anthracycline dose and Radiotherapy pg/L routinely followed. alive at 5-Chest directed Results Cohort Still pediatric population. years after diagnosis. Attained n=66 (12%) CCS had lower FS, EF, |GLS|, but higher |CS| and US and age 4-18 years. No CHD or Surgery twist, a thinner IVS, larger EDD, longer IVRT, a familial CMP. No SCT. 52% lower LV mass index, and a lower VCFS compared Not reported males. with healthy controls. HSCT None LVEF ≤50%: 0.8% **Diagnoses** Reduced LS Z-score: 7.7% Dexrazoxane

Recent treatment era. Canada Relatively low cum anth. dose and %RT. Treatment Low %dexrazoxane. era 1996-2012 EF and LS of most CCS were in the normal range. Elevated NT-proBNP: 4.9% Leukemia 53% 5% Follow-up Elevated hsTnT: 1.7% Lymphoma 10% median 8.5 No relation between elevated biomarkers and The relation of age and BSA only to the Wilms tumor 9% years (IQR 6.2 echo abnormalities. z-scores might also guestion the Neuroblastoma 10% - 11.4) calibration of the z-score (body Sarcoma 7% Multivariable linear regression:: composition?) in CCS, although in Other 11% Age at study ( $\beta$  -0.086) and BSA per 0.1m<sup>2</sup> ( $\beta$ controls the relation was less steep. 0.065) correlated to 4CH LS Z-score. Age at diagnosis Not significant: Age at Dx, sex, years since last Time between biomarkers and echo not Median 3.6 years (IQR 2.2 – 6.0) anth dose, chest RT, cum. anth. dose, reported dexrazoxane (data not shown). Age at follow-up

Median 13.8 years	Risk of bias
(IQR 10.6 – 16.2)	A. Selection bias: Unclear risk
<u>Controls (if applicable)</u> 134 local school children; median age 13.6 (IQR 8.7 – 15.6	Reason: Underlying cohort not described. Unclear if those who refused to participate had different characteristics.
	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk, high risk for biomarkers Reason: 36% of participants did not have biomarkers available. Values were imputed to limit bias.
	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Blinding of echo and biomarker assessors was not mentioned
	<u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: Multivariable analysis was adjusted for important confounders

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?					
Feijen et al. Derivation of Anthracycline and Anthraquinone. JAMA oncology, 2019; 5: 864-871					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias	

<u>Study design</u> Cohort study	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> Childhood cancer survivors (>=5 years) n=28423	<u>Chemotherapy</u> -Doxorubicin 9330 (34.8%) Median dose 181 mg/m2 (IQR 119- 320)	Outcome definitions CTCAEv4.03 grade 3-5 restricted to those occurring after cohort entry and by 40 years of age	Overlap Feijen 2015 and 2 Feijen 2019 studies
era 1962-2005 <u>Follow-up</u> Median 20	<u>Diagnoses</u> Leukemia 8740 (36.3%) Lymphoma 5477 (17.7%)	-Daunorubicin 4433 (18%) Median dose 120 mg/m2 (IQR 99-208) -Epirubicin 342 (1.1%) Median dose 300 mg/m2 (IQR 240- 400)	<u>Results</u> -N=399 cardiomyopathy cases (1.4%) -Cumulative incidence by 40 years of age 3.4% (95% Cl 3.1%-3.8%)	If a survivor developed a subsequent cancer before achieving survival for 5 or 10 years, the patient was either excluded from
years (range 5-40) after cancer diagnosis	Brain tumor 4843 (15.7%) Neuroblastoma 2023 (6.6%) Kidney tumor 2547 (8.3%) Soft-tissue sarcoma 2006 (6.5%)	-Idarubicin 241 (1.1%) Median dose 36 mg/m2 (IQR 20-40) -Mitoxantrone 265 (0.9%) Median dose 40 mg/m2 (IQR 26-72)	<u>Multivariable analyses</u> -adjusted for sex, age at diagnosis, chest RT dose, exposure to any other anthracycline or mitoxantrone besides the 2 being compared and stratified by cohort.	the analysis or the treatment was taken into account Long-term follow-up
	Bone tumor 2151 (7.0%) Other malignant neoplasm 636 (2.1%)	N=1857 (7.4%) received >1 type of anthracycline or anthraquinone N=87 (0.4%) received >2 types	-Daunorubicin (HR 95% CI) <150 mg/m2 1.4 (0.9-2.1) 150-299 mg/m2 2.8 (1.7-4.5)	<u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Unclear
	<u>Age at diagnosis</u> Median 6.1 years (range 0- 22.7)	<u>Radiotherapy</u> To the chest: 6240 (21.2%) Median dose 25 Gy (IQR 15-36)	>=300 mg/m2 6.0 (3.8-9.3) -Doxorubicin (HR 95% CI) <150 mg/m2 1.8 (1.2-2.6) 150-299 mg/m2 4.6 (3.3-6.4)	Reason: the original cohort of survivors is not reported
	<u>Age at follow-up</u> Median 27.5 years (range 5.1-40.0)	<u>Surgery</u> Not reported	>=300 mg/m2 12.6 (9.8-16.3) -Daunorubicin to doxorubicin ratio <150 mg/m2 0.8	B. Attrition bias: Low risk Reason: outcome assessed for all
	Cardiovascular risk factors Not reported	HSCT Not reported	>=300 mg/m2 0.5 Mean 0.6 (95% CI 0.4-1.0)	participants <u>C. Detection bias:</u>
	Cardioactive Meds Not reported	Percentages may not match numbers because percentages reflect weighting	-Epirubicin (HR 95% CI)	Unclear

<u>Controls (if applicable)</u> NA	used in the CCSS for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia; reported median values and IQR also reflect weighting. Participants with missing dose data were excluded.	<150 mg/m2 1.9 (0.3-13.7) 150-299 mg/m2 2.4 (0.6-9.9) >=300 mg/m2 6.0 (2.6-13.9) -Doxorubicin (HR 95% Cl) <150 mg/m2 1.5 (0.99-2.2) 150-299 mg/m2 4.2 (3.1-5.7) >=300 mg/m2 11.3 (8.8-14.4) -Epirubicin to doxorubicin ratio <150 mg/m2 1.3 150-299 mg/m2 0.6 >=300 mg/m2 0.5 Mean 0.8 (95%Cl 0.5-2.8) -Linear dose response model 0.8 (95% Cl 0.3-1.4)	Reason: not reported if outcome assessors were blinded <u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: all important prognostic factors were taken into account
		-Idarubicin (HR 95% CI) <150 mg/m2 0 150-299 mg/m2 3.8 (1.5-9.5) >=300 mg/m2 0 -Doxorubicin (HR 95% CI) <150 mg/m2 1.4 (0.9-2.1) 150-299 mg/m2 4.1 (3.0-5.7) >=300 mg/m2 11.1 (8.6-14.1) -Idarubicin to doxorubicin ratio <150 mg/m2 0 150-299 mg/m2 0.9 >=300 mg/m2 0 -Mean and linear dose response model NE	
		-Mitoxantrone (HR 95% CI) <150 mg/m2 4.2 (1.8-9.9) 150-299 mg/m2 4.2 (1.6-11.4)	

>=300 mg/m2 48.3 (24.2-96.5)
-Doxorubicin (HR 95% CI)
<150 mg/m2 1.5 (1.0-2.3)
150-299 mg/m2 4.4 (3.2-6.0)
>=300 mg/m2 11.6 (9.1-15.0)
-Mitoxantrone to doxorubicin ratio
<150 mg/m2 2.8
150-299 mg/m2 1.0
>=300 mg/m2 4.2
Mean 10.5 (95% Cl 6.2-19.1)
-Linear dose response model 13.8 (95% CI 8.0-21.6), nonlinearity beyond >=300mg/m2.
-Chest RT (based on model with daunorubicin):
15-34.9Gy vs none HR 2.1 (95%Cl, 1.6-2.8)
≥35Gy vs none HR3.5 (95%Cl, 2.5-4.8)
-No evidence of an interaction between chest RT and doxorubicin (P = .39), daunorubicin (P = .69) or mitoxantrone (P = .97)

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy					
<i>Mansouri et al.</i> The role of irradiated heart and left ventricular volumes in heart failure occurrence after childhood cancer. <i>European journal of heart failure</i> vol. 21,4 (2019): 509-518. doi:10.1002/ejhf.1376					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	

<u>Study</u>	Type and number	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Risk of bias
<u>design</u>	of participants		Heart failure raded according to the Common Terminology Criteria for	A. Selection bias: Low risk
Nested	n = 1281 cases	Anth: Cases n (%) & controls	Adverse Events (CTCAE version 4.03)	Reason:
case-control	and controls,	n (%)		Included all the 239 cases
study	5-year survivors of	172 (72.0) & 362 (34.7)	<u>Results</u>	identified from the FCCSS
	solid cancers	Median Dose, mg/m2	Symptomatic HF = 85.4% (grade three HF = 46.4%, grade four	cohort after a median
<u>Treatment</u>	diagnosed	Cases: 357.3 (range 2.1–	HF=21.4%, died from HF=17.6%)	follow-up of 19.7 years,
era B	before age 20	998.1)		who were eligible for the
Between	2000 in France	Controls: 292.4 (range 6.1–	Cumulative incidence of HF	matched
2000	HE cases = 239	1021.8)	30 years = 2.5% (95% Cl 2.1–2.9%)	High risk for study of
2000			50 years = 5.7% (95% Cl 5.0–6.6%)	modifiable risk factors.
Follow-up	Diagnoses:	Doxo, Cases n (%) & controls		
Median	$\frac{Diagnoses}{(\%) \&}$	n (%)	Conditional logistic regression	B. Attrition bias: Low risk
	controls n (%)	157 (65.7) & 322 (30.9)	Heart failure risks by exposure to chemotherapy agents and	Reason: the outcome
[range 13.7–	Nephroblastoma	Median Dose, mg/m2	splenectomy, OR (95% CL)	was assessed for more
26.9] years.	81 (33.9) & 321	Cases: 352.7 (range 2.1–	Anthracycline	than 75% of the study
	(31)	860.6)	0–250 vs. none: 3.4 (1.5–7.6)	group. Dose estimation
Controls:	Sarcoma 61 (25.5)	Controls: 284.6 (range 6.1–	250–360 vs. none: 11.4 (5.0–25.9)	was not done for only five
33.0 (range	& 191 (18.3)	019.2)	≥360 84 vs. none: 15.0 (7.1–31.7)	participants with
27.2–39.0)	Hodgkin's disease	Davia Crease a (%) & controls	Ptrend <0.0001	records
years	35 (14.6) & 79	Daun, Cases n (%) & controls	Doxorubicin,	
	(7.6)	77(70)	0–250 vs. none: 4.2 (2.4–7.9)	C Dotaction bias: Uncloar
	NHL 34 (14.2) &	$\frac{25}{2.4} \otimes 10(4.2)$	250–360 vs. none: 14.8 (7.8–27.9)	C. Detection bias. Oncied
	125 (12.0)	$C_{2}$	≥360 vs. none: 19.6 (10.5–36.3)	outcome assessors were
	Other 21 (8.8) &	998 1)	<i>Ptrend</i> <0.0001	blinded for important
	1/0(10.3)	Controls: 316.2 (range 81.9–	Alkylating agents	determinants related to
	(13.4)	998.1)	0–4.75 vs. none: 1.5 (0.7–3.3)	the outcome
	(13.4) Neuroblastoma 2	,	4.75–10.7 vs. none: 1.2 (0.6–2.6)	
	(1.3) & 16 (1.5)	Alkylating agents Cases n (%)	≥10.7 vs. none: 2.4 (1.2–4.7)	D. Confounding: Low risk
	(===) == == (===)	& controls n (%)	Ptrend <0.03	Reason: Matching and
	Age at diagnosis	182 (76.2) & 530 (50.9)	Splenectomy	multivariate analysis
	Median	Median Dose, mg/m2	Yes vs. no 3.5 (1.5–9.2)	

Cases: 5 (IQR 2.4-	Cases: 10.4 (range 0.2–367.1)	Ptrend <0.006	
9.8) years	Controls: 7.3 (range 0.02–		
Controls: 5 (IQR	140.2)	Multivariable conditional logistic regression	
2.3–9.6) years		Risks of heart failure among patients exposed to doses of anthracycline	
	Cisplatin, Cases n (%) &	and cardiac radiation	
<u>Age at follow-up</u>	controls n (%)		
The median age of	33 (13.8) & 91 (8.7) 0.8	Ref: no anthracycline and no cardiac radiation	
HF diagnosis was	Median Dose, mg/m2	Anth 0–250 + none CR vs. none Anth + none CR 3.3 (1.1–9.6)	
25.1(range,18.3–	Cases: 455.4 (range 97.1–	Anth≥250 + none CR vs. none Anth + none CR 13.9 (6.0–32.6)	
54.2) years	1154.7)	None Anth + Yes CR vs. none Anth + none CR 2.6 (1.2–5.9)	
Controls (if	Controls: 431.3 (range 74.3–	Anth 0–250 + yes CR vs. none Anth + none CR 7.2 (3.0–18.0)	
annlicable)	4128.2)	Anth ≥250 + yes CR vs. none Anth + none CR 29.7 (12.9–68.6)	
Matched controls		*Adjusted for splenectomy, type of first childhood	
= 1042	Vinca alkaloids, Cases n (%) &	malignancy, vinca alkaloids, alkylating agents, and other	
5-vear survivors of		chemotherapies.	
, solid cancers	197 (82.4) & 055 (02.9)		
diagnosed	Gasse 25 (range 1.65	Multivariable conditional logistic regression	
before age 20	10611 6)	The risk of heart failure by mean heart dose, mean left ventricular	
between 1945 and	Controls: 19.6 (range 1-	dose, volume of the heart receiving ≥30 Gy and anthracycline.	
2000 in France.	2556)	OR(95%CL)	
		Mean heart dose (MHD) in Gy,	
	Radiotherapy	MHD 0–5 + none Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth $0.7(0.2-2.0)$	
	Badiation to the heart:	MHD 5–15 + none Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth $2.0(0.6-6.3)$	
	Mean dose	MHD 15–30 + none Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 5.2(1.9-13.8)	
	Cases: median 12 3 (0 004–	MHD $\geq$ 30 + none Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 20.6 (7.6–55.3)	
	49.1) Gv	MHD 0 + Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 11.3 (4.7–27.0)	
	Controls: median 2.1 (0.005–	MHD 0–5 + Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 21.5 (8.8–52.6)	
	45.3) Gy	MHD 5–15 + Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 23.8 (7.6–75.0)	
	Volume (%) receiving ≥30 Gy,	MHD 15–30 + Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 54.4 (19.3–153)	
	Cases: median 61.1 (0.1–100)	MHD ≥30 + Anth vs. none MHD + none Anth 24.6 (7.2–84.1)	
	Controls: median 16.9 (0.03-		
	100)	Mean left ventricular dose (MLVD) in Gy,	

	MLVD 0–5 + none Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 0.6 (0.2–1.8)
Radiation to the left ventricl	e MLVD 5–15 + none Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 2.1 (0.7–6.5)
Mean dose,	MLVD 5–15 + none Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 4.5 (1.7–12.0)
Cases: median 11.9 (0.003-	MLVD ≥30 + none Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 42.1 (14.1–126)
47.4) Gy	MLVD 0 + Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 11.7 (4.8–28.2)
Controls: median 2.1 (0.005-	MLVD 0–5 + Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 23.4 (9.3–56.7)
39.5) Gy	MLVD 5–15 + Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 31.8 (10.6–95.0)
Volume (%) receiving ≥30 Gy	<sup>/,</sup> MLVD 15–30 + Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 39.8 (14.4–110)
Cases: Median 37.3 (0.01– 100)	MLVD ≥30 + Anth vs. none MLVD + none Anth 35.8 (8.2–157)
Controls: median 23.8 (0.06	Volume of the heart (%) receiving $\geq$ 30 Gy,
	<10% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 1.9 (0.7–5.5)
Surgerv	10–50% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 5.5 (2.1–14.1)
Cardiac transplantation = 25	≥50% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 17 (7.6–38.0)
cases at a median age of 20.	3 <0% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 16.5 (8.5–32.1)
(range 15.3–28.1) years	0–10% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 22.2 (7.4–66.3)
	10–50% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 26.4 (8.7–80.0)
	≥50% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 28.3 (9.4–85.2)
<u>HSCT</u>	
Not reported	Volume of the left ventricle (%) receiving $\geq$ 30,
	0–10% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 3.6(1.3–10.1)
	10–50% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 6.6 (2.8–15.4)
	≥50% + none Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 24.6 (10.3–58.7)
	<0% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 14.7 (7.7–28.0)
	0–10% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 37.9 (12.5–115)
	10–50% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 26.5 (8.9–78.6)
	≥50% + Anth vs. 0% + none Anth 16.9 (4.9–58.4)
	* adjusted for splenectomy, type of first childhood malignancy, vinca
	alkaloids, alkylating agents, and other chemotherapies.
	*Similar results were observed for the volume of the heart (%)
	receiving 20Gy and 40Gy

	Multivariate Analysis:	
	Risk of developing HF by type of first childhood cancer, OR(95% CI)	
	CNS tumor vs.Nephroblastoma 0.1 (0.03-0.6)	
	Others vs.Nephroblastoma 0.3 (0.1-0.8)	
	*Sarcoma vs.Nephroblastoma, Hodgkin's disease vs.Nephroblastoma,	
	Non Hodgkin's disease vs.Nephroblastoma, Neuroblastoma	
	vs.Nephroblastoma were not significant	
	* adjusted on anthracycline, vinca alkaloids, alkylating agents, other	
	chemotherapies, mean dose of radiation to the heart and splenectomy	
	Modifiable CV risk factors studied in 117 cases and 353 controls	
	-Diabetes before HE diagnosis: OR 0.7 (0.1 – 3.6)	
	-Smoking at the time of HF diagnosis: OR 0.8 (0.4-1.5)	
	-Obesity (BMI $\ge$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ): OR 1.1 (0.4-3.1)	
	-Physical activity (<1 h/week=reference)	
	1-3 h/week: OR 0.9 (0.3-2.1)	
	≥ 3 h/week: OR 0.9 (0.5-1.8)	
	Evaluation of potential effect modifiers of the dose response	
	relationship for the mean heart dose and the mean left ventricle dose:	
	ERR/Gy (95% CI) for the MHD and MLVD	
	Anth yes, 0.09(0.02–0.22) and 0.89(0.01–0.21)	
	Anth no, 0.44(0.18–1.12) and 0.45(0.18–1.16)	
	<15 0.06(0.04-0.20) and 0.08(-0.02-0.92)	
	Attained age	
	15-25 0.33(0.04-2.17) and 0.06(-0.01-0.41)	
	25-35 0.38(0.33-2.67) and 0.10(0.09-0.43)	
	≥30 0.48(0.05-3.78) and 0.38 (0.12-1.09)	
	Age at diagnosis	
	<3 0.18(0.06-0.52) and 0.19(0.05-0.53)	

	3-5 0.38(0.10-1.17) and 0.42(0.10-1.30)	
	5-15 0.21(0.07-0.55) and 0.23(0.07-0.60)	
	≥15 0.16(0.05-0.47) and 0.15(0.04-0.44)	
	Interaction P.value for the MHD; MLVD	
	MHD and Anth =0.007; 0.004	
	MHD and Attained age = 0.12; 0.17	
	MHD and Age at diagnosis= >0.5; 0.14	

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?				
Khanna et al. Increased	Risk of All Cardiovascular Dise	ase Subtypes Among Childhoo	od Cancer Survivors: Population-Based Matched	Cohort Study. Circulation 2019 1041-
1043				
Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				e.g. risk of bias

Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	
Retrospective matched	<u>participants</u>	median dox equiv dose	CHF based on a published administration	Patients with Down syndrome were
cohort	7289 5-yr survivors of	200 mg/m2 (IQR 120-300	data algorithm using hospital discharge codes	included (4% of study population)
	childhood cancer	mg/m2).	and physician billing codes	
<u>Treatment era</u>				<u>Risk of bias</u>
- Jan 1987- Dec 2010	<u>Diagnoses</u>	Dox equivalent dose	<u>Results</u>	A. Selection bias: Low risk
	Any childhood cancer	0, n= 4,029 (55.3%)	By 15 years after 5-yr survival, cumulative	Reason: All survivors were included
Follow-up		1-149, n=1,041 (14.3%)	incidence of heart failure was 1.8%; 95% Cl	using health administrative data
- to Dec 2016	Age at diagnosis	150-249, n=1,105 (15.2%)	1.4-2.3%. Compared to 0.2% in the general	
Median 10. range 0-25	All <18. No median	250-450, n=828 (11.4%)	population	B. Attrition bias: Low risk
years	provided	>450, n=196 (2.7%)	10 years: 1.1% (0.8-1.4) in survivors	Reason: Outcome was assessed in
		Unknown, n=90 (1.2%)		all patients
	Age at follow-up		Cause specific hazard ratio of heart failure	
	- median 24 (range 5-47)	Badiotherapy	compared to the general population: HR 9.7	C. Detection bias: Unclear
		1027 (14 1%) had received	(95% CI 6.8-14.0)	Reason: Blinding of investigators
	Controls (if applicable)	chest RT		for treatment exposure was not
	36205 matched controls		Factors associated with increased risk of CHF	mentioned
	without cancer	Surgery	in multivariable cox regression analysis:	
		4012(55.2%)	relapse or SMN (HR, 2.0; Cl, 1.1–3.7)	D. Confounding: Low risk
		4012 (33.276)	Dox equivalent dose >250 mg/m2 (HR, 8.6;	Reason: Multivariable model was
		LICOT	Cl, 4.5–16.6)	adjusted for important
		HSCI	Diabetes (HR, 4.3; Cl, 1.8–10.7)	confounders. Chest RT was tested
		230 auto	Hypertension (HR, 3.1; Cl, 1.3–7.9)	in univariable analysis but not in
		232 allo		multivariable model as it was not a
				significant predictor of HF in MV
				analysis.

*Singh et al.* Association of GSTM1 null variant with anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy after childhood cancer-A Children's Oncology Group ALTE03N1 report. Cancer. 2020 Sep 1;126(17):4051-4058.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Case control Treatment era Not reported Follow-up Cases: median 6.0, range 1.3-11.6 years Controls: 12.0, range 7.4-17.2 years	Type and number of participants75 cases with anthracyclineCMP92 controls matched on primary cancer diagnosis, year of diagnosis (±5 years), and race/ethnicityDiagnoses ALL, AML, HL, NHL, bone tumors, kidney tumor, sarcoma, neuroblastomaAge at diagnosis, median (range) Cases: 7.8 (3.8-11.5) Control: 9.6 (3.3-14.8)Age at follow-up	Chemotherapy 100% anthracyclines Mg/m2 (median, range): Cases: 300, 230-375 Controls: 255, 150-368 Radiotherapy Cases: 35%, mean dose 10.4 Gray±16.4 Controls: 26%, mean dose 8.1 Gray±17.9 Surgery Not reported HSCT Not reported	Outcome definitionsHeart failure according to AHA criteriaCases mean LVEF 39.4%, mean FS 22.2%Controls: mean LVEF 65.9, mean FS 36.7%GSTM1=Glutathione S-transferase $\mu$ 1Multivariable conditional logistic regression (95% Cl)GSTM1 null vs positive: OR 2.7 (1.3-5.9) p=0.007Age at diagnosis per year: OR 0.95 (0.88-1.01)Anthracycline >=250 vs <250: OR 2.5 (0.99-6.4)	No replication <u><b>Risk of bias</b></u> A. Selection bias: unclear Reason: underlying cohort not described. High risk for RNA analysis and hiPSC- CM. B. Attrition bias: low risk Reason: outcome assessed in all C. Detection bias: unclear Reason: blinding not reported D. Confounding: low risk Reason: matched analysis and MV adjustments

*Mulrooney et al.* Major Cardiac Events for Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer Diagnosed Between 1970 and 1999: Report From the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Cohort. BMJ. 2020 Jan 15;368:16794.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias
Study design Multi-institutional retrospective cohort study <u>Treatment era</u> 1970 through 31 December 1999. <u>Follow-up</u> Median follow-up time ranged from 11.0 years (diagnosis in the 1990s) to 29.5 years (diagnosis in the 1970s).	Type and number of participantsDiagnosed before age21 years at one of 27 participating institutions in the United States and Canada.Original cohort: n= 35 649 (46.3% female)Analyzed: n= 23 462Diagnoses leukemia, central nervous system tumors, Hodgkin lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, soft tissue sarcomasAge at diagnosis Median 6.1 years (range 0- 20.9)Age at follow-up Median 27.7 (8.2- 58.3) years	Chemotherapy Chemotherapy only 17.4% Anthracyclines: 0 (49%), <250mg/m2 (36%), ≥250 (15%)Radiotherapy Radiation only 0.4% Mean heart radiation dose: 0 (49%), <15 (37.8%), 15 to <35 (11.3%), ≥35 (15%)Surgery Surgery only 7.8%HSCT 	Participants completed a baseline questionnaire and up to four follow-up surveys. <u>Outcome definitions</u> all reported cardiac conditions of CTCAE grades 3-5, including heart failure. <u>Results</u> -140 had cardiac event prior to cohort entry -Cumulative incidence of heart failure at 15 years from cancer diagnosis was significantly lower in the 1990s (0.54%) compared with the 1970s (0.69%) (P=0.01) and the 1980s (0.74%) (P=0.01) (fig 2). The only population where an increase was observed was for heart failure among survivors of neuroblastoma (3.22 (0.83 to 12.53) in the 1980s and 5.72 (1.58 to 20.67) in the 1990s versus the 1970s). <u>Multivariable Cox regression</u> including sex, treatment era, mean heart dose, cumulative anthracycline dose hypertension, dyslipidemia, hypertension, race, age at diagnosis, body mass index, smoking and exercise intensity:	Limitation:         31% of the original cohort did not participate.         Outcomes were self-reported and not validated.         Strength         Compared with a control group.         Risk of bias         A. Selection bias: High risk         Reason: 31% of original cohort did not participate, significant differences in age at cancer diagnosis, tumor types and overall mortality between participants and non-participants         B. Attrition bias: High risk         Reason: 31% of original cohort did not participate.         D. Detection bias: Unclear Reason: Blinding was not reported         D. Confounding: Low risk
	Controls (if applicable)		-Treatment era (1970-79=ref)	adjusted for important confounders

random sample of siblings	1980-89: HR 0.89 (0.67-1.17)	
(n=5057)	1990-99: HR 0.70 (0.45-1.08)	
	-Anthracycline dose (ref=none)	
	<250 mg/m2 HR 2.76 (1.93-3.97).	
	>250 mg/m2 HR 9.29 (6.01-14.37)	
	-Mean heart dose, Gray (ref=none)	
	1-15 HR 0.74 (0.54-1.03)	
	15.1-34.99 HR 1.56 (1.05-2.33)	
	>=35 HR 3.95 (2.87-5.43)	
	-Diabetes: HR 2.66 (1.67-4.25)	
	-Dyslipidemia: HR 2.32 (1.53-3.52)	
	-Hypertension: HR 4.93 (3.61-6.72)	

*Chen et al.* Traditional Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Individual Prediction of Cardiovascular Events in Childhood Cancer Survivors. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst (2020) 112(3): djz108

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design Prediction model development (50%) and validation (50%) in the CCSS, retrospective cohort study. Prediction timepoints (baseline) at age 20,	Type and number of participantsN=22543 includedNo CV disease <5 years from cancer diagnosis (n=353)No self-reported outcomes n=1738Diagnoses All childhood cancer diagnoses	Anthracyclines 43%-52% >=250 mg/m2: 14.2-19.3% Chest RT 30.7%-49.5% >=35 Gray: 8.9%-13.0%	Outcome definitionsOnly heart failure reported in this tableCTCAE grade 3-5Modifiable CV risk factorsPrevalence of CV risk factors was limitedat age 20 years (all <3%) but increased over time, with 8.8% to	<ul> <li>-C-statistics by age 50: 0.79 (20y), 0.75 (25y), 0.69 (30y), 0.74 (35y).</li> <li>-Reasonable calibration</li> <li>-Prediction models for heart failure at different baseline ages (20-35 years).</li> <li>-Prediction of both 10y risk and risk by age 50 years,</li> </ul>
25, 30 and 35 y	Age at diagnosis	<u>Surgery</u> Not reported	Age 20 / Age 35 -Female vs male: 1.86 (1.23-2.82) / 1.47 (0.72-3.03)	could inform risk groups and surveillance frequency

Treatment era	<5y in 40% of the 20y		-Age at diagnosis (>=15 years=ref)	
1970-1999	cohort, 30% were 5-9 and	<u>HSCT</u>	<5: 0.84 (0.44-1.61) / 2.64 (0.31-22.69)	-Moderate risk group <3% Cl
	the remaining 10-14y. In the	Not reported	5-9: 1.44 (0.89-2.31) / 0.50 (0.07-3.90)	by age 50, <1.3% within 10y
Follow-up	35y cohorts 12% were <5y,		10-14: NA / 1.01 (0.44-2.35)	
Various, depending	15% 5-9y, 32% 10-14 and		-Anthracycline, mg/m2 (none=ref)	-High risk group ±10% CI by
on prediction	Age at follow-up		<100: 1.09 (0.32-3.77) / 0 (-)	age 50, 2.7-6.3% within 10y
timepoint.	Not reported		<b>100-249: 3.67 (1.85-7.28)</b> / 2.11 (0.46-9.76)	
Range 5->30 years	Controls (if applicable)		>=250: 11.54 (6.85-19.45) / 5.02 (2.09-12.06)	<u>Risk of bias</u>
Duration of follow	5056 siblings of CCSS		-Chest RT, Gray (none=ref)	A. Selection bias: low risk
up in the 20y cohort	survivors		<5: 1.36 (0.64-2.85) / 0 (-)	Reason: 91.5% included
was 10-199 in 35%			5-14: 1.43 (0.55-3.70) / 0 (-)	B. Attrition bias: low risk
In the 35v cohort:			<b>15-34: 2.56 (1.43-4.57)</b> / 1.11 (0.23-5.25)	Reason: Outcome assessed in
20-29y in 53% and			>=35: 6.76 (3.89-11.76) / 6.30 (2.47-16.09)	all
>30y in 40%			-Diabetes: 3.78 (0.91-15.73) / 3.35 (0.75-14.95)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear
			-Dyslipidemia: 2.94 (0.67-12.84) / 0 (-)	Reason: blinding not reported
			-Hypertension: 5.66 (2.54-12.61) / 1.44 (0.33-6.22)	D. Confounding: low risk
				Reason: multivariable models
			<u>CI of HF (prediction baseline at age 20, 25, 30, 35)</u>	
			10-year follow-up:	
			Siblings: 0.03%-0.2%	
			Moderate (score <5): 0.4%-1.3%	
			High (score >=5): 2.7%-6.3%	
			By age 50 years:	
			Siblings: 0.4%-0.6%	
			Moderate (score <5): 1.4%-2.4%	
			High (score >=5): 9.7%-11.8%	

Nolan et al. Impact of Cancer Therapy-Related Cardiac Dysfunction on Risk of Heart Failure in Pregnancy. JACC: CardioOncology 2020;2(2):153-62.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-upStudy designSystematic reviewand meta-analysisof 6 cohort studiesCriteria for review:Retrospective (n=5)or prospective (n=1)cohort studiesincluding $\geq$ 10females ofreproductive age,treated withcardiotoxic therapy,who underwent $\geq$ 1pregnancy.Review period:inception to 2020Treatment era:1963-2015Follow-up:Median 25 (range: 5- 48) years sincediagnosis(5 of 6 studies)	Type and number of participants1,137 female CCS with 2,016 pregnancies from 6 studies:Bar 2003, van Dalen 2006, Hines 2016, Thompson 2017, Liu 2018, Chait- Rubinek 2019Diagnoses 	Chemotherapy Anthracyclines 67% mean cumulative dose 234 (range 0 – 721) mg/m <sup>2</sup> Radiotherapy Not specified, incomplete in studies Surgery Not specified HSCT Not specified	Outcome definitionsEither- LV systolic dysfunction (reduction LVEF orFS)(4 studies)- clinical HF (3 studies)during or within 12 months after deliveryResultsIncidence of endpoint:n= 33/1,137 (2.9%), of whom n=17 (52%)had a history of cancer therapeutics relatedcardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) beforepregnancy.Weighted incidence:Overall 1.7% (95% CI 0.9-2.7%)- If history CTRCD: 28% (95% CI 15-44%)- No history of CTRCD: 0.24% (95% CI 0-0.81%)Number-needed-to-harm: 4Odds ratio of event for previous CTRCDversus no previous CTRCD: 47 (95% CI 18 –126)	Studies reported no maternal cardiac death.         Not all patients had cardiac evaluation before and after pregnancy.         All studies were judged good quality         Risk of bias         A. Selection bias: Unclear risk; judged adequate by authors although not quantified.         B. Attrition bias: Unclear risk; judged adequate by authors although not quantified.         C. Detection bias: Unclear risk; judged adequate by authors although not quantified.         D. Confounding: High risk: OR is unadjusted for important confounders, although metaregression did not reveal significant interstudy heterogeneity, within-study heterogeneity might
				adjustment to be feasible. Risk

	factor might thus not be independent.	
--	---------------------------------------	--

Green et al. Congestive heart failure after treatment for Wilms' tumor: a report from the National Wilms' Tumor Study group. J Clin Oncol 19:1926-34, 2001

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design Retrospective cohort and nested Case-Control <u>Treatment era</u> National Wilms Tumor studies 1-4	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> Treated <16 years old Cohort 1: received doxorubicin N=2,843 Cohort 2: dox as part of salvage only	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Doxorubicin 100% Cases median 257, range 59- 485 mg/m2 Controls 1-199 mg/m2 36/143 25% 200-299 mg/m2 71/143 50% >=300mg/m2 36/143 25%	<u>Outcome definitions</u> Cumulative incidence and risk factors for heart failure <u>Results cohort study</u> Heart failure 4.4% and 17.4 at 20 years after diagnosis in cohort 1 and cohort 2, respectively.	Homogeneous population due to diagnosis, the vast majority were exposed before 7 years old <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> unclear risk Reason: it was stated that controls were randomly sampled from the
1969-1998 <u>Follow-up</u> No descriptive statistics reported Heart failure cases range ±1-20 years. Controls matched on follow-up	N=228 <u>Diagnoses (%)</u> Wilms tumor 100% <u>Age at diagnosis</u> Majority <7 years Cases range 0.8-10.4 years <u>Age at follow-up</u>	Radiotherapy         Lung RT cases         0 Gray 16/35         10-19.99 Gray 15/35         >=20 Gray 4/35         Lung RT controls         0 Gray: 84/143         10-19.99 Gray 51/143         >=20 Gray 8/143	Categorical conditional logistic regression multivariate (covariates not mentioned), relative risks (RR) were estimated with the weighted Breslow estimate. -Cumulative Doxorubicin dose (1-199 mg/m2=Reference) 200-299 mg/m2: RR 1.1 (0.3-5.1), NS ≥300 mg/m2: RR 6.0 (1.5-24), p=0.01, p trend=0.002 Female vs male: RR 3.5 (1.4-8.8), p=0.009	cohort. However, if treatment data was unavailable another control was randomly sampled. Number of controls with missing treatment data is not stated. <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> High risk Reason: >20% loss to follow-up in all studies 1-4 (Figure 1) <u>C. Detection bias:</u> Low risk
	Heart failure cases: Range 2.4-21.8 years Controls not mentioned but matched for follow-up after cancer.	Abdominal RT cases None or right 9/35 Left 29/35 Abdominal RT controls	-Lung RT (0=reference) 10-19.99 Gray 1.5 (0.6-3.9) p=0.39 ≥ 20 Gy 4.3 (0.8-24) p=0.1, p trend=0.12	Reason: Heart failure cases were validated in medical records <u>D. Confounding:</u> low risk

<u>Controls (if applicable)</u> Four to six controls per case matched by cohort, study and time at risk from first anthracycline administration.	None or right 73/143 Left 70/143 <u>Surgery only</u> none <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	-Abdominal radiation (none or right sided=reference) Left sided: RR 4.0 (1.4-11.6), p=0.01	Reason: Multivariable analysis and matched case-control
---	---	--	---

*Nolan et al.* Effect of Traditional Heart Failure Risk Factors on Myocardial Dysfunction in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR IMAGING VOL. 11, NO. 8, 2018

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Cross sectional (St. Jude Lifetime cohort study)	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> 1,807 participants (48% female; median age 32 years, range 18 to 66 years	<u>Chemotherapy</u> 58% treated with anthracyclines, <u>Radiotherapy</u>	<ul> <li><u>Outcome definitions</u></li> <li>LV systolic dysfunction (LVEF &lt;53% on 3D)</li> <li>GLS) &gt;2 SDs from age/ sex-based population norms</li> <li>Diastolic dysfunction based on 2016 ASE guidelines</li> <li>Studied conventional heart failure risk factors</li> </ul>	-unknown how many were symptomatic <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias: H</u> igh
Treatment era Around 1998 <u>Follow-up</u> median interval from diagnosis 23 years, range 10 to 48 years	<u>Diagnoses</u> Different cancers <u>Age at diagnosis</u> they were ≥18 years of age and≥10 years from diagnosis	17% treated with chest radiotherapy, and 25% treated with both). <u>Surgery</u> Not reported	<ul> <li>A. Hypertension (systolic blood pressure &gt;140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure &gt;90 mm Hg),</li> <li>B. Insulin resistance (homoeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance &gt;2.86),</li> <li>C. obesity (body mass index &gt;30 kg/m2), and</li> <li>D. smoking status (self reported current, former, or never)</li> <li>Also included in the multivariate analysis</li> <li>- sex</li> <li>- antracyline cumulative dose</li> </ul>	risk Reason: 57% of eligible subjects underwent echocardiography <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk

<u>Age at follow-up;</u> median age 32 years, range 18 to 66 years	<u>HSCT</u> Not reported	<ul> <li>Chest radiotherapy</li> <li>Age at diagnosis</li> <li>current age</li> </ul>	Reason: Outcome was assessed in all participants
		<ul> <li>Results</li> <li>Main endpoints:</li> <li>LVEF &lt;53% in 14%, Abnormal GLS in 32% and diastolic dysfunction in 32%</li> <li>A. Hypertension was associated with abnormal 3D-LVEF (odds ratio [OR]: 1.82; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.25 to 2.63; p &lt; 0.002) and diastolic dysfunction (OR: 1.40; 95% CI: 1.0.2 to 1.93; p &lt; 0.04).</li> <li>B. Insulin resistance was associated with abnormal GLS (OR: 1.72; 95% CI: 1.30 to 2.27; p &lt; 0.001), and diastolic dysfunction (OR: 1.43; 95% CI: 1.07 to 1.91; p &lt; 0.01).</li> <li>C. Obesity was associated with abnormal GLS (OR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.19 to 2.13; p &lt; 0.002) and diastolic dysfunction (OR: 1.92; 95% CI: 1.43 to 2.59; p &lt; 0.001).</li> <li>D. Smoking was not significantly associated with any echocardiographic abnormality.</li> <li>Cumulative anthracycline dose significantly affected 3D-LVEF (1.51 _ 10-2; p &lt; 0.001) and current age significantly affected GLS (ES: 0.20 _ 10-2; p % 0.05).</li> <li>After correction of these well-known risk factors, the effect of hypertension, IR and obesity on outcome (not specified which ones precisely) were of the same order of magnitude or higher</li> </ul>	C. Detection bias: Unclear Reason: This is not stated in this short paper, but I assume they were blinded during the analysis of the ultrasounds D. Confounding: Low risk Reason: Multivariable analysis

*Niska et al.* Radiation and the heart: systematic review of dosimetry and cardiac endpoints. EXPERT REVIEW OF CARDIOVASCULAR THERAPY 2018, 16. 12, 931–950.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design	Number of participants	Chemotherapy	Outcome definitions	
Systematic review	N<100: 8 studies (range: 9-	Either	CAD (2 studies)	8 studies included
of 64 cohort	90)	Anthracyclines After Hodgkin (11	Cardiac damage (1 study)	less than 100
studies:	N<1000: 4 studies (range:	studies)	Cardiac death (1 study)	participants
- 20 paediatric	119-545)	0% (1 study)	Cardiac diseases (1 study)	
studies	N<5000: 6 studies (range:	100% (3 studies)	Cardiac toxicity (CTCAE) (1 study)	Risk of bias
- 44 adult studies	1,132-4,122)	>50% (5 studies)	Symptomatic cardiac event (1 study)	A. Selection bias:
(not described	N >10 000: 2 studies	< 50% (2 studies)	CHF (3 studies)	Unclear
nercy	(13,060; 14,358)	Means range: 146.3-160 mg/m2 (2 studies)	MI (1 study)	
Criteria for review			PD (1 study)	B. Attrition bias:
Studies correlating	<u>Diagnoses</u>	Anthracyclines After Pediatric (9 studies)	VHD (9 studies)	Unclear
cardiac Rt dose	Hodgkin: 11 studies	>50% (3 studies)		
with	Paediatric: 9 studies	< 50% (4studies)	CTA abnormality (2 studies)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u>
cardiac toxicity or		Reported by categories:	Cardiac MRI findings (1 study)	Unclear
death	Age at diagnosis	$13.4\% < 250 \text{ mg/m2}$ and $19.7\% \ge 250 \text{ mg/m2}$ (1	Echocardiography GLS (1 study)	
	INS	study)		D. Contounding:
Review period		8.1% < 239 mg/m2 and 10%: 240–359 mg/m2 and	Main Results	Unclear If multivariable
2008–2017	Age at (last) follow-up	10.7% ≥ 360 mg/m2 (1 study)	Mortality after pediatric cancer	analysis of all
	INS		Linear 个 60% ERR at 1 Gy. RR 12.5 for 5—	studies
Treatment era		Radiotherapy endpoints	14.9 Gy.	Unclear
NS		Prescription dose (1 study)	VHD after Hodgkin	adjustment
		MedRD (8 studies) and after HL (6 of 8 studies)	Doses > 15 Gy increase VHD risk. This risk	variables
Follow-up		Heart mean (6 studies), max (4 studies), median	increased also with tumor location,	
Range of median:		(1 study),	smaller cardiac volumes, and smaller	
2 to 28 years		absolute volume (1 study) and D10 (1 study)	The second secon	
(19 of 20		Valve mean (1 study)	mitral and aortic valve dysfunction	
paediatric studies)		LV mean (1 study), V20 (1 study), V30 (2 studies)	Cumulative anthracycline doses	
		KV V3U(1 study)	exceeding	
		LA V25 (1 study), V30(1 study)	checculing	

	<u>Surgery</u> Not specified <u>HSCT</u> Not specified	250mg/m2 increased the risk of CHF, pericardial disease, or VHD MHD > 15 Gy increased the risk of events twofold to sixfold over nonirradiated survivors For MHD 15–30 Gy RR=18.9 without anth and RR=47.1 with anth, for any CD and similar results observed for symptomatic CD (Haddy 2016)	
		Myocardial perfusion imaging echocardiography with myocardial strain imaging, CTA, and cardiac MRI may be useful for pre-RT evaluation and in long-term surveillance.	

**Hildebrandt et al.** Hypertension Susceptibility Loci are Associated with Anthracycline-related Cardiotoxicity in Long-term Childhood Cancer Survivors. 2017, SCiEnTifiC REPOrTS | 7: 9698 | DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-09517-2

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> nested case control study <u>Treatment era</u> Not described	<u>Type and number of participants</u> N=108 long term childhood cancer survivors >5 years post diagnosis and off therapy; treated with anthracyclines of which 46 developed cardiotoxicity during follow-up:	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Anthracycline cumulative dose, mean (SD): Cases: 319.5 (111.5) Controls: 273.9 (157) (all patient received Atc)	Definition of cardiotoxicity (cases): finding on 2 cardiac US of i) EF 45-50% + symptoms +/- cardiac meds or ii)EF <45% and/or iii) SF <or 25%<br="" =="">Controls: EF &gt;55% and SF &gt; 28% on 2 subsequent cardiac US obtained &gt;5y off treatment.</or>	Study strengths i) gene expression studies confirmed results of cohort study. ii)Multivariable analyses with adjustment for follow-up time, age at diagnosis, gender, race,

<u>ollow-up</u>	N=46 cases (with cardiotoxicity) N=62			hypertension, anthracycline dose,
Cases: mean	controls (without cardiotoxicity)	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	Main outcome:	chest radiation and cancer site.
21.2 yrs (SD:		Cases: n=15 (33%)	Of 12 variants previously identified to	iii) 2 cardiac US to confirmed
11.2)	Diagnoses	Controls: n=14 (23%)	be associated with increased risk of	cardiotoxicity
Controls:	Cases:	No doses reported	hypertension, two were statistically	
nean 15.7 yrs	Sarcoma: n=21 (46%		significant for risk of cardiotoxicity.	Study limitations
SD: 7.6)	Leukemia: n=5 (11%)	Surgery none	PLCE1: rs932764: 64% reduction in	i) small study sample. Possible
	Lymphoma: n=14 (30%)		cardiotoxicity risk (95% CI: $0.18-0.76$ ,	associations may have been
	Other: n=6 (13%)	<u>HSCT</u> none	p=0.007 OK 0.48 (0.27-0.83) $p=0.012$	i) significant difference in time
	Controls:		AIP2B1: rs1/249/54 was protective	ii) significant difference in time
	$\frac{1}{2}$ Sarcoma: n=20 (32%)	No other treatment data	hypertension risk alleles having a 74%	iii) no other treatment
	$L_{eukemia: n=10}(32\%)$	reported	reduction in risk of cardiotoxiciy (CI:	characteristics (doses reported
	1 = 15 (31/6)		0.07-96, p=0.04) OR 0.33 (0.12-0.92)	characteristics/uoses reported.
	$C_{1} = 0$ (12%)		p=0.034	Disk of hiss
	Other: H=8 (13%)			
			Gene expression in iPSC-	A. Selection blas: High risk
	Age at diagnosis (mean, SD)		cardiomyocytes showed that	Reason: number of "cases" might
	Cases: 9.2 (4.7)		expression of both PLCE1 and ATP2B1	follow up is different between
	Controls: 9.3 (5.7)		was anthracycline-dependent	cases and control.
	Age at follow-up		Adjusted analysis:	B Attrition bias: Low risk
	Not given, but globally estimated		Hypertension susceptibility variants	Reason: outcome was assessed in
	(mean calculated from age at		and association with cardiotox	all patients
	diagnosis and follow-up time):		adjusted for follow-up time, age at dg,	
	Cases: 30 yrs		gender, race, HTA, Atc dose, chest	C Detection bias: Unclear
	Controls: 25 yrs			Reason: blinding of investigators
			PLCE1: IS932764. UK 0.36 (0.18-0.76)	not reported
	Additionnal study characteristics:			
	HTA: # (%)		ATP2B1: FS1/249/54. UR 0.26 (0.0/-	D. Confounding: Low risk
	- Cases: 19 (41)		0.50) μ=0.040	D. Comounding: LOW TISK
	- Controls: $10(65)$			Reason: iviuitivariable adjusted
*Christiansen et al.* Utility of Global Longitudinal Strain by Echocardiography to Detect Left Ventricular Dysfunction in Long-Term Adult Survivors of Childhood Lymphoma and Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Am J Cardiol 2016; 118:446-52.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Cross-sectional 2 studies Center Norway Self-questionnaires (comorbidities) 2-day hospital visit (clinical examination) Treatment era Not reported Follow-up 1 <sup>st</sup> study: Mean 21.6 years (SD 7.9) 2 <sup>nd</sup> study: Mean 21.9 years (SD 8.0)	Type and number of participants>18 years, diagnosed >5 yearsearlier with ALL < age 16 or	ChemotherapyPrimary study group:Anthracyclines: 76%Median dose 135mg/m²(range 40 – 485)Secondary study group:Anthracyclines: 77%Median dose 150mg/m²(range 40 – 485)RadiotherapyPrimary study group:Mediastinal 22%Median dose 36 Gy(range 13 – 44)Secondary study group:Mediastinal 23%Median dose 40 Gy(range 13 – 44)SurgeryNot reported	Outcome definitionsSystolic dysfunction:LVEF <50% or FS <27% (F)/<25%(M)	<ul> <li>-No data on cardiac symptoms</li> <li>Not all anthracycline-treated, lower median dose than other cohort studies.</li> <li>Systolic dysfunction in the secondary study group was mostly detected by FS, only 6 cases had impaired LVEF.</li> <li>Anthracycline dose not anymore associated with impaired GLS in primary study group: potentially because of high cut-off dose and loss impaired LVEF/FS cases, whereas the more restrictive hearts caused by RT remain.</br></br></li> <li><u>Risk of bias</u></li> <li><u>A. Selection bias:</u> Low risk Reason: 231/259 (89%) participated</li> </ul>

DiagnosesALLn=128HLn=65NHLn=38Age at diagnosisMean 9.3 years (SD 5.1)Age at follow-up (exam)Mean 31.1 years (SD 7.8)Controls (if applicable)Random selection from the Nord- Trøndelag Health Study (n=1296)Matching criteria: gender, age, body weight, BSA and systolic BP (n=180)	HSCT Not reported	In the primary study group the association with high anthracycline dose is lost, Mediastinal RT exposure remains (OR 3.8, [95% CI: 1.8-8.0] <i>p</i> <.001)	<ul> <li><u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk Reason: outcome was assessed in all patients</li> <li><u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear Reason: blinding not reported</li> <li><u>D. Confounding:</u> High risk Reason: Multivariable model only includes those with p&lt;0.2 in univariable regression. Forward selection can result in bias.</li> </ul>
<i>Age at exam</i> Mean 32.4 years (SD 8.3)			

Yu et al. Two-Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Detects Subclinical Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction among Adult Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer. BioMed Research International, 2016

Study design	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Treatment era				
Years of				
follow-up				

Cross-sectional study <u>Institution</u> Single institution <u>Screening era</u> July 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012 <u>Treatment era</u> Not reported	participants N = 134 AYA and CCS. No heart failure symptoms <u>Age at diagnoses</u> Median 15.8 years (range 0 -48.7) <u>Age at echocardiographic</u> <u>follow-up</u> Median 31 years (range, 18 to 62)	100% treated Median cum dose: All : 300mg/m <sup>2</sup> (27 to 660) If mediastinal RT : 279 mg/m <sup>2</sup> If no mediastinal RT : 375 mg/m <sup>2</sup> <u>Radiotherapy :</u> Mediastinal RT: 39% (n=52) Median dose : 35Gy (range 2 to 56)	Conventional 2D and Doppler Echocardiography: Abnormal LV systolic function : LVEF < 55% or FS < 27% 2D speckle tracking echocardiography (2DSTE): Abnormal LV systolic function : GLS $\leq  16 $ % Results Prevalence of LV systolic dysfunction: FS < 27% in 5.2% LVEF < 55% in 6.0% GLS $\leq  16 $ % in 23.1% GLS $\leq  16 $ higher than FS < 27% (p < 0.001)	GLS Anthracycline dose = doxorubicin + (daunorubicin × 0.833) + (epirubicin × 0.57) + (idarubicin × 5) + (mitoxantrone × 4). Mediastinal radiotherapy was defined as any form of radiotherapy in which the myocardium was within the prespecified radiation field Multivariable analysis are adjusted on sex, age at echocardiogram, and cumulative anthracyclines dose.
Not reported <u>Follow-up</u> <u>since diagnosis</u> Median 15 years (range 2 to 39)	Most common diagnoses Sarcoma (n=54) HL (n = 29) AL (n= 31) NHL (n=9) <u>Prevalence of cardiac risk</u> factors Hypertension : 9% Diabetes : 5.2% Dyslipidemia : 31.3% Treatment with beta- blockers or ACE-I : 10.4%	Surgery Not reported <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	GLS $\leq$  16  higher than LVEF < 55% ( $p$ < 0.001) No significant association between echocardiographic parameters of LV systolic or diastolic function (by 2D echocardiography or 2DSTE) and <i>cumulative</i> <i>anthracycline dose</i> <i>Prevalence of LV systolic dysfunction</i> <i>Mediastinal vs No Mediastinal RT :</i> GLS : 18% vs 19% ( $p$ = 0.003) GLS $\leq$  16  : 36.5% vs 14.6% ( $p$ = 0.004) No difference in FS; LVEF; GCS or GRS between the 2 groups	There were too few events to consider FS < 27% or EF < 55% as outcomes in multivariable analysis. The prevalence of LV systolic dysfunction among long-term cancer survivors may be significantly underestimated using LVEF alone as compared to GLS by 2DSTE. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> High risk Reason: Only high risk cancer survivors included. heterogeneous population and limited sample size <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk Reason: Outcome was assessed in all patients included.

Multivariable linear analysis including sex, current age, cumulative anthracycline dose, mediastinal RT. <u>GLS :</u> Mediastinal RT : $\beta$ =0.923, SE= 0.444, p=0.040	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Blinding of investigators / sonographers for treatment exposures was not reported
Mitral $E/A$ ratio : Mediastinal RT : β = -0.250, SE= 0.087, p=0.005 Septal e' velocity : Mediastinal RT : β = -1.221, SE= 0.404, p=0.003 LV mass index :	D. Confounding: High risk Reason: Less than 10% of the patients were treated with cardioprotective medications results were not substantively different when these patients were excluded. Mutivariable analyses were not adjusted for age at diagnosis
Mediastinal $\mathbf{PT} \cdot \mathbf{\beta} = -3.450$ SE= 2.085 n=0.000	
Higher doses of anthracyclines : $\beta = 0.702$ , SE= 0.404 p=0.085 Multivariable logistic regression including sex, current age, cumulative anthracycline dose, mediastinal RT. GLS $\leq  16 \%$ Mediastinal RT (yes/no): OR not reported but > (p=0.036)	Limitations of the study :Retrospective cross-sectional study.Prognostic significance of abnormal GLS notevaluated.Did not include a healthy control group:reference normative strain data used.Heterogeneous study population, multiplecancer types from a single cancer referralcenter ☑ limit the generalizability of theresults.

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?					
Mulrooney et al. Cardiac Outcomes in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer Exposed to Cardiotoxic Therapy: A Cross-sectional Study. 2016 Jan 19;164(2):93-101.					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias	

Study design	Type and number of	<b>Chemotherapy</b>	Outcome definitions	-14% of the echocardiograms
Cross-sectional	<u>participants</u>	Anthracyclines:	Outcomes included cardiomyopathy (LVEF<50%), only this	were missing.
study.	St. Jude Children's	None 332 (17.9%)	outcome is reported in this table	-Vast majority was
	Research Hospital	<100 488 (26.3%),		asymptomatic (4 survivors
Treatment era	Adult survivors of	100–249 647 (34.9%),	<u>Results</u>	reported intermittent chest
Not reported	childhood cancer, aged	≥250 386 (20.8%)	Cardiomyopathy: 7.4% (4.5% was detected during the	pain, and 1 reported
First follow-up visit	18		SJLIFE assessment)	paipitations)
at survivorship clinic	years or older, who	Radiotherapy		
before April 2013.	received cancer-related	Cardiac radiation	Cardiovascular risk factors present at the time of SJLIFE	Risk of bias
	loast 10 years earlier	None 1050 (56.7%)	assessment included being overweight or obese (61.3%),	A. Selection bias: High risk
Follow-up	Original cohort: n=2054	≤1500 cGy	smoking (23.7% current and 11.7% past), physical	Reason: 61% participation rate,
Median 22.6 years	Analyzady n=1952	366 (19.8%),	inactivity (49.6%), hypertension (23.3%), diabetes (6.8%),	parameters non-participants
(range, 10 to 48	$(f_{emale} 17.7\%)$	>1500 cGy 411	and dyslipidemia (61.9%).	also reported and different for
years)	(10111010 47.770)	(22.2%) Unknown 26		anthracycline dose
	Diagnosos	(1.4%)	Multivariable logistic regression for cardiomyopathy	
	<u>Diagnoses</u>		-female vs male OR 1.9 (1.1-1.3)	B Attrition bias: Low risk
	67.2% sarcoma (14.0%)	<u>Surgery</u>	-Age at diagnosis (≥15 years=reference)	Beason: 14% had missing echo
	Wilms tumor (7.2%)	not mentioned	0-4 years: OR 0.5 (0.3–1.1)	thus >75% was assessed for the
	neuroblastoma (4.5%),		5-9 years: OR 0.6 (0.3–1.2)	outcome
	central nervous system	<u>HSCT</u>	10-14 years: OR 0.9 (0.5–1.7)	
	tumors (4.3%), and other	not mentioned	-anthracycline dose ≥250 vs <250 mg/m2: OR 2.7 (1.1-	C. Detection bias: Unclear
	tumors (2.8%).		6.9)	Reason: Blinding of
			-mean heart dose >1500 cGy: OR 1.9 (1.1-3.7)	investigators/ sonographers
	Age at diagnosis		-ever-smoker: OR 0.9 (0.5-1.5)	was not reported
	Median 8 years (range, 0		-physical activity active vs inactive: OR 1.2 (0.7-2.0)	
	to 24 years)		-Excessive alcohol: OR 0.9 (0.5-1.5)	D. Confounding: Low risk
			-hypertension OR 3.0 (1.7-5.2)	Reason: Multivariable analysis
	Age at follow-up		-diabetes: OR 2.0 (0.9-4.2)	adjusted for important
	Median 31 years (range,		-dyslipidemia: OR 1.0 (0.6-1.7)	confounders.
	18 to 60 years)		-BMI, kg/m2 (<25=ref)	
			25-29: OR 1.0 (0.5-1.9)	
	Controls (if applicable)		>=30: 1.2 (0.6-2.3)	

WG1: Who needs ca	ardiomyopathy surveilla	nce? Genetics			
<i>Krajinovic et al.</i> Poly Pharmacogenomics	ymorphisms of ABCC5 ar Journal 2016	nd NOS3 genes influence do	xorubicin cardiotoxicity in survivors of chi	ldhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia The	
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	
<u>Study design</u> Derivation (D): Single center cohort, Canada	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> D: 251 ALL patients R: 44 ALL patients	Anthracycline dose in mg/m2 D 300-360 R 300	Outcome definitions Compared median LVEF/FS between different polymorphisms, multiple testing FDR <5%	-Limited number of CMP cases: Mean EF was 60% in derivation cohort (IQR 55-63%) and 61% (IQR 57-67 in replication cohort) -Treated with high doses	
Replication (R): Multicenter cohort, Canada	<u>Age at diagnosis</u> D mean 6.16, range 1-18 years R mean 5.27, range	<u>Chest RT</u> Not reported Dexrazoxane	ABCC5 A-1629 T D: LVEF TT 48.2% vs AA/AT 59.6%, P<0.0005 R: LVEF TT 54.6% vs AA/AT 61.4%.	<u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Unknown whether all ALL patients were included or a representative sample.	
Treatment era D 1989-2005 R not reported <u>Follow-up years</u> <u>median (range)</u> D 77.3% >=5 years	1-17 years <u>Age at follow-up</u> D Mean 14.61 range 2-30 years R mean 10.41 range 5-21 years	D 53.4% R 38.1%	P=0.17 Significant more decrease in EF during follow-up in TT vs AA/AT, P=0.03 <b>All are univariate associations</b> <u>NOS3</u> Failed to replicated and very small	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Unknown how many survivors died or were lost to follow-up before study was conducted <u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Blinding of investigators who performed the	
Mean 8.4 (1-8) R 54.5% >=5 years Mean 5.25 (3-9)			effect on LVEF in derivation cohort	echo was not reported <u>D. Confounding:</u> High risk Reason: No multivariable analysis was performed.	

Wang et al. CELF4 Variant and Anthracycline-Related Cardiomyopathy: A Children's Oncology Group Genome-Wide Association Study. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34:863-70

Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Case-control Treatment era <1990-2008 Follow-up 9.4 yr (0.1-35.1) for cases, 12.9 yr (1.4 – 41) for controls	Type and number of participants219Diagnoses HL/ NHL N=28 ALL/AML N=27 Sarcoma N= 35 Other N = 22Age at diagnosis Median 7.9 (0-20)Age at follow-up Median 18.3 (0.4 – 41.8)Controls (if applicable) 219 controls, CCS, non- Hispanic whites, matched to cases in 1:1 to 4:1 on cancer diagnosis (< 5 year), race/ethnicity, longer follow-up than index case Age at follow-up median 7.9 (0-21), Age at follow-up median 20.5 (4.2-50.1)	Chemotherapy Anthracycline dose med -cases 319 mg/m2 (0-760), >300 mg/m2 in 59 pts -controls 180 mg/m2 (0-825), >300 mg/m2 in 76 pts Chest-directed Radiotherapy Cases 25/112 (22.3%) Controls 12.4% Dose not reported Surgery Not reported HSCT Not reported	Outcome definitions 'Cardiomyopathy' at follow up (= acute/ chronic), defined as symptoms/signs of HF OR asymptomatic and LVEF ≤40% OR FS ≤28%Results 1. Multivar logistic regression analysis without gene variants: - anthracycline dose > 300 mg/m2 OR = 5.1 (2.4-10.0) - chest RT yes/no OR = 3.2 (1.3-7.7)2. Multivar log regression with CELF4 gene variant and interaction term gene variant * anthracycline dose, adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, anthracycline dose and RT-CELF4 gene*dose reached multiple testing corrected significance: P = 1.14 * 10^25 -CELF4 GG versus GA/AA: OR = 2.26 (1.2-4.0). -CELF4 GG and anthracycline dose <300 mg/m2 versus GA/AA and anthracycline dose <=300 mg/m2: OR 10.16 (3.8-27.3) -OR's of variables adjusted for: not reported3. Replication in 54 cardiomyopathy cases Multivariable logistic regression with high (>300 mg/m2) versus low (<=300 mg/m2) dose as the outcome. Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, RT, race/ethnicity. -CELF4 GG versus GA/AA: OR 5.09 (1.0-25.2) for being in the >300mg/m2 group	<ul> <li>1.Outcome is not 100% determined by LVEF, but also by symptoms without echo, and in controls many without echocardiogram.</li> <li>2. Acute and chronic cardiotoxicity were tested without distinction</li> <li>3. Replication cohort did not include controls but only compared high vs low dose patients.</li> <li><u>Risk of bias</u></li> <li><u>A. Selection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: Unclear whether all cardiomyopathy cases were included or if they were representative of the underlying cohort.</li> <li><u>B. Attrition bias:</u> High risk Reason: Genetics variants were meaured in those alive at time of the study. Patients could have died before the study was conducted.</li> <li><u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear</li> </ul>

	4. Effect of GG variant on splicing variant TNNT2 protein in 33 healthy hearts at obductions: association of GG variant with the embryonic splicing TNNT variant (90.5% in GG versus 41.7% in GA/AA), demonstrating (possible) pathogenicity of GG variant.	Reason: Blinding of investigators for case status was not mentioned. <u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: Multivariable analysis was adjusted for important confounders and cases and controls were matched.
--	---	--

WG1: Who needs	WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?					
<b>Chow et al.</b> Indivi	Chow et al. Individual prediction of heart failure among childhood cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2015					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks		
Study design 4 retrospective cohort studies. Development (CCSS) and external validation	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> CCSS: 13060 CCS, diagnosed ≤ 21 years, survival ≥ 5 years since diagnosis. SJLIFE: 1695 CCS, survival ≥	Chemotherapy (%) CCSS/SJLIFE/EKZ/NWTS Anthracycline=yes 37.4%/59.2%/41.5%/50.8% Anthracycline dose,	Outcome definitions Heart failure CTCAE version 4.03 or version 3 (EKZ). Grade 3 (requiring medications), grade 4 (requiring HTx) or grade 5 (fatal) were included as outcomes. Included were outcomes occurring by age 40 years. Timenoint of prediction: 5 years after cancer diagnosis	No calibration. AUC and C-statistics were comparable suggesting stable estimates trough age 40		
(SJLIFE, EKZ, NWTS) of a risk prediction	10 years since diagnosis, age ≥ 18 years at study EKZ: 1362 CCS, diagnosed ≤	mg/m2 None= 57.5/40.8/58.7/49.2	Cumulative incidence: by age 40years:	Outcome/ health conditions were self- reported in the CCSS		

Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design 4 retrospective cohort studies. Development (CCSS) and external validation (SJLIFE, EKZ, NWTS) of a risk prediction model. <u>Treatment era</u> Development cohort:	Type and number of participants CCSS: 13060 CCS, diagnosed ≤ 21 years, survival ≥ 5 years since diagnosis. SJLIFE: 1695 CCS, survival ≥ 10 years since diagnosis, age ≥ 18 years at study EKZ: 1362 CCS, diagnosed ≤ 18 years, survival ≥ 5 years since diagnosis. NWTS: 6760 CCS after kidney tumor, diagnosed <	Chemotherapy (%) CCSS/SJLIFE/EKZ/NWTS Anthracycline=yes 37.4%/59.2%/41.5%/50.8% Anthracycline dose, mg/m2 None= 57.5/40.8/58.7/49.2 <100= 3.2%/12.9%/2.3%/6.3% 100-249= 10.4%/32.4%/19.3%/26.1%	Outcome definitions Heart failure CTCAE version 4.03 or version 3 (EKZ). Grade 3 (requiring medications), grade 4 (requiring HTx) or grade 5 (fatal) were included as outcomes. Included were outcomes occurring by age 40 years. Timepoint of prediction: 5 years after cancer diagnosis Cumulative incidence: by age 40years: CHF siblings=12 (cumulative incidence, 0.3%; 95% Cl, 0.1% to 0.5%), CHF CCSS =285 (cumulative incidence, 2.8%; 95% Cl, 2.4% to 3.2%) SJLIFE 19/1695, EKZ 26/1362, NWTS 48/6760	No calibration. AUC and C-statistics were comparable suggesting stable estimates trough age 40 Outcome/ health conditions were self- reported in the CCSS cohort, thus there may be bias.

CCSS: 1970- 1986	16 years, survival ≥ 5 years since diagnosis.	≥250= 9.8%/13.6%/19.0%/16.8%	10 with history of heart transplantation	Influential predictors were determined by
Validation cohorts: SJLIFE: 1962- 2001 EKZ: 1966-1996 NWTS: 1969- 2002 <u>Years after</u> diagnosis, <u>median (range)</u> CCSS: 24 (5-39) SJLIFE: not	<u>Diagnoses</u> CCSS/SJLIFE/EKZ ALL 30.5%/28.4%/22.2% Other leukemia 3.5%/4.2%/2.2% Hodgkin 13.4%/12.0%/7.6% Other lymphoma 7.4%/6.6%/12.3% Brain tumor 12.9%/12.6%/9.1% Neuroblastoma 6.7%/3.3%/6.2% Kidney tumor	Doxorubicin hematologic toxicity equivalence: Daunorubicin, 1.0; Idarubicin, 3.0; Epirubicin, 0.67; Mitoxantrone, 4.0.1 <u>Radiotherapy</u> CCSS/SJLIFE/EKZ/NWTS Chest RT = yes 25.9%/29.5%/15.9%/43.4%	Multivariable Poisson Regression CCSS Cohort: Simple Model RR (95CI) / Standard Model RR (95CI) / Heart Dose Model RR (95CI) Sex Female vs. male: 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) / 1.7 (1.3 to 2.2) / 1.7 (1.3 to 2.1) Age at diagnosis, years <5 vs. ≥15: 1.5 (1.0 to 2.3) / 2.2 (1.4 to 3.4) / 2.6 (1.6 to 4.1) 5-9 vs. ≥15: 1.2 (0.8 to 1.9) / 1.6 (1.0 to 2.4) / 1.9 (1.2 to 2.9) 10-14 vs. ≥15: 1.2 (0.9 to 1.6) / 1.2 (0.9 to 1.7) / 1.4 (1.0 to 2.0) Anthracycline, mg/m2 Any v none: 4.9 (3.8 to 6.4 ) / $-$ / $-$ <100 vs. None: $-$ / 1.9 (0.7 to 5.1) / 2.1 (0.8 to 5.9) 100-249 vs. None: $-$ / 3.3 (2.1 to 5.2) / 3.7 ( 2.3 to 5.9) >250 vs. None: $-$ / 8.6 (6.4 to 11.5) / 10.5 (7.7 to 14.4)	Risk of bias         Selection bias:         Low         risk,         Reason:       92%         (13060/(13060+1110))         participated         Attrition bias:       Unclear         Reason:       unclear if all         included participants         were assessed for an         outcome variable, no
reported EKZ: 23 (5-45) NWTS: not reported	8.7%/5.7%/13.7% Soft tiss sarcoma 8.7%/7.9%/9.6% Bone tumor 8.2%/5.7%/9.3% Other 0%/13.5%/7.9%	Chest RT dose, Gy Chest RT none 58.0%/70.5%/60.6%/45.1% Chest RT <5 Gray 9.4%/0.2%/19.6%/11.5%	Chest RT, Gy Any $v$ none: $-/$ 8.6 (6.4 to 11.5) / 10.5 (7.7 to 14.4) Chest RT, Gy Any $v$ none: 3.2 (2.5 to 4.1) / $-/-$ < 5 vs. None: $-/$ 1.0 (0.6 to 1.7) / 0.9 (0.5 to 1.6) 5-14 vs. None: $-/$ 2.1 (1.1 to 3.8) / 1.6 (1.0 to 2.7) 15-34 vs. None: $-/$ 2.3 (1.6 to 3.4) / 3.1 (2.2 to 4.5) > 25 vs. None: $-/$ 2.5 (4.6 to 0.0) / 10.5 (7.7 to 14.4)	time to event analysis for risk factors. <u>Detection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: unclear if
	NWTS cohort kidney tumor 100%	Chest RT 5-14 Gray 3.4%/5.8%/1.8%/24.5%	<ul> <li>* negative sign (—) indicates that Anthracycline and RT exposures were classified as yes versus no only in simple model and as different categories in standard and heart dose model.</li> <li>Heart dose model used average radiation dose to the heart in</li> </ul>	<u>Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: Adjusted for
	Age at diagnosis CCSS/SJLIFE/EKZ <5 years: 40.2%/32.6%/43.8% 5-9 years: 22.1%/24.2%/27.8%	10.8%/15.5%/7.9%/12.1% Chest RT ≥ 35 Gray 11.3%/7.9%/5.5%/5.8%	lieu of chest field dose because contemporary radiotherapy plans often provide heart-specific dosimetry. <i>Prediction model development</i> Piecewise exponential (Poisson) models with backward selection, adjusted for current age. Predictors evaluated: sex, age at	sex and age at diagnosis, alkylating agents, platinum agents, vinca alkaloids, neck and/or abdominal

10-14 years: 20.1%/25.7%/22.6%	Heart RT dose, Gy for CCSS/EKZ	diagnosis, alkylating agents, anthracyclines including dose, platinum agents, vinca alkaloids, neck/chest/abdominal RT
≥ 15 years:		including doses.
17.6%/17.5%/5.9%	None Gy, 62.1%/80.5% <5 Gy, 6.4%/0.1%	Integer risk scores based on the coefficients were entered in Cox models and C-statistic and AUC calculated.
Age at follow-up, median	5-14 Gv. 6.5%/2.5%	
(range)	15-34 Gy 10 6%/11 7%	CHF Risk Scores (Simple model/standard model/Heart dose
CCSS 32 (6-59) years	> 35 Gy, 7 1%/4 5%	<u>model)</u>
SJLIFE 28 (18-63) years	2 330y, 7.170/4.376	Sex
EKZ 31 (5-56 vears)		Male 0/0/0
		Female 1/1/1
Controls (if applicable)	Surgery	
CCSS Random sample of	<u>Surgery</u>	Age at diagnosis(years)
4023 siblings	Not reported	<5 years: 1/2/2
		5-9 years: 0/1/1
	HSCT	10-14 years: 0/0/1
	Included but not reported	> 15 years: $0/0/0$
		Anthracycline, ma/m2
		None: $0/0/0$
		$\Delta n_{V}$ : 3/-/-
		<100: -/1/2
		100.240/2/2
		200-249/3/3
		2250/4/4
		Chest or heart BT_Gv
		None: $0/0/0$
		Any: 3/-/-
		$5 - 14 - \frac{1}{2}$
		15-54/2/5

	≥35 -/4/4	
	* negative sign (-) indicates that Anthracycline and RT exposures	
	were classified as yes versus no only in simple model and as	
	different categories in standard and heart dose model.	
	*Risk scores 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 correspond to relative risks < 1.3, 1.3	
	to 2.9, 3.0 to 4.9, and $\geq$ 5.0, respectively	
	Model Discrimination and Predictive Power	
	AUC simple model CCSS 0.71, SJLIFE 0.63, EKZ 0.74, NWTS 0.76	
	AUC standard model CCSS 0.74, SJLIFE 0.68, EKZ 0.81, NWTS 0.72	
	AUC heart dose model CCSS 0.76, EKZ 0.74	
	C-statistic simple model CCSS 0.72, SJLIFE 0.63, EKZ 0.75, NWTS	
	C-statistic standard model CCSS 0.76 SILIEE 0.68 EV7.0.90 NIMTS	
	0.82	
	C-statistic heart dose model CCSS 0.77, EKZ 0.78	
	Standard Model Risk Score for conventional risk factors in CCSS	
	participants (182 patient cases of CHF.)	
	Obesity 1, Diabetes 0, Dyslipidemia 0, Hypertension 2	
	Updated model = AUC 0.75 and C-index 0.77	
	Classification of CHF Risk Groups Within CCSS Cohort Based on Summed Risk Scorec:	
	Simple model:	
	Simple model.	
	Low $H_{SK} \sim Sp(s)$ cull into $0.5\%$ (0.2-0.6) Moderate 2 Apts 2 1% (2.5.2.7)	
	$V_{0} = V_{0} = 0.2\% (2.3-3.7)$	
	⊔ıRıı≂ɔhr? a`r‰ (p.9-11'0%)	
	Standard model:	

	Low risk <3pts cum inc 0.5% (0.2-0.8)	
	Moderate 3-5pts 2.4% (1.8-3.0)	
	High ≥ 6pts 11.7% (8.8-14.5%)	

CCSS, Childhood Cancer Survivor Study; CHF, congestive heart failure; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EKZ/AMC, Emma Children's Hospital and Academic Medical Center; NWTS, National Wilms Tumor Study; RT, radiotherapy; SJLIFE, St Jude Lifetime Cohort

#### WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?

*Armstrong et al.* Comprehensive Echocardiographic Detection of Treatment-Related Cardiac Dysfunction in Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer: Results From the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort Study. JACC 2015 2511-2522

Study design	Doutisinouts	Treatment		
Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment		e.g. risk of bias
Study design SJLIEFE cohort of survivors of adult childhood cancer Quastionnaire and medical screening <u>Treatment era</u> Not reported <u>Follow-up</u> Median 22.6 years (range 10.4-48.3)	Type and number of participants1820 adult childhood cancer survivorsDiagnoses All childhood cancer diagnosesAge at diagnosis 0-21 years, median not reportedAge at follow-up Median 31 (18-65)Controls (if applicable) -	Chemotherapy 83% anthracyclines Cumulative anthracycline dose, no mean/median reported Radiotherapy 41% chest RT RT doses given per range, no median/mean Surgery - HSCT	Outcome definitions         LV Systolic dysfunction:         -3D LVEF <50%, n=106 (5.8%)	These findings suggest that traditional echocardiographic evaluation of cardiac function with LVEF may be insensitive Evidence of cardiac dysfunction (strain and diastolic function) in 1 of 3. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> high risk Reason: 1820/3029 (60%) participated, differences in sex, time since diagnosis.

-	10-14 years: RR 1.02 (0.59 - 1.76)	B. Attrition bias: Low
	-Chest RT dose, Gray (none=reference)	risk
	1-19.9: RR 1.24 (0.70-2.22)	Reason: Outcome was
	20-29.9: RR 1.86 (1.00-3.45), p<0.05	assessed in all
	>=30: RR 7.99 (3.88-16.48)	patients
	-Non-hispanic white vs other race: RR 1.53 (0.93-2.52)	
	-Current age, years(18-30=reference)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u>
	31-40: RR 1.38 (0.81-2.35)	Uncledi Dessent blinding not
	>40: RR 0.98 (0.52-1.84)	reported
	Modifiable CV risk factors and 3D LVEF<50%	reported
	-Poisson models adjusted for current age, age at diagnosis, race/ethnicity, sex, chest RT and anthracycline exposure	<u>D. Confounding:</u> Low
	-Metabolic syndrome: RR 1.07 (0.74-1.53)	Reason: Multivariable
	-Abdominal obesity: 1.34 (0.99-1.82)	models adjusted for
	-Triglyc >=150 mg/dl: RR 1.01 (0.70-1.44)	important
	-Low HDL: RR 1.01 (0.74-1.38)	confounders
	-Hypertension: RR 1.44 (1.22-1.70)	
	-Fasting glucose >=100 mg/dl: RR 1.02 (0.75-1.39)	
	Multivariable poisson regression GLS >2D	
	-Anthracycline dose, mg/m2 (none=reference)	
	1-100: RR 1.38 (1.05-1.82)	
	101-200: RR 1.16 (0.89-1.50)	
	201-300: RR 1.06 (0.78-1.45)	
	301-400: RR 1.72 (1.31-2.26)	
	>400: RR 1.73 (1.19-2.50)	
	-Female vs male: RR 1.55 (1.34-1.79)	
	-Age at diagnosis (≥15 years=reference), p>0.05 for all	
	0-4 years: RR 1.02 (0.82-1.27)	
	5-9 years: RR 0.92 (0.74-1.15)	
	10-14 years: RR 1.03 (0.83-1.24)	

Chest PT dose Gray (none-reference)	
-cliest Ki dose, Gray (libite-reference)	
1-19.9: RR 1.38 (1.14-1.66)	
20-29.9: RR 1.65 (1.31-2.08)	
>=30: RR 2.39 (1.79-3.18)	
-Non-hispanic white vs other race: RR 1.22(1.03-1.46)	
-Current age, years(18-30=reference)	
31-40: RR 1.25 (1.05-1.48)	
>40: RR 1.49 (1.20-1.85)	
Modifiable CV risk factors and GLS >2D	
-Metabolic syndrome: RR 1.94 (1.66-2.28)	
-Abdominal obesity: RR 1.73 (1.48-2.01)	
-Triglyc >=150 mg/dl: RR 1.65 (1.40-1.95)	
-Low HDL: RR 1.40 (1.23-1.59)	
-Hypertension: RR 1.48 (1.33-1.65)	
-Fasting glucose >=100 mg/dl: RR 1.37 (1.19-1.59)	
Abrevent CLC related to reduced eventies connection	
-Abnormal GLS related to reduced exercise capacity	

Armstrong et al. Modifiable Risk Factors and Major Cardiac Events Among Adult Survivors of Childhood Cancer. JCO 2013

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				e.g. risk of bias
Study design	Type and number of	Chemotherapy	Outcome definitions	Interaction of
CCSS cohort of	<u>participants</u>	38.9%	Self reported major cardiovascular events, CTCAE v4.03 Grade 3-5. Only	hypertension and
survivors of adult	10724 adult childhood	anthracyclines	heart failure reported in this table.	anthracycline dose
childhood cancer	cancer survivors	Cumulative	Self reported presence of modifiable CV risk factors and taking	
Self-reported		anthracycline	medications for the condition.	Risk of bias
medical conditions	<u>Diagnoses</u>	dose, no		A. Selection bias: high
	All childhood cancer	mean/median		risk
<u>Treatment era</u>	diagnoses	reported	Multivariable poisson regression for heart failure	

Follow-up median of 25.6 years (range, 7.4 to 39.3 years) from cancer diagnosis	Age at diagnosis 0-21 years, median not reported Age at follow-up Median age at last follow- up of survivors was 33.7 years (range, 11.0 to 58.9 years) Controls (if applicable) Siblings 3159	Radiotherapy 26.4% chest RT RT doses given per range, no median/mean Surgery - HSCT -	<ul> <li>-Cumulative incidence of grade 3 to 5 heart failure by 45 years of age was 4.8% (95% CI, 4.1% to 5.6%)</li> <li>Survivors exposed to chest RT</li> <li>-Hypertension in 14.9%, RR 19.4 (11.4-33.1)</li> <li>-Dyslipidemia in 8.9%, RR 1.1 (ns)</li> <li>-Diabetes in 3.7%, RR 5.7 (1.3-24.3)</li> <li>-Obesity, BMI&gt;=30, in 21.7%, RR 0.9 (ns)</li> <li>-Hypertension+dyslipidemia RR 7.8 (2.6-23.1)</li> <li>-Hypertension+diabetes RR 35.3 (12.1-103)</li> <li>-Hypertension+obesity RR 18.4 (8.8-38.6)</li> <li>Survivors exposed to anthracyclines</li> <li>-Hypertension RR 12.4 (7.6-20.1)</li> <li>-Dyslipidemia RR 1.1 (ns)</li> <li>-Diabetes RR 4.3 (1.0-17.8)</li> <li>-Obesity RR 1.6 (ns)</li> <li>-Hypertension+diabetes RR 16.9 (5.1-55.7)</li> <li>-Hypertension+obesity RR 6.5 (2.5-16.5)</li> <li>-Survivors treated with chest-directed RT who developed two or more cardiovascular risk factors of which one was hypertension, demonstrated a statistically significant increased RERI for development heart failure (RERI, 18.3; 95% CI, 7.6 to 37.4).</li> <li>-Survivors treated with anthracyclines who developed two or more cardiovascular risk factors of which one was hypertension, demonstrated a statistically significant increased RERI for development heart failure (RERI, 18.3; 95% CI, 0.3 to 29.6).</li> </ul>	Reason: 69% completed baseline questions <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> low risk Reason: 75% available for cardiac follow-up <u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear Reason: blinding not reported <u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: Multivariable models adjusted for important confounders
---	---	---	--	---

**Ramjaun et al.** Echocardiographic Detection of Cardiac Dysfunction in Childhood Cancer Survivors: How Long Is Screening Required? Pediatr Blood Cancer 2015;62:2197–2203

Treatment eraParticipantsTreatmentMain outcomesAdditional remarksYears of follow-upAdditional remarks	
Study design Retrospective cohortType and number of participantsAnthracyclines Any anthracyclineOutcome definitions Primary-32.6% of pts with a had meds, symptomsTreatment era Not specified333 adult 5-year survivors of childhood (diagnosed <18 years) cancer treated with anthracycline and/or radiation therapy involving heartAnthracycline Dose <250 mg/m² -232 (69.6%)Anthracycline Dose <250 mg/m² -232 valvular abnormality: at least one abnormal echocardiogram: LVEF <55% or SF <28% or valvular abnormality: at least one abnormal echocardiogram intreaveline participants (69.6%)-32.6% of pts with ab had meds, symptoms -chocardiography is only 72% of patients echocardiogram intreaveline participants (69.6%)-32.6% of pts with ab had meds, symptoms -chocardiography is only 72% of patients echocardiogram. LVEF <55% or SF <28% or valvular abnormality: at least one abnormal echocardiogram. IV and abnormality: an abnormal echocardiogram echocardiograms32.6% of pts with ab is only 72% of patients echocardiography is only 72% of patients echocardiograms -Variable number of echocardiograms.Follow-up Median 15.8 (range 5.0-47.9) yrsDiagnoses Hodgkin lymphoma 35.4 % ALL 43.5%Platinum -Atl 43.0%-Athracycline Dose >> 250 mg/m² -29 (8.7%) had sustained abnormal findings. -29 (8.7%) had sustained abnormal findings. -29 (8.7%) had sustained abnormality in patients treated with >250mg/m² doxorubicin at age <5 years (43% at 20 years post treatment). -No sustained abnormality after 10 years of therapy in survivors of original cohort by cur anthracycline dose survivors of eated with >250 mg/m² 0 significantly higher in patients with sustained abnormal fin	bhormal echo is not reported is subjective, is with abnormal this cohort sults. r patient, 13). rracic RT in this duration of creening for rvivors who racycline doses w risk ) eligible cohort entative of imulative

Smoking (current) 10.2 %       -       th         Hypertension (ever) 3.6%       ed         Diabetes (ever) 2.1 %       HSCT         -       - <u>Cardioactive Meds</u> -         16 (4.8% of entire cohort,       32.6% of those with         abnormal echo)       St <u>Controls (if applicable)</u> dd         -       70	choracic RT not significantly association with sustained echo abnormalities at 20 years post treatment. <u>Multivariable regression analysis</u> Anthracycline dose >250 mg/m <sup>2</sup> and age <5 years at creatment were associated with significantly greater risk of having any abnormal echocardiogram as well as sustained abnormality. Stratified by age and dose, highest risk of sustained abnormality in those who were <5years AND received doxo dose >250mg/m <sup>2</sup> (20 years' risk: 54%, 95% CI=27- 70%).	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk, although only single echocardiogram was available in 9 (2.7%) participants outcomes were available for all <u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear, blinding not reported <u>D. Confounding: High</u> risk Multivariable analysis not adjusted for sex
--	--	--

*Feijen et al.* Equivalence Ratio for Daunorubicin to Doxorubicin Relation to Late Heart Failure in Survivors of Childhood Cancer. Journal of clinical oncology, 2015, 33, 774-3780

Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias
<u>Study design</u> Cohort study <u>Treatment era</u> 1963-2002 <u>Follow-up</u>	Type and number of participantsChildhood cancer survivors (>=5 years after diagnosis)N=15,851Diagnoses Acute lymphoblastic leukemia 4561 (29.5%)Other leukemia 535 (3.5%)	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Doxorubicin No=10653 (67.4%) Yes=5144 (32.5%) Not reported=54 (0.3%) Median dose 255mg/m2 (IQR 144 to 365) Daunorubicin	<u>Outcome definitions</u> Heart failure defined as CTCAEv4.03 grade 3-5 that occurred after cohort entry by age 40 years <u>Results</u> N=271 heart failure cases included in the analyses.	Patients with heart failure excluded from analysis: second malignancy before heart failure n=48; heart failure occurrence after age 40 years n=56 15 or 16 heart failure cases received no known

Median 17.3 years (range 5-35) after cohort entry Neuroblastoma 966 (6	78 (12.5%)       No=13554 (85.7%)         (7.5%)       Yes=2243 (14.2%)         %)       Not reported=54 (0.3%)         1%)       Median dose 111 mg/m2	Overall cumulative incidence of heart failure at the age of 40 years 3.2% (95% Cl, 2.8% to 3.7%).	potential cardiotoxic treatment (both numbers reported)
Neuroblastoma 966 (e         Kidney tumor 1713 (10         Soft tissue sarcoma 13         Bone tumor 1245 (7.9)         Other malignant neop         Age at diagnosis         Median 6.7 years (rang         Age at follow-up         Median 30.5 years (rang         Cardiovascular risk fact         Not reported         Cardioactive Meds         Not reported         Controls (if applicable)         NA	1%)       Median dose 111 mg/m2         .8%)       (IQR 91 to 271)         51 (8.5%)       Epirubicin         6)       No=15680 (99.1%)         asm 335 (2.1%)       Yes=135 (0.9%)         Median dose 300 mg/m2       (IQR 200-420)         e 0.0-24.8)       Idarubicin         No=15798 (99.9%)       Yes=18 (0.1%)         ge 5.6 to 40.0)       Not reported=35 (0.2%)         Median dose 20 mg/m2 (IQ       11-36)         ors       Mitoxantrone         No=15771 (99.7%)       Yes=44 (0.3%)         Median dose 34.6 mg/m2       (IQR 12-50)         N=742 (4.7%) received morthan one type of anthracycline       Radiotherapy         To the chest:       No=11340 (71.7%)         Yes=4044 (25.6%)       Not reported=467 (2.9%)	Multivariable Cox regression-adjusted for sex; age at diagnosis; chest radiotherapy dose; and exposure to another anthracycline besides doxorubicin or daunorubicin, such as epirubicin, idarubicin, or mitoxantrone, stratified by cohortDaunorubicin (HR 95% Cl) None reference <=0.1 to <200 mg/m2 1.09 0.57 to 2.08 <= 200 to <300 mg/m2 3.16 1.16 to 8.61 <= 300 to <400 mg/m2 4.33 1.73 to 10.84 >=400 mg/m2 10.72 5.13 to 22.42 -Doxorubicin (HR 95% Cl) None reference <=0.1 to <200 mg/m2 2.80 1.75 to 4.49 <= 200 to <300 mg/m2 13.19 9.04 to 19.25 >=400 mg/m2 18.43 12.82 to 26.50 -Daunorubicin-to-Doxorubicin Ratio (Ratio 95% Cl) <=0.1 to <200 mg/m2 0.39 0.04 to 0.78 <= 200 to <300 mg/m2 0.33 0.03 to 0.62 >=400 mg/m2 0.58 0.09 to 1.12 <mean (95%cl="" 0.23="" 0.45="" hrs:="" of="" ratio="" to<br=""></mean> 0.73)	Long-term follow-up Only 5% of participants exposed to anthracyclines received doses in excess of 500mg/m2 Overlap Feijen 2015 and 2 Feijen 2019 studies <b>Risk of bias</b> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: the original cohort of survivors is not reported <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk Reason: outcome assessed for all participants <u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear Reason: not reported if outcome assessors were blinded <u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: All important prognostic factors were taken into account

	Median dose 30 Gy (IQR 20 to 38) <u>Surgery</u> Not reported	Dose response analysis -Linear dose response model was chosen DAU to DOX ratio: 0.49 (95% Cl 0.28 to 0.70) Madel contained baseling sourcistory corr	
	<u>HSCT</u> Not reported	age at diagnosis, chest radiotherapy dose, current age, cohort, and exposure to another anthracycline besides doxorubicin or daunorubicin (eg, epirubicin, idarubicin, or mitoxantrone).	

Aminkeng et al. A coding variant in RARG confers susceptibility to anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in childhood cancer. Nat Genet. 2015

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Retrospective??	<u>Type and number of participants</u> - Canadian patients 44 patients – 293 controls	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Cumulative anthracycline	Outcome definitions Cases were defined as exhibiting shortening fractions (SEs) of <24% or signs and symptoms	Extremely difficult study design. Took me
(recruitment from 3	- Dutch patients 22 patients – 74	type was doxorubicine in	of cardiac compromise requiring intervention	how this was done.
defined	- US patients 19 patients – 61 controls	- Canadian patients	for Adverse Events version 3	findings should be
Unknown if this was a	- patients treated with anthracyclines	Controls: 175 (140-295)	cardiac compromise for at least 5 years after	familiar with genetic
patient)		Patients 407 (270-480)		Releavively small
<u>Treatment era</u> - Canadian patients 2005-2011	<u>Diagnoses</u> In all groups leukemia, lymphoma, sarcoma, etc	Controls 277 (180-364) - US patients: Mixed groups per ethnicity	<u>Results</u> The exploratory study showed 18 variants tagging 9 distinct linkage diseauilibruim blocks which were used in the GWAS.	number of patients with a very heterogenous background regarding

- Dutch patients	<u>Age at diagnosis</u>	<u>Radiotherapy (%)</u>	The GWAS showed an association with RARG	underlying disease and
2009-2011	- Canadian patients	<ul> <li>Canadian patients</li> </ul>	(p=0.0043, OR 4.1 (1.5-11.5))	treatment. Large
- US patients 2008-	Patients: 9 (2.5-14)	Patients: 37.5	The rs2229774 SNP in RARG was associated	discrepancy between
2010	Controls: 4 (2-7.5)	Controls: 16	with ACT in stages 1 and 2 and in the combined	cases and controls
	- Dutch patients	- Dutch patients	analysis at both low to moderate ( $P = 4.1 \times 10^{-1}$	regarding the dose of
Follow-up	Patients 7.5 (5-12)	Patients 27	4, 0.0036 and $9.8 \times 10-6$ , respectively) and high	chemo
Not reported	Controls 11 (6-14)	Controls 24	$(P = 0.0021, 0.084 \text{ and } 8.7 \times 10-4, \text{ respectively})$	There was a correction
	- US patients	- US patients: Mixed groups	anthracycline doses.	(how?) done on age,
	Mixed groups per etnicity	per etnicity		dose, radiotherapy and
		<u>Surgery</u> n.a.		disease.
	Duration of follow-up	HSCT Not reported		Overall, rs2229774
	- Canadian patients			carriers (AA or AG
	Patients: 7.5 (2.5-15.5)			genotype) had
	Controls: 9 (7-12)			significantly increased
	- Dutch patients			odds of developing
	Patients 22 (19-25)			ACT in comparison to
	Controls 17 (14-22)			non-carriers (OR = 5.2
	- US patients			(3.0–9.0), P = 5.9 × 10-
	Mixed groups per etnicity			10).
	Controls (if applicable)			
	See above			

*Sagi et al.* Possible roles of genetic variations in chemotherapy related cardiotoxicity in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia and osteosarcoma. 2018.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Retrospective cohort study	Type and number of participants 680 pediatric patients enrolled retrospectively period 1989– 2015.	Chemotherapy cumulative anthracycline (i.e.	Outcome definitions Fractional shortening (FS) and ejection fraction (EF)	Small sample study only 20/680 with pathological FS The worst heart function of each patient was used to define patients

Treatment era		doxorubicin	Case = those who had FS ≤ 28% at any time	for the case-control type study so if it
1989-2015	Type and number of participants	equivalent) is	point during the follow-up ( $n = 20$ )	is just a single event, the patient is
1909 2013	<u>CR0 padiatria patients aprollad</u>	used		considered as a "case"
	boo pediatric patients enrolled	Cumm doses	70 single guele stide get we such is the (CNDs) in	Number of cases per SNP is not
Follow-up	retrospectively period 1989–	of dox ranging	20 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPS) in	nrecised narticularly for the case
To >15 years after	2015.	from 190 290	26 genes were genotyped	control study
diagnosis for a	399 (60%) male and 262 (40%)	<u>mg/m2</u>	<u>Results</u>	
proportion of the	female	<u>111g/1112</u>	variations in	Precisions are given for the CYP3A5
included patients			- ABCC2 (ABCC2 rs3740066 GG genotype	rs4646450 genotype for ALL patients
	Diagnoses	Radiotherapy	had lower FS during the acute phase of	or when compared
	622 pediatric acute	29% of the ALL	therapy and 5–10 years)	increased/decreased FS for ALL
	by here by the state of the sta	patients	- after treatment ( $n = 7.38F-03$ , $n = 7.11F-04$	patients
	20 octoocarcoma (OSC)	received 12 Gv	respectively)	Follow-up quite short <50% over 10
	S9 Osteosarcoma (OSC)	cranial	- <b>CYP3A5</b> (CYP3A5 rs4646450 TT was 17%	years so results are expressed as 5-10
		radiotherany	among ALL cases with ES lower than 28	years after diagnosis
	<u>Age at diagnosis</u>	radiotiferapy	and 3% in ALL natients without	Candidate gene analysed based on
	aged 0–18 years, mean 6.6 +- 4.3		nathological ES ( $n = 5.60E_03$ ; OR = 6.94	the litterature
	у	<u>Surgery</u>	(1.76-27.39))	
	7(1%) < 1v and $138(21%) > 10v$		(1.70-27.35))), NOO1 (NOO1 rs1042470 raro T allolo was	P: 1 (1)
			- NOOI (NOOI 151045470 Tale T allele was	RISK OT DIAS
		ност	function in the soute phase and E 10 years	A. Selection bias: Low risk
	Controls	1001	runction in the acute phase and 5-10 years	Reason: all eligible participated
	For the case-control study :		after the diagnosis ( $p = 4.28E-0.3$ and $5.82E-0.2$	
	Patients who received the same			B Attrition higs: high risk
	chemotherapy as the cases but	<u></u>	- SLC22A6 (SLC22A6 gene rs6591722 AA	D. Attrition blast ingit tisk
	never had		genotype was associated with lower mean	Reason: follow-up 5-10 y is 74% for
	FS ≤ 28% were regarded as		FS ( $p = 1.71E-03$ ), 5–10 years after the	ALL and 92% for OSC but over 10
	controls (n = $641$ )		diagnosis)	years respectively for 37% and 49%
	+ Patients with decreased FS		- <b>SLC28A3</b> (SLC28A3 rs/853/58AA was 12%	
	were compared to those with		in ALL cases population, while only 1%	C. Detection bias: unclear risk
	increased FS		among controls ( $p = 6.50E-03$ ; OR = 11.56	Reason: blinding not reported
			(1.98–67.45)).	5 · · · · · · ·
				D. Confounding, low risk
	Additional comments			
	Some patients were excluded			Reason: multivariable analyses
	from the analysis because of			
	Down syndrome (n = 7), previous			
	cardiac problems or any			

concomitant disease with potential cardiac complications (adrenoleukodystrophy, agenesi renis. cardiac	
arrhythmia, congenital hypothyroidism, cystic fibrosis, ventricular septal defect, VACTERL) (n = 12).	

*Spewak MB.* Yield of screening echocardiograms during pediatric follow-up in survivors treated with anthracyclines and cardiotoxic radiation. Pediatric blood & cancer 2017

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study designRetrospective singlecenter study. Largecohort for screeningpedriatic cancersurvivors seenbetween 2001-2013Treatment eraBetween 1989 and2010Follow-up≥2 years aftercompletion oftherapy. median	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> 853 (out of 911) participants (43% female; mean age at diagnosis 7±5 years. <u>Diagnoses</u> Different "pediatric malignancies" - Leukemia/lymphoma: 70.6% - Sarcoma 10.3% - Other: 19.1%	<u>Chemotherapy</u> 95.2% treated with anthracyclines (<100mg/2 16%, 100-199mg/m2 35.15, 200- 299mg/m2 20.9%, 300- 399mg/m2 16.9%, >400mg/m2 in 6.2%) <u>Radiotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions         4. LV systolic dysfunction (LVEF <55% and/or FS <28%	-42 were symptomatic -Restricted to those with a first screening echo before age 22 (n=4 excluded) -some had cardiotoxicity prior to this study (within 2 years from cancer diagnosis), exact number not reported <u><b>Risk of bias</b></u>

follow up 7.5 (range 2.4-19.9y) years	Age at diagnosis mean age at diagnosis 7±5 years. Age at follow-up; Not reported Controls (if applicable) none	28.2% treated with chest radiotherapy, cumulative RT dose <10 in 1.2%, 10-29 in 19.9% and >30Gy in 7.1% Antracycline only 71.8% Radiotion only 4.8% Both 23.5% <u>Surgery</u> Not reported <u>HSCT</u> 14.2%	<ul> <li>F. Pt recommended for echo every 2y; n=331 Zabnormal in, the yield was 1.6%,</li> <li>G. Patients with the most frequent screening (N 270) had the highest yield of screening echocardiograms (3.1%).</li> <li>H. Using the Cow screening criteria: 149 survivors included in our analysis were classified as lowrisk (yield 1.5%), 374 as moderate risk (yield 2.7%), and 327 as high risk (yield 1.9%).</li> <li>I. Pt who received &lt;100/m2 cumulative dose of anthracycline therapy, none had an abnormal screening echocardiogram</li> <li>J. patients who received 300 mg/m2 cumulative anthracyclines and &gt; 30 Gy cumulative cardiotoxic radiation were 7.0 times more likely (95% CI: 1.6–31.9) to have an abnormal screening echocardiogram</li> <li>K. No statistical differences were found between sexes or races</li> <li>Multivariable logistic regression at least one echo abnormality</li> <li>-Anthracycline, mg/m2 (100-199=reference)</li> <li>200-299: OR 1.3 (0.5-3.4)</li> <li>&gt;=300: OR 3.1 (1.3-7.2)</li> <li>-Female vs male: OR 0.5 (0.2-1.1), p&gt;0.05</li> <li>-Age at diagnosis (&lt;1 year=reference)</li> <li>1-4 years: OR 1.3 (0.2-10.9), p&gt;0.05</li> <li>&gt;=5 years: OR 1.6 (0.2-12.3), p&gt;0.05</li> <li>&gt;=5 years: OR 1.6 (0.2-12.3), p&gt;0.05</li> <li>-Race/ethnicity</li> <li>Black vs white: OR 0.9 (0.4-2.1)</li> <li>Other vs white: OR 0.6 (0.2-2.2)</li> <li>-Chest RT dose, Gray (none=reference)</li> <li>&lt;30: OR 1.2 (0.5-2.9), NS</li> </ul>	A. Selection bias: Low risk Reason: Excluded patients (6%) were well classified in table 1 B. Attrition bias: Low risk Reason: In majority the outcome was assessed C. Detection bias: Unclear Reason: This is not stated in this paper D. Confounding: Low risk Reason: See main outcomes
			<30: OR 1.2 (0.5-2.9), NS >=30: OR 2.5 (0.9-7.1), NS	

Markman et al. Electrophysiological effects of anthracyclines in adult survivors of pediatric malignancy. Pediatric blood & cancer 2017

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Retrospective single center study. Data subtracted from routine care (EPD) Treatment era Between 1984 and 2015 Follow-up At least 1 year (?). mean follow up 14±7years	Type and number of participants134 (out of 147) participants (48% female; mean age 30±5.6 years, range unknownDiagnoses Different "pediatric malignancies"Age at diagnosis <18y	Chemotherapy 72% treated with anthracyclines (cumulative dose 216±100mg/m2) Doxorubicin equivalence according to Feijen 2015. <u>Radiotherapy</u> 21% treated with chest radiotherapy, cumulative RT 	Outcome definitions-LV systolic dysfunction (LVEF <55% or FS Z- =score 2SD below the mean for age) -Arrhytmias (although this is not clearly defined)Studied risk factors: -QTc using the Bazetts formula -Other ECG timing parameters Also included in the multivariate analysis: -sex, antracyline cumulative dose, Chest radiotherapy, AgeResults -LV dysfunction on echo in 33 (24%), 8 of them already during cancer treatment. -Mean time to LV dysfunction 3.7 ± 4.7 yearsMultivariable logistic regression (95% CI)* Male vs female: OR 1.027, p=0.53 Caucasian vs other: OR 0.98, p=0.12 Anthracycline dose per 1 mg/m2: OR 1.001, p=0.054 Chest RT dose per 1 Gray: OR 1.002, p=0.027 PR interval per msec: OR 0.998, p=0.17 QRS interval per msec: OR 0.999, p=0.87	<ul> <li>-42% of cases used ACEi, unknown how many symptomatic</li> <li>Several significant limitations: <ul> <li>Retrospective design, follow up examinations not harmonized.</li> <li>Inconsistent reporting of arrythmias.</li> <li>PAC and PVC's were also considered arrythmias (not stated how many these were)</li> <li>Time between the ECG and the ultrasound was 349 days (3 to 1448days)</li> <li>Study group is far to small for the multivariate analysis they performed</li> </ul> </li> <li>Risk of bias <ul> <li>A. Selection bias: Low risk</li> <li>Reason: 134/147 (91%) participated</li> </ul> </li> <li>B. Attrition bias: Low risk</li> <li>Reason: outcome was assessed in all participants</li> <li>C. Detection bias: Unclear Reason: This is not stated in this paper</li> </ul>

	QTc interval per msec: OR 1.007, p<0.001	D. Confounding: Low risk
	*ORs calculated from reported coefficients by exponentiating them	Reason: MV model included important confounders

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? Genetics					
Visscher et al. Genetic varia	ints in SLC22A17 and SLC22A7 are	associated with anthrac	ycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. Pharmacogenomic	s 2015	
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	
Study design Identification of novel SNPs with extended GWAS for cardiotoxicity in development cohort (CPNDS) and 2 independent replication cohorts (CPNDSrepl and Dutch-EKZ). EKZ: matched case control design <u>Treatment era</u> CPNDS recruitment of survivors between 2005- 2010 CPNDSrepl rectruitment between 2010-2011	Type and number of participantsDevelopment (n=344)Anthracycline treated CCSfrom the CanadianPharmacogenomics Networkfor Drug Safety (CPNDS)Replication cohorts (n=218)90 anthracycline treatedchildren from the CanadianPharmacogenomics Networkfor Drug Safety (CPNDS)128 CCS from the Dutch-EKZ.EKZ matched for age attreatment, gender, dose,follow-up time.No age at follow-up or survivaltime restrictions	Anthracyclines 100% of CCS Median dose (range) mg/m2 CPNDS cases 300 (36- 540) CPNDS controls 175 (60-600) EKZ cases 360 (100- 720) EKZ controls 280 (50- 720) CPNDSrepl cases 300 (175-550) CPNDSrepl controls 150 (50-540) <u>Chest RT</u>	Outcome definitionsAnthracycline induced cardiotoxicity cases:FS<26% and/or CTCAE grade $\geq$ 3 (symptomatic eventsrequiring intervention, Htx or fatal events).Controls: anthracycline treated with FS $\geq$ 30%Proportion with cardiotoxicity during follow-upDevelopment 78/335Replication 44/185Extended GWAS for 4500 SNPs. Significant when p<0.01	Overlap of cohort with 2012 JCO and 2013 pedtr blood cancer paper. Novel extended GWAS <b>Risk of bias</b> A. Selection bias: Unclear Reason: unclear if cohort included all patients who visited the outpatient clinic or a representative sample B. Attrition bias: Unclear	

EKZ treatment between 1966-1996	16 samples removed from genotype analysis	CPNDS cases 16% CPNDS controls 7%	-SLC22A17 rs4982753 combined OR 0.50 (0.33–0.75) -SLC22A7 rs4149178 comb OR 0.45 (0.26–0.75)	Reason: Patients could have died before study
		EKZ cases 20%		was conducted. This
Follow-up years median	<u>Diagnoses</u>	EKZ controls 23%	Additional non replicated SNPs, enriched in antioxidant	was not mentioned
<u>(range)</u>	Not reported for full cohorts	CPNDSrep cases 33%	and oxidative stress pathways	
CPNDS cases 6.5 (0.1-	(only for cases/controls	CPNDSrep conts 23%	SULT2B1 rs10426628 1.92 (1.19–2.50)	C. Detection bias:
21.2)	separately), various tumours		Numerous others in table	Unclear
CPNDS controls 7.8 (5.0-	mostly ALL and NHL	Surgery		Reason: Blinding of
17.9)		Not reported	Not replicated SNP that was found in other cohorts	sonographers for
	Age at diagnosis median		(Blanco et al): Carbonyl reductase gene: CBR3 rs1056892	genetic status was not
EKZ cases 21.3 (7.4-28.5)	<u>(range)</u>	<u>HSCT</u>	(p = 0.67, OR: 0.93)	mentioned
EKZ controls 16.8 (5-31.6)	CPNDS cases 5.5 (0.04-17)	Not reported		
CPNDSrepl cases 6.8 (0.4-	CPNDS controls 3.9 (.5-16.5)		SLC22A17 and SLC22A7 added to previous developed	D. Confounding: Low
27.2)	EKZ cases 9.1 (0.5-16.8)		multimarker prediction model with rs7853758,	risk
CPNDSrepl controls 7.4	EKZ controls 11.2 (1.8-17.7)		<u>rs17863783, rs10426377, rs2305364, rs4148808</u>	Reason: multivariable
(5.0-23.1)	CPNDSrepl cases 12.6 (0.9-		(Visscher et al 2013)	analysis
	17.0)		development	
	CPNDSrepl controls 4.9 (0.5-		Previous: AUC 0.76 (0.70–0.82)	
	16)		New: AUC 0.79 (0.74–0.85), p=0.035	
			Replication	
	Age at follow-up		Previous: AUC 0.74 (0.66–0.82)	
	Not reported		New: AUC 0.76 (0.68–0.83) p=0.45	

*Christiansen et al.* Left Ventricular Function in Long-Term Survivors of Childhood Lymphoma. Am J Cardiol 2014;114:483-90.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Cross-sectional	<u>Type and number of</u> participants	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions Systolic dysfunction:	-No data on cardiac symptoms

Case-control	125 childhood lymphoma	Anth, no RT 40%	LVEF <50% or FS <27% (F)/<25%(M)	-High degree of categorization for
Nationwide.	survivors, diagnosed <18 years,	Anth + RT 34%	Diastolic dysfunction:	the LV structural measurements
Norway	attained age >18 years, follow-	Median cum. dose	Septal e' <8cm/s or lateral e' <10cm/s	(tables 3 and 4) and subgroup
,	up >5 years. 54% male.	(IQR) 160 mg/m <sup>2</sup> (102	Left-sided valve dysfunction: ≥mild or before	analyses (table 5). Refer to the
Treatment era		- 214)	valve surgery	paper.
<u>1070</u> 2000	Diagnoses	Alkylating agent 80%		-Relatively low cumulative
1970 - 2000	Lymphoma		<u>Results</u>	anthracycline doses, 74%
	/ F	<b>Radiotherapy</b>	Prevalence (vs controls)	anthracycline exposure.
Follow-up	Age at diagnosis	Mediastinal, no anth	LVEF <50% 4% (10% ;n.s.)	In contrast, more RT than most
Mean 20.4	Modian 14 years (IOP 10 F	18%	FS <27%/25% 8% (3% ;n.s.)	cohort studies.
years	16 A)	Mediastinal + anth	Diastolic dysfunction 29% (4%; p <.001)	-Diastolic function simplified, but the
(50 8.6)	10.4)	34%	Valve dysfunction 31% (n.m.)	most correct parameter seems used
	Age at follow up		Syst. or diast. or valve dysfunction 50%	for this young population.
	Age at tonow-up	No anth, no RT 7%	(n.m.)	-RT seems to have caused smaller
	Median 33 years (IQR 26.8 –		NO reduced wall dimensions after anth	hearts, normative values of atrial
	39.0)	Surgery	treatment.	size might not apply.
		Not reported	Reduced internal dimensions after RT	
	Controls (if applicable)	notreported	treatment.	Risk of bias
	1:1 match for sex, age, body	ЦСТ		A. Selection bias: High risk
	weight and systolic BP from	<u>Not reported</u>	Multivariable logistic regression:	Reason: 125/220 participated
	(n-1206)	Not reported	(corrected for sex diagnosis age age at Dx	B. Attrition bias: Low risk
	(11-1290)		RT and anthracycline treatment)	Reason: Outcome was assessed in all
			- Systolic dysfunction: none significant	included.
			- Diastolic dysfunction: attained age (OR 1.1)	C. Detection bias: Low risk
			- Valve dysfunction: RT exposure (OR 27.8)	Reason: sonographer was blinded for
			and attained age (OR 1.2)	clinical and exposure status
			RT dose dependency for aortic valve disease	D. Confounding: Low risk
			(p <.001) and trend towards dose	Reason: Multivariable model
			dependency for mitral valve disease (p	
			0.135)	
1				

Armenian et al. Screening for Cardiac Dysfunction in Anthracycline-Exposed Childhood Cancer Survivors. Clin Cancer Res; 2014;24:6314–23.							
Study design							
Treatment era	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks			
Years of follow-up							
Study design Observational (cross- sectional)Treatment era Recruitment October 2010 to September 2012Date of diagnosis: HR (high-risk): (≥300mg/m² doxorubicin or equivalent) 31 (31%) ≤ 1994 36 (36%) 1995-2001 33 (33%) ≥2002LR (low-risk): (<300mg/m² doxorubicin or equivalent) 17 (34%) ≤ 1994 19 (38%) 1995-2001	Type and number of participants203 screened1 (HR) refused2 (1 HR, 1 HC) did not complete tests200 recruited – all asymptomatic with LVEF EF ≥50% on echocardiography HR (high-risk): n=100 ≥300mg/m² anthracyclines LR (low-risk): n=50 <300mg/m² anthracyclinesAge at diagnosis HR: median 13.1y [range 0.4- 21.7y] LR: median 6.1y [range 1.2- 21.9y] p<0.01 for comparison	Diagnostic test(s)-Echocardiography:Two-dimensional, M-mode, and Dopplerevaluations, as per theAHA/ACC task forcepractice guidelines: EDD,systole; EDD, diastole;PWS; PWD; thickness-dimension ratio; ESWS; LVMass; IVRT; IVCT; ejectiontime; MPI; E wave; A wave;E/A ratio-Biomarkers:BNP; NT-proBNP;Troponin-T; Galectin-3; ST-2Outcome definitionsAbnormal LV end-systolicwall stress (>2SD normal).	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC)-Diagnostic values not reportedBiomarkers: -NT-proBNP higher in HR (71 pg/dL) vs LR (37 pg/dL, P = 0.02) and HC (26 pg/dL, P < 0.01)No differences in BNP, Troponin-T, galectin-3, and ST-2 levels among the three groups. -NT-proBNP correlated with ESWS (r=0.28, P < 0.01). BNP, troponin-T, galectin-3, ST-2 not correlated.Echocardiography: The echo indices were significantly different between HR and LR, and HR and HC for: LVESD, LVEDD, LVPWS, LVPWD, LV thickness-dimension ratio, ESWS, MPI and ejection time. They were not significantly different for: mean arterial pressure, LV mass, velocity of circumfarential chaptering, N/0T, N/0T, M/0T, M/	Only asymptomatic survivors         with LVEF>50% included. <b>Risk of bias</b> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : Low risk         Reason: The sample is         representative of         asymptomatic cancer         survivors with LVEF≥ 50% on         echocardiography but not of         all survivors. <u>B. Index test bias</u> : Low risk         Reason: Echo cardiologist was         blinded to the risk or control         status of the study         participant. Unclear if also         blinded to biomarkers <u>C. Reference test bias</u> : Low         risk			
I4 (28%) 22002         Follow-up         Time from diagnosis:         median [range]         HR: 12 0v [2,6-37,9v]	44.4y] HC: median 28.6y [range 14.9– 57.9y] <u>Cancer treatment</u>		E-wave, A-wave or E/A ratio. <u>Multivariable logistic regression analysis</u> -adjusting for sex, age at examination, race/ethnicity, and chest radiation	blinded to the risk or control status of the study participant. Unclear if also blinded to biomarkers			
LR: 13.2y [5.3-28.6y] (p=0.8)	doxorubicin cardiotoxic equivalent doses.		exposure -HR had 8.15-fold risk of having LV dysfunction (controls, referent group with	D. Verification bias: Low risk			

HC: N/A	-HR (high-risk): median 375mg/m <sup>2</sup> [range 300-642] -LR (low-risk): median 120mg/m <sup>2</sup> [range 25-225] doxorubicin or equivalent. -Chest RT: not reported <u>HC (healthy controls):</u> no anthracycline exposure or cancer diagnosis.		no exposure to anthracyclines or chest radiation): HR, OR = 8.15 (P < 0.01); LR, OR = 2.13 (P=0.36). -dose dependent increasing risk by cumulative exposure: 1–99 mg/m2, OR = 1.43; 100–299 mg/m2, OR = 2.71; 300–399 mg/m2, OR = 4.13; ≥400 mg/m2, OR =12.81; P = 0.01 (trend).	Reason: Biomarkers and echo were performed on the same day <u>E. Attrition bias</u> : Low risk Reason: All of the study group received the same tests. <u>Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: multivariable model
---------	---	--	--	--

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? Genetics						
<b>Wang et al.</b> Hyalui	ronan synthase 3 variant and anthracyclir	ne-related cardiomyop	oathy: a report from the children's oncology group. J Cli	in Oncol 2014;32:647-53		
Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks		
<u>Study design</u> Case-control	<u>Type and number of participants</u> 93 cases, < 21 yr at diagnosis, CCS, non-Hispanic whites, "alive at follow	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Anthracycline median dose 300	<u>Outcome definitions</u> 'Cardiomyopathy' at follow up (= acute/ chronic), defined as symptoms/signs of HF AND any type of	1.Outcome not 100% determined on LVEF, but also on symptoms with other		
Treatment era	up".	mg/m2 (0-630),	cardiac dysfunction OR asymptomatic and LVEF	dysfunctions on echo, and in		
< 1990-2008	<u>Diagnoses</u>	45/93 pts, dose =	540% UK F3 520%	echocardiogram. What about		
Follow-up	HL/NHL N=22	0 in 7 pts.	Results	asymptomatic patients with		
(median)	ALL/AML N=20		1. Multivar Logistic regression analysis without gene	LVEF < 50%^?		
10yr (0.1-35.1)	Sarcoma N= 9	Radiotherapy	variants:	2. Acute and chronic		
for cases,	Bone tumor N = 22	Y in 23/93 (24.7%)	- AC ≤ 250 mg/m2 OR = 7.3	cardiotoxicity were tested		
11.3 yr (0.9 – 41)	Other N = 20	cases, dose	- AC > 250 mg/m2 OR = 49.5	without distinction		
for controls		median 36 Gy (12-	- RT was in the model, OR not provided	3. Relationship tested in whole		
	Age at diagnosis	54)	2.Multivar Log regression with HAS3 gene variant	cohort was negative for this		
	Median 6.9 (0-20.2)		and interaction term gene variant X AC dose:	variant. Only in patients treated		
		<u>Surgery</u>	significant interaction; after adjustments for age at			

Age at follow-up Median 19.4 (0.4 – 41.7) <u>Controls (if applicable)</u> 194 controls, CCS, non-Hispanic whites, matched to cases in 1:1 to 4:1, on cancer diagnosis, on year of diagnosis (< 5 year), on race/ethnicity = non-Hispanic whites. Age at diagnosis median 6.3 (0-20.6), Age at follow-up median 18.5 (3.5- 49.2) Cumul AC dose median 152 mg/m2 (0-825), >300 mg/m2 in n=45 pts; RT in 22 pts (11.3%), dose median 35 (7.5 – 55.8)	Not reported HSCT Not reported 	diagnosis, sex, AC dose and RT, AA/AG variant in a model with continuous AC dose is not significant. In a Multiv Log regression with ONLY patients with > 250 mg/m2, adjusted for age, sex and RT dose: for the AA variant OR = 8.9, for the AG variant OR = 4.7 3. Of 34912 SNP tested on Illumina Omni-Express 12 platform, one SNP is significantly associated with outcome, $P = 5.3 \times 10-7$ , (threshold for multiple comparison = P = 5 x 10-6), and shows that this AA/AG variant (at risk) is present in 80 cases (88%) and in 155 controls (80.4%) 4. A replication set of 76 patients tested the risk of being in a high dose AC group for AA and AG carriers: significantly increased risk. 5. In 9 human hearts, the AA and AG types were tested for HAS3 mRNA levels: lower in AA compared to AG ( $P = 0.09$ ).	with > 250 mg/m2 an association was found. 4. Patients who were cases but without AC included n=7. 5. relationship between AC dose and risk of cardiotox ONLY present in AA-carriers, barely in GA and no longer present in non-A carriers ? This suggests bias (by selecting the SNP with the highest association for CMP, you will find many with CMP), or a SINGLE-gene hypothesis for AC cardiotoxicity, which is not very likely. What you expect is a SHIFT in the dose-reponse curve, but a dose response
(7.5 – 55.8)		tested for HAS3 mRNA levels: lower in AA compared to AG ( <i>P</i> = 0.09).	SHIFT in the dose-reponse curve, but a dose response curve should still be present in non-AA carriers.

Visscher et al. Validation of variants in SLC28A3 and UGT1A6 as genetic markers predictive of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. Pediatr Blood Cancer 202
--

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Replication of 23 SNPs previously found in 2012 JCO paper in two independent cohorts. CPNDS: cohort study	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> 90 anthracycline treated children from the Canadian Pharmacogenomics Network for Drug Safety (CPNDS)	Anthracyclines 100% of CCS Median dose (range) mg/m2 EKZ cases 360 (100-720)	<u>Outcome definitions</u> Anthracycline induced cardiotoxicity cases: FS≤26% and/or CTCAE grade ≥3 (symptomatic events requiring intervention, Htx or fatal events). Controls: anthracycline treated with FS≥30% <u>Proportion with cardiotoxicity during follow-up</u>	Overlap of cohorts with JCO 2012 publication. Replication of SLC28A3 rs7853758 failed in one cohort

KZ: Matched case	128 CCS from the Dutch-	EKZ controls 280	EKZ 44/128 (case control design)
ontrol design	EKZ.	(50-720)	CPNDS 12/19
	EKZ matched for age at	CPNDS cases 300	
reatment era	treatment, gender, dose,	(175-550)	Replication of 23 SNPs previously found in development cohort 2012
PNDS1 recruitment	follow-up time.	CPNDS controls	JCO paper in EKZ and CPNDS cohorts (n=177, 46 cases)
f survivors between	No age at follow-up or	150 (50-540)	UGT1A6 rs17863783 P=0.0062 OR 7.98 (1.85–34.4)
005-2010	survival time restrictions		SLC28A3 rs7853758 P=0.058 OR 0.46 (0.20–1.08)
PNDSrepl	Only patients that received	<u>Chest RT n, %</u>	SLC28A3 rs885004 P=0.058 OR 0.42 (0.16-1.10)
ectruitment between	doxorubicin or	EKZ cases 9, 20%	SULT2B1 rs10426377 P=0.054 OR 0.52 (0.26–1.04)
010-2011	daunorubicin were	EKZ controls 19,	
KZ treatment	included and in total 177	23%	SNPs significant in combined cohort with JCO 2012 paper n=521, 124
etween 1966-1996	were genotyped	CPNDS cases 4,	<u>cases</u>
		33%	P<1.5x10 <sup>-4</sup>
ears follow-up	<u>Diagnoses</u>	CPNDS controls	SLC28A3 rs7853758 P=1.6x10 <sup>-5</sup> OR 0.36 (0.22–0.60)
<u>nedian (range)</u>	Not reported for full	18, 23%	SLC28A3 rs885004 P=3.0x10 <sup>-5</sup> OR 0.34 (0.20–0.60)
KZ cases 21.3 (7.4-	cohorts (only for		Trend (P>multiple testing threshold)
8.5)	cases/controls separately),	<u>Surgery</u>	UGT1A6 rs17863783 OR 4.30 (1.97–9.36)
KZ controls 16.8 (5-	various tumours mostly ALL	Not reported	SULT2B1 rs10426377 OR 0.56 (0.38–0.81)
1.6)	and NHL		SLC28A1 rs2305364 OR 1.60 (1.18–2.17)
PNDS cases 6.8 (0.4-	<u>Age at diagnosis</u>	<u>HSCT</u>	ABCC1 rs4148350 OR 2.40 (1.33–4.33)
7.2)	EKZ cases 9.1 (0.5-16.8)	Not reported	
PNDS controls 7.4	EKZ controls 11.2 (1.8-17.7)		SNPs associated with cardiotoxicity by gender
5.0-23.1)	CPNDS cases 12.6 (0.9-		ABCB4 rs1149222 OR females 2.18 (1.31–3.60); OR males 0.89
	17.0)		(0.56–1.44)
	CPNDS controls 4.9 (0.5-16)		ABCB4 rs4148808 OR females 2.53 (1.47–4.36); OR males 1.07
	Age at follow-up		(0.62–1.85)
	Not reported		SULT2B1 rs10426377 OR females 0.82 (0.50–1.34); OR males 0.35
			(0.20–0.64)
			SNPs associated with cardiotoxicity by age Dx group
			HNMT rs17583889 <5.3yrs OR 3.53 (1.79–6.93); ≥5.3yrs OR 1.13
			(0.72–1.79)
			SLC22A2 rs316019 OR <5.3yrs 0.16 (0.03–0.71); OR ≥5.3yrs 1.16
			(0.68–2.00)

	Replication of prediction model with 9 SNPs that was previously developed in JCO 2012 paperClinical model: age Dx, anthracycline dose, sex, chestRT, ethnicity. AUC 0.67 (0.58–0.75) 9 SNPs only: 0.57 (0.47–0.67) Clinical+9 SNPs: 0.67 (0.58–0.76)Revised prediction model including 5 replicated SNPs (rs7853758, rs17863783, rs10426377, rs2305364, rs4148808), model development and predictor selection with forward selection in original cohort form JCO 2012 paper Clinical model: AUC 0.69 (0.61–0.77) 5 SNPs only: 0.65 (0.56–0.74) Clinical+5 SNPs: 0.77 (0.69–0.85), compared to clinical only p=0.060
--	---

WG1: Who needs	s cardiomyopathy surveill	ance? Genetics		
<i>Lipshultz et al.</i> Im	npact of hemochromatosis	gene mutations on	cardiac status in doxorubicin-treated survivors of childhood high-r	isk leukemia. Cancer 2013.
Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Prospective cohort study <u>Treatment era</u> 1991 onwards <u>Follow-up</u>	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> 184 high risk ALL patients included between 2005-2007 <u>Diagnoses</u> High risk ALL 100% T-cell 18%, B-cell 82%	Anthracyclines 37%, median dose 300 range 204-420 mg/m2 Dexrazoxane 63% <u>Chest RT</u> Not reported	Outcome definitionsFrequency of H63D and C282Y hemochromatosis gene mutations and associations with echocardiographic parameters.Cardiac injury: cTnT levels > 0.01 ng/mL Cardiomyopathy: NT-proBNP levels >150pg/mL in infants <1 year and ≥ 100pg/mL in the restResults	Study was underpowered to detect differences in echo parameters between carriers and non-carriers

Median 7.0	Age at diagnosis		41/172 (23.8%) H63D variant carriers	
range 1.1-17.1	Median 6.3 range 0-	Surgery	18/179 (10.1%) C282Y variant carriers	
years	17.9 yrs	Not reported	≥2 cTnT levels >0.01 during treatment in 31% C282Y carriers,	
	Age at follow-up		6% non carriers p=0.015	
	Median 15.2 range 3.1-	<u>HSCT</u>	NT-proBNP elevations during treatment not significantly	
	31.4	Not reported	different	
	Cardiac symptoms		H63D carriers no significant cTnT/BNP elevations	
	Not reported			
	Cardiac medications		After median follow-up 2.2 range 1-3.99 yrs	
	Not reported		Z scores for LV mass, end-systolic posterior wall thickness, end-	
	Controls (if applicable)		diastolic posterior wall thickness, FS were significanly worse	
	NA		compared to normal values but not significantly different	

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? Genetics WG3: At what frequency should surveillance be performed?						
Visscher et al. Pharmacogenomic prediction of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. J Clin Oncol 2012						
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks		
Study design Canada development: retrospective cohort study Canada replication: Retrospective cohort study EKZ replication: nested matched case-control study <u>Treatment era</u>	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> <u>Development</u> : 156 CCS from Canada <u>Replication</u> : 188 CCS from Canada and 96 CCS from the EKZ. No age at follow-up or survival time restrictions <u>Diagnoses</u>	<u>Anthracyclines</u> 100% Median dose cases 270-360, range 36-840 mg/m2 controls 175-300, range 25-720 mg/m2 <u>Chest RT</u> Develop/replic/EKZ Cases 16%/30%/23%	Outcome definitions         Anthracycline induced cardiotoxicity cases:         FS≤26% and/or CTCAE grade ≥3 (symptomatic events requiring intervention, Htx or fatal events).         Controls: anthracycline treated with FS≥30%         Proportion with cardiotoxicity during follow-up         Development 38 (24%), Canada validation 40 (21%), EKZ         validation 43 (case-control design)	Prediction model was not validated but was developed in development and replication cohorts. Model with 9 SNPs failed to replicate in future study in 2013		

CPNDS1 recruitment of	Not reported for full	Controls 7%/20%/25%	GWAS for 2977 SNPs with significance threshold 1.5x10 <sup>-4</sup>
survivors between 2005-	cohorts (only for		and replicated in the Canada replication cohort
2010	cases/controls separately),	Surgery	SLC28A3 rs7853758 combined OR 2 cohorts 0.31 95%Cl
CPNDSrepl rectruitment	various tumours mostly ALL	Not reported	0.16-0.60.
between 2010-2011	and NHL		OR in EKZ replication cohort 0.69, p=0.38
EKZ treatment between		<u>HSCT</u>	Combined OR 3 cohorts: 0.35 95%Cl 0.21-0.59
1966-1996	<u>Median age at diagnosis</u>	Not reported	Did not reach multiple testing significance:
	<u>cases/controls</u>		SLC28A3 rs885004 in 2 canada cohorts OR 0.31 95%Cl
Median yrs follow-up, range	Development 5.5/3.9 range		0.15-0.62
Canada development	0.04-17 years		<i>SLC28A3 rs4877847</i> in 2 canada cohorts OR 0.60 95% CI
Cases 6.5, 0.1-21.2	Replication 6.2/3.7 range		0.41-0.89
Controls 7.8, 5-17.9	0.05-17.6 years		
Canada replication	EKZ replication 9.0/10.6		9 SNPs prediction model (forward selection logistic
Cases 7.4, 0.2-20.7	range 0.5-17.7 years		regression, p<0.01)
Controls 9.2, 5-18.6			Risk enhancing: UGT1A6 rs6759892, ABCB4 rs1149222,
EKZ replication	Age at follow-up		ABCC1 rs4148350, HNMT rs17583889
Cases 20.2, 7.4-27.9	Not reported		Protective: SLC28A3 rs7853758, FMO2 rs2020870, SPG7
Controls 15.4, 5.1-29.8			rs2019604, SLC10A2 rs9514091, SLC28A3 rs4877847.
			Clinical model: age Dx, anthracycline dose, sex, chestRT,
			ethnicity. AUC 0.68 (0.61-0.74)
			9 SNPs only: AUC 0.81 (0.76-0.86)
			Clinical+9 SNPs: AUC 0.87 (0.82-0.91)

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? Genetics					
Semsei et al. ABCC1 polymorphisms in anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Cell Biol. Int. (2012) 36, 79–86					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow- up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	

<u>Study design</u> Cohort	Type and number of participants 235/337 included	<u>Chemotherapy</u> 100%	<u>Outcome definitions</u> LVFS at 3 time-points:	No replication
<u>Treatment era</u> 1990-2002 <u>Follow-up</u> (median) Latest echo: Mean 6.6±2.7 years	Diagnoses ALL Age at diagnosis Latest echo: Mean 5.7±3.8 (range 1.2– 18.0) Age at follow-up Median 6.3 (range, 2.4–13.7)	anthracyclines High risk: 8% Medium: 66% Low: 26% Dose range 180- 300 30% dexrazoxane <u>Radiotherapy</u> Not reported <u>Surgery</u> Not reported <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	<ol> <li>Cancer diagnosis</li> <li>end of the treatment (median 2 years after diagnosis)</li> <li>latest follow-up echo         <ul> <li>Genotyping for 9 SNPs in ABCC1 gene</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>Multivariable general linear models</u> <ul> <li>Adusted for gender (male–female), age at the time of diagnosis (years), clinical centre (6 centres), total anthracycline dose (mg/m2), dexrazoxane             administration (yes–no), and chemotherapy protocol             (ALL BFM 90–ALL BFM 95) (estimates not reported)             <u>LVFS End of treatment (median 2 years from diagnosis)</u>             -ABCC1 rs3743527: TT (34.0%) vs CC (39.5%) or CT             (39.3%) (P=0.001)             -ABCC1 rs246221: NS             <u>LVFS Latest echo (Mean 6.6±2.7 years)</u>             -ABCC1 rs3743527 TT (35.3%) vs CC (38.9%) or CT             (38.7%), p=NS             -ABCC1 rs246221 TC (38.4%) and TT (38.5%) vs CC</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<b>Risk of bias</b> A. Selection bias: low risk Reason: 70% included, however no significant differences in pt characteristics B. Attrition bias: low risk Reason: follow-up was complete for most C. Detection bias: unclear Reason: blinding not reported D. Confounding: low risk Reason: MV adjustments
			(40.7%), p=0.027	

van der Pal et al. High risk of symptomatic cardiac events in childhood cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 30:1429-37, 2012

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design	Type and number of	Chemotherapy	Outcome definitions	Clinically validated outcomes
Retrospective	participants	Anthracyclines 457 (33.6%),	Symptomatic cardiac events (CE)	Long follow-up, large cohort
cohort	1362 5-year childhood cancer survivors	cumulative dose median 250 (range 25-775) mg/m2	Grading: CTCAE v 3.0 grade 3-5	Wide confidence interval for anthracyclines and RT as categorical variable (yes/no)

Treatment era	Diagnoses		Results	
1966-1996	ALL 302 (22.2%)	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	50 CEs in 42 survivors	Risk of bias
	ANLL 30 (2.2%)	Chest RT 158 (11.6%)	Heart failure 27 (54% of the 50 CE)	A. Selection bias: Low risk
Follow-up	Non Hodgkin 167 (12.3%)		Cardiac ischemia 6 (12%)	Reason: 81.8% of eligible
Median 22.2 (range	Hodgkin 104 (7.6%)	Location	Valvular heart disease 6 (12%)	survivors participated
5.0-44.5) years	Nephroblastoma 186	Thorax (31.6%)	Arrhythmia 9 (18%)	
	(13.7%	Abdomen (24.4%)	Pericarditis 2 (4.0%)	B. Attrition bias: Low risk
	Soft tiss sarcoma 131	Spine (33.5%)		Reason: Outcome was
	(9.6%)	TBI (10.5%)	Median time from diagnosis to CE: 18.6 yrs	assessed in all patients
	Ewing sarcoma 53 (3.9%)			and/or censoring was taken
	Osteosarcoma 73 (5.4%)	Doses (EQD2)	Cumulative incidence of heart failure:	Into account
	CNS tumor 124 (9.1%)	Thorax dose median 24.1	chestRT only: 0.7% at 30-yrs	C Detection biasy Uncloar
	Neuroblastoma 85 (6.2%)	(range 9.5-88.5) Gray	chestRT + Anth: 7.9% at 30yrs	C. Detection blas: Officiear
	Germcell tumor 45 (3.3%)	Abdomen dose median 26.9		assessors for cardiotoxic
	Other 62 (4.5%)	(range 3.7-57.2) Gray	Heart failure outcome multivariable Cox regression	exposures not mentioned.
		Spine dose median 30.1	Model 1 (95% CI):	
	Age at diagnosis	(range 8.0-50.1) Gray	Sex (female v male HR 0.8 (0.4-1.8)	D. Confounding: Low risk
	Median 5.9 (range 0-18)	TBI dose median 15.8 (range	Age at Dx (per year): HR 0.98 (0.90-1.07)	Beason: Multivariable cox
	years	14.0-21.6) Gray	Anthracycline dose (per 100 mg/m2) HR 1.8 (1.5-	regression model adjusted
	0-4: 596 43.7%		2.3)	for all important confounders
	5-9: 378 27.8%	Anthracyclines and chest RT	ChestRT (EQD2 per 10 Gy) HR 1.4 (1.1-2.0)	
	10-14: 309 22.7%	108 (7.9%)	Cyclophosphamide (yes v no) HR 1.8 (0.6-5.3)	
	15-18: 79 5.8%	Surgery	Ifosfamide (yes v no) HR 1.9 (0.7-5.2)	
		<u>Surgery</u>	Vincristine (yes v no) HR 1.5 (0.3-7.7)	
	Age at follow-up	107(7.9%)	Cisplatin (yes/no) 1.7 (0.4-8.0)	
	Median 29.1 (range 5.2-		Congenital heart disease (yes/no) 9.9 (2.2-44)	
	54.2) years	ност		
			Model 2 mutual exclusive risk factors	
	Controls (if applicable)		Sex (female/male) 0.9 (0.4-1.9)	
	NA		Age at diagn (per year) 0.98 (0.90-1.07)	
			Anthracyclines only (Yes/No) HR 33.5 (4.4-254)	
	Radiotherapy only (Yes vs. No) HR 6.6 (0.6-73)			
--	--	--		
	Anth + Radiotherapy (Yes vs. No) HR 55.9 (6.6-			
	470), p<0.001			
	Congenital heart disease HR 6.9 (1.6-30)			

*Blanco et al.* Anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy after childhood cancer: role of polymorphisms in carbonyl reductase genes--a report from the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 30:1415-21, 2012

Study design	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Treatment era				
Years of follow-up				
Treatment eraYears of follow-upStudy designCase-ControlTreatment era1966-2008Follow-upCases: 9.2 (range0.1-35.1)Controls: 12.3 (0.4-40)	Type and number of participantsCase (CHF) - N=170Control (none) - N=317Matching criteria:DiagnosisYear of Dx (+/-5 yrs)Race/ethnicityFollow-up (controls)Cases: heart failure symptoms and/orLVEF≤40% and/or	Cases vs. controls: Anthracyclines 91% of cases 71% of controls Median dose, range Cases: 300, 0-575 Controls: 140, 0- 1050 Chest RT Cases: 25%	Clinically validated heart failure and/or LVEF≤40% and/or FS≤28% <u>Multivariable conditional logistic regression</u> adjusted for chest radiation (yes or no), age at cancer diagnosis and sex Referent group – no anthracycline P for trend p<0.001; OR (95% Cl) 1-100: 1.65 (0.5 to 5.6), not significant 101-150: 3.85 (1.1 to 13.9) 151-200: 3.69 (1.0 to 13.6) 201-250: 7.23 (2.3 to 22.5) 251-300: 23.5 (7.4 to 74.2)	<ul> <li>-Genetic susceptibility</li> <li>-Matching based on diagnosis</li> <li>-Differences in mean anthracycline dose between Cases and controls</li> <li>-Wide confidence interval in some estimates</li> <li>-Survival bias might be present as case-control studies exclude fatal end points from the patient case set</li> <li>Replication of CBR3 failed in study from Visscher et al 2012.</li> <li><u>Risk of bias</u></li> </ul>
	FS≤28%	Controls: 14%	<ul> <li>&gt;300: 27.6 (9.3 to 82.1)</li> <li>Female vs male: 1.47 (0.9 to 2.4)</li> <li>Age at diagnosis (per year): 0.99 (0.93 to 1.04)</li> <li>Chest RT (yes/no): 4.29 (1.9 to 9.6)</li> <li><u>Genetic susceptibility</u></li> </ul>	<u>A. Selection bias:</u> unclear Reason: unclear how many survivors were in the original survival cohort of COG institution. <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> low risk Reason: Echocardiogram report unavailable for 114 controls, but exclusion of these 114

	CBR3 GG variant (rs1056892) OR 1.79 (1.08- 2.96), P=0.02 Anthracycline dose stratified	controls did not alter association. Excluded 5 patients with unknown chest radiation and 9 patients with non-informative genotype.
	1-250 mg/m2 OR 3.30 (1.41-7.73)	
	>250 mg/m2 OR 1.37 (0.606-2.84)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> low risk
	CBR1 not significant	Reason: laboratory personnel were blinded to case-control status, but unclear if ECHO assessors were blinded.
		D. Confounding: low risk
		Reason: Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex,
		chest radiation, and cumulative anthracycline
		exposure.

Armenian et al. Incidence and predictors of congestive heart failure after autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood 118:6023-9, 2011

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design retrospective cohort study design & nested case-control <u>Treatment era</u> Cohort: 1988 and 2002 <u>Follow-up</u>	Type and number of participantsCohort: patients with autologous HCT for a hematologic malignancy at City of Hope & without cardiac dysfunction before HCT (n=1244)Case control (1:3): Case = developed CHF	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Not the same for every participant, table 3 summerizes: Anthracyclines (case: mean dose 309.4 mg/m2 (SD 105.5), control: mean dose 237.0 mg/m2 (SD	Outcome definitionsCHF: according to the American HeartAssociation /American College of Cardiology publication,Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of ChronicHeart Failure inthe Adult (2005).ResultsCohort: cumulative incidence (±SE) of CHF at 5, 10, and 15years after HCT was 4.8% ±0.6%, 6.8% ±0.8%, and 9.1%±1.0% respectively	Predominantly adults at time of HCT <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> low risk Reason: only 3% did not participate in cohort study. Case controls study was a good representation of the full cohort. B. Attrition bias: low risk
	(n=88)	102.0)), cyclophosphamide,		

Cohort: median 5.3	Control = selected from	ifosfamide.	Case-control: Multivariable conditional logistic regression	Reason: Outcome was
years (range, 0.1-	within the cohort with	procarbazine,	adjusted for sex, underlying diagnosis, and exposure to	assessed in all participants
20.5 years)	following matching	melphalan,	chest radiation	C. Detection bias: unclear
	criteria: age at HCT (±5	cisplatin.	Dose response anthracyclines	Reason: Blinding of
	years), year of HCT (±2		Reference: <150 mg/m2.	investigators for treatment
	follow up (n=218)	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	150-249: OR=3.5, not significant	status not mentioned
	10110w-up (11–216)	Pre-HCT chest RT:	250-349: OR=9.9, P=0.01;	D. Confounding: low risk
	Diagnosos	n (%)	≥350 mg/m2: OR=19.8, P=0.01	Reason: Cohort study:
	<u>Didgitoses</u>	Case: 15 (17)		multivariable analyses
		Control: 22 (10.1)	Multivariate cox regression analysis:	adjusted for sex,
	Ago at LICT (maan ura)		Cohort:	diagnosis age at HCT (<35, 35-
	Age at $\Pi CT$ (mean, yrs)	<u>HSCT</u>	Variables in the regression model included sex,	44, 45-54, and $\geq$ 55 years), and
	Conort: $43.5(13.1)$	100% autologous	ethnicity/race, underlying diagnosis, age at HCT (<35, 35-44,	subsequent HCT after initial
	Case. 40.5 (15.1)	HSCT	45-54, and $\geq$ 55 years), and subsequent HCT after initial	autologous HCT.
	A so of follow we		autologous HCT.	Case-control: matching on age
	Age at ronow-up		Significant: females (RR=2.4; P=.01), patients undergoing	at HCT (±5 years), year of HCT
			HCT for lymphoma (referent group: nonlymphoma;	(±2 years), and length of
			lymphoma KR=1.5; P= .05); the risk of CHF increased with age at HCT	follow-up.
			Multivariable conditional logistic regression OR (95% CI)	
			(no CV risk factor and no HD-anthracycline=ref)	
			Model 1: CV risk factor alone; no high dose anthracycline	
			Hypertension: 3.5 (0.88-14.01)	
			Diabetes: 6.2 (0.86-43.82)	
			Dyslipidemia: 2.7 (0.56-13.40)	
			Thyroid disease: 0.7 (0.2-4.6)	
			Model 3: CV risk factor and HD anthracycline	
			Hypertension: 35.3 (8.30-150.18)	
			Diabetes: 26.8 (4.34-165.2)	
			Dyslipidemia: 5.4 (1.53-18.95)	
			Thyroid disease: 3.3 (0.82-13.22)	

	- adjusted for sex, diagnosis (lymphoma vs nonlymphoma),	
	pre-HCT exposure to chest radiation, and individual pre-HCT	
	comorbidity.	

# WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

Brouwer et al. Systolic and diastolic dysfunction in long-term adult survivors of childhood cancer. Eur J Cancer, 2011, 47:2453-62

Study design Cross-sectionalType and number of participantsAnthracyclines 199 (72%)Outcome definitions PrimaryComprehensive echo screen Long term follow-upTreatment era 1976-1999277 5-yr childhood cancer survivors diagnosed <20 yrsCumulative dose median 183 (range 50-600) mg/m2Systolic dysfunction: FS < 29% or WMSI > 1.00 Diastolic dysfunction: TVI et mean < 8 cm/sec7 clinical HF and 17 on cardmed included in analysis Adjusted for cardmeds in multivariable regressionFollow-up Median 18.2 (range 5.4-30.8) yrsDiagnoses (%), acute undifferentiated leukemia 1 (%), lymphoma 56 (20%), sarcom a48 (17%), brain tumor 32 (12%), blastoma 23 (8%), germ cell tumor 5 (2%)Platinum 22 (8%)Secondary NT-proBNP>125 ng/L Cardiac autonomic function: Baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) in ms/mmHg (continuous outcome)Risk of bias A. Selection bias: Low risk 277 out of 401 (69%) eligible survivors of original cohort participated but was representative of the full cohort participated but was are representative of the full cohort participated but was representative	Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Median 8.8 (range 0-20) years       Surgery       Multivariable logistic regression adjusted for age dx, sex, age, follow-up, hypertension, BMI, use of ACE- inhibitor, diuretic, b-blocker or GH-replacement.       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Study design Cross-sectional <u>Treatment era</u> 1976-1999 <u>Follow-up</u> Median 18.2 (range 5.4-30.8) yrs	Type and number of participants 277 5-yr childhood cancer survivors diagnosed <20 yrs <u>Diagnoses</u> ALL 103 (%), acute non- lymphoblastic leukemia 9 (%), acute undifferentiated leukemia 1 (%), lymphoma 56 (20%), sarcoma 48 (17%), brain tumor 32 (12%), blastoma 23 (8%), germ cell tumor 5 (2%) <u>Age at diagnosis</u> Median 8.8 (range 0-20) years <u>Age at follow-up</u>	Anthracyclines 199 (72%) Cumulative dose median 183 (range 50-600) mg/m2 Platinum 22 (8%) Radiotherapy 174 (63%) Chest RT: 69 (25%) Mediastinal RT: 33 (12%) TBI: 17 (6%) Spinal RT: 19 (7%) Mediastinal RT dose >=25 Gy: 17 (6%) Surgery Not reported	Outcome definitionsPrimarySystolic dysfunction: FS < 29% or WMSI > 1.00Diastolic dysfunction: TVI et mean < 8 cm/sec	Comprehensive echo screen Long term follow-up 7 clinical HF and 17 on cardmeds included in analysis Adjusted for cardmeds in multivariable regression <b>Risk of bias</b> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Low risk 277 out of 401 (69%) eligible survivors of original cohort participated but was representative of the full cohort <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk, FS outcome was available in 274/277 CCS, WMSI in 267/277, diastolic function in 273/277, NT-proBNP in 262/277.

Median 27.5 (range 18.1- 48.2) years	HSCT Not reported	- SF<29%; OR (95% Cl) Anthracycline dose>183 mg/m2: 2 18 (1 25-3 80)	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear. blinding of sonographers not reported
Cardiovascular risk factors Hypertension 38 (14%), overweight 91 (33%), hypercholesterolemia 80 (33%) Cardioactive Meds 17 (6%) Controls (if applicable) 130 siblings (48% female) Age median 25.9 (range 18.0-51.1 years)		Anthracycline dose>183 mg/m2: 2.18 (1.25-3.80) Mediastinal RT: 3.00 (1.35-6.67) TBI: 1.89 (0.64-5.6) Spinal RT: 1.40 (0.40-4.90) - WMSI >1.00; OR (95% Cl) Anthracycline dose>183 mg/m2: 2.40 (1.10-5.25) Mediast RT: 1.61 (0.51-5.02) TBI: 0.82 (0.18-3.78) Spinal RT: 0.79 (0.11-5.57) - TVI Et <8.0 m/sec; OR (95% Cl) Anthracycline dose>183 mg/m2: 4.05 (1.16-14.09) Mediastinal RT: 15.21 (3.40-68.01) TBI: 2.89 (0.18-46.77)	D. Confounding: Low risk Multivariable analysis adjusted for important confounders. 7 clinical HF cases were included but it is unlikely this has changed the estimates very much.
		2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2	

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should surveillance be performed?

**Abosoudah et al.** Echocardiographic surveillance for asymptomatic late-onset anthracycline cardiomyopathy in childhood cancer survivors. Pediatr Blood Cancer 57:467-72, 2011

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				

Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Time to first abnormal
Retrospective single	<u>participants</u>	Anthracyclines 100%	abnormal echocardiogram (EF < 55% or	echocardiogram
center cohort	603 eligible survivors (>1	Cumulative dose mean 205.0	SF < 28% or LVED z-score > 2.0 or LVPW z-	
	year of therapy)	mg/m2 (SD 114.7)	score < −2.0.	Unclear for transients
Treatment era	469 echocardiogram >1			
1995-2003	year after therapy (77.8%)	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	Frequency of abnormal echo	Screening frequency driven by age
		Chest RT 34%	79 (16.8%) with abnormal echo	and anthracycline dose, so unclear
Follow-up	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u>		EF < 55% (10/79, 12%)	implication
>1 year after	ALL 33%	Surgery only	SF 1/79 (0.01%)	
anthracycline	AML 8%	None	LVED z score >2 10/79 (10.0%)	Implications of abnormal LVPW
treatment	Hodgkins 18%		LVPW z score >2 51/79 (64.6%)	questionable, which was the driver
Median 3, range 1-	NHL 12%	HSCT	7/79 more than 1 abnormality:	of the abnormal echo's (51/79)
10 years	Soft tissue sarcoma 4%	Not reported	EF+FS 3/79 (0.04%)	
Median 2 echo per	Bone tumors 8%		LVED+LVPW 3 (0.04%)	Risk of blas
patient (range 1-10)	Renal tumors 9%		SF/EF/LVPW 1 (0.01%)	A. Selection bias: high risk
	Liver tumors 2%			Reason: 77.8% participated,
	Neuroblastoma 6%		Median time from 1 year off therapy to	participants not different from
	Other 2 (0%)		abnormal echo 2.9, range 0.01-9.8 years	important confounders.
			(median time to abnormal echo in COG risk	
	Age at diagnosis		groups are reported in table)	B. Attrition bias: high risk
	Mean 7.7, SD 4.6 years			Beason: many lost to follow-up.
			Abnormal echo's in 10/12 COG LTFU	however cox regression analysis
	Age at follow-up		guideline risk groups (low and high risk	takes censored patients into
	Not reported		41/48 with a follow up acho had parcistant	account
			abnormalities (85.4%)	
	Controls (if applicable)			C. Detection bias: unclear
	none		Multivariable Cox proportional bazard model	Reason: blinding not mentioned
			Abnormal echo during treatment HB 1 39	
			(0.83-2.29) NS	D. Confounding: low risk
			Female vs male HR 1.65 (1.04-2.62)	Reason: multivariable analysis.
			Chest RT HR 1.73 (1.08-2.76)	However, did not adjust for chest
			Age treatment	RT dose (only chest RT yes/no)
			Age treatment	

<1 HR 1.16 (0.30-4.48) NS	
1-4 1.89 (1.08-3.31)	
>5 (reference)	
Cumulative anthracycline dose	
<200 mg/m2 (reference(	
200-300 HR 1.32 (0.61-2.85) NS	
>300 HR 3.00 (1.51-5.98)	
Cumulative incidence of abnormal echo	
7 years off therapy 26%	
8 years off therapy 35%	

**Rathe et al.** Long-term cardiac follow-up of children treated with anthracycline doses of 300 mg/m2 or less for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 54:444-8, 2010

Study design				
Treatment era		Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Looking specifically at
Prospective cohort	<u>participants</u>	Median anth dose:	IVS, LVPW, LVEDD, LVEDS, and $\Delta$ EF and EF	cardiotoxicity at lower doses of
	1-yr survivors ALL	250 mg/m2 (120-300)	measurements.	anthracyclines (<300).
Treatment era	N=80		Normal values derived from ref 9 and 11.	
1986-2000	All asymptomatic	Radiotherapy		<u>Risk of bias</u>
		nm	Results	A. Selection bias: high
Follow-up	<u>Diagnoses</u>		At last follow-up 49 (61%) patients had an	Reason: 69% of the eligible
8.2 vrs (1.1-30.6)	ALL	нѕст	abnormally thin IVS, 21 (26%) had an	patients were included in the
- / - ( /		Nm	abnormally thin LVPW, 11 (14%) patients	analysis
	Age at diagnosis, median		had an abnormally high LVEDD, 9 (11%) had	B. Attrition bias: low
	4.0  yrs (0.8-13.4)		an abnormally high LVEDS while 19 (24%)	Reason: we assume that at least
	4.0 y13 (0.0 ±3.4)		had an abnormally low LVM. Nine patients	75% underwent echocardiography
			had an EF below 60% with one pt <55%.	since they mention that the cohort

	<u>Age at follow-up, median</u> 13.0 yrs (2.0-30.5)		<u>Multivariate regression analysis:</u> ΔEF Model included: age at diagnosis, gender, cumulative dose of anthracycline, and length of follow-up. Only length of follow-up was a significant risk factor.	was followed with serial echocardiography according to the follow-up protocol until relapse or latest follow-up. <u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear Reason: blinded not mentioned <u>D. Confounding:</u> low risk Reason: multivariable model
--	--	--	--	---

Van der Pal et al. Cardiac function in 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: a long-term follow-up study. Arch Intern Med 170:1247-55, 2010

Study design Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	-7 had previous heart failure
Cross sectional	participants	Anthracyclines 68.8%	Left ventricular fractional shortening (FS) at first	but recovered
	5-yr survivors	Median dose 250 mg/m2	available echocardiogram >5 years from diagnosis,	-None had heart failure
<u>Treatment era</u>	735 anthracycline-treated	(range 33-720)	continuous and categorical (FS<30%). Graded per	symptoms at time of
1966-1997	675 Eligible for study	Cyclophosphamide 45.1%	CICAE 3.0	presente study
	525 with echocardiogram	Median dose 7.7 g/m2 (range		Risk of blas
Follow-up	514 with FS	0.3-26.8)	Results	A. Selection bias: low risk
Median 15.4 yrs		Vincristine 79.2%	Median FS 33.1% (range 13-56%)	Reason: 89% participated,
(range 5.1-40.3)	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u>	Ifosfamide 15.8%	FS<30% in 139 (27%)	87.4 had an echocardiogram.
	All childhood cancers			different between those with
		<u>Radiotherapy</u>	Multivariable logistic regression (FS<30%)	and without echo
	Age at diagnosis	Any 35%	Male vs female OR 0.73 (0.47-1.13) NS	
	Median 8.9 years (range	Median dose 25.0 Gray (range		B. Attrition bias: low risk
	0.1-17.8)	8.0-60.0)	Age at diagnosis	Reason: ES outcome was
			0-5 years OR 2.94 (1.08-8.02)	assessed in 514/675 (76%)
	Age at follow-up	Thorax 36.4%	5-10 years OR 1.64 NS	
		Abdomen 26.6%	10-15 years 1.45 NS	

Median 23.1, range 18.0-	Spine 29.9%	>15 (referent)	C. Detection bias: low risk
47.1 years	TBI 7.1 %	P for trend 0.049	Reason: blinding of
			sonographers who measured
Controls (if applicable)	Surgery only	Time since diagnosis	FS. Measurements were
-	none	5-10 years (referent)	performed multiple times.
		10-15 years OR 0.80 (0.41-1.54) NS	
	<u>HSCT</u>	15-20 years OR 0.40 (0.18-0.86)	<u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk
	Not reported	20-25 years OR 0.48 NS	Reason: Multivariable
		>25 years OR 0.11 (0.03-0.42)	analysis
		P for trend 0.01	unurysis.
		Vincristine (yes/no) OR 1.47 (0.71-3.05)NS	
		Anthracyclines	
		1-150 mg/m2 (Ref)	
		151-300: OR 3.98 (1.58-10.01)	
		301-450: OR 7.77 (2.85-21.22)	
		>450: OR 10.58 (3.35-33.40)	
		Cyclophosphamide >10 vs <=10 g/m2	
		OR 1.01 NS	
		Ifosfamide >10 vs <=10 g/m2	
		OR 1.50 NS	
		Radiotherapy	
		No Radiotherapy (Ref)	
		Thorax: OR 3.49 (1.6-7.6)	
		Abdomen: OR 2.66 (1.0-7.05)	
		Spine: OR 0.64 (0.23-1.74)	
		TBI: OR 0.53 (0.10-2.87)	

#### WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?

*Mulrooney et al.* Cardiac outcomes in a cohort of adult survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: retrospective analysis of the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. BMJ 339:b4606, 2009

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	-Long follow-up, large cohort
Retrospective	<u>participants</u>	Anthracyclines: 33.1%	Self-reported CV outcomes	-Cardiac XRT dosimetry calculations
cohort	5-yr Survivors (N=14, 358)	<250 mg/m2 1931 (13.4)	Graded per CTCAE v. 3.0	(Stovall et al.)
		≥250 mg/m2 2834 (19.7)		-ChestRT data not mutually
Treatment era	Diagnoses (%)		Results N (prevalence %)	exclusive of anthracycline
1970-1986	Leukaemia 4830 (33.6)	Bleomycin 756 (5.3%)	Heart failure 248 (1.7)	exposure.
	Brain cancer 1876 (13.1)	Cisplatin 738 (5.1%)	Myocardial infarction 101 (0.7)	-Cardiac events are self-reported.
Follow-up	Hodgkin's lymphoma 1927	Cyclophosphamide 5694	Pericardial disease 181 (1.3)	-Did not assess subclinical disease.
27.0 (range 8-51)	(13.4)	(39.7%)	Valvular abnormalities 238 (1.6)	-No adjustment for Framingham
years	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Vincristine 9031 (62.9%)		risk factors. This might have
	1081 (7.5)		Results cumulative incidence 30 years after	developed over time. It may be
	Kidney tumour 1256 (8.7)	Chest radiotherapy	cancer diagnosis % (95% Cl)	risk of cardio toxic therapy as they
	Neuroblastoma 954 (6.6)	No chest RT: 29%	Heart failure 4.1% (3.2 to 5.0%)	become adults.
	Soft tissue sarcoma 1245	<5 Gv: 34%	Myocardial infarction ±1.4% (1.0 to 1.8%)	-Broad geographical distribution-
	(8.7)	5-15 Gv: 5.8%	Pericardial disease 3.0% (2.1 to 3.9%)	comprises of 26 collaborating
	Bone cancer 1189 (8.3)	15-35Gv: 9.7%	Valvular abnormalities 4.0% (3.1 to 4.9%)	institutions.
		>=35Gv: 6.9%		
	Age at diagnosis		Cumulative incidence increased for all	<u>Risk of bias</u>
	Mean 6.0 (range 0-20) yrs	Surgery only	outcomes without a plateau (except possibly	A. Selection bias: High risk
	0-4 yrs: 40.1%	$\frac{300}{7}$	for MI)	Reason: 69% of eligible
	5-9 yrs: 22.3%	909 (7.3)		
	10-14 yrs: 20.3%	ЦСТ	HR for heart failure in survivors compared to	B. Attrition bias: Low risk
	15-20 yrs: 17.3%	Not reported	siblings, adjusted for gender, race,	Reason: outcome was assessed in
		Νοιτεροτιεά	household income, education and tobacco	all patients
			use: 5.9 (95% Cl 3.4-9.6)	

Age at follow-up		
Survivors: median=27.0 (8-	Multivariable Cox regression with age as the time scale:	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear
	Heart failure HR (95% CI)	assessors for cardiotoxic exposures
Controls (if applicable)	Female 1.4 (1.1-1.9)	not mentioned. Self-reported
Siblings (N=3899)	Age at diagnosis (reference 15-20 years)	outcomes.
Median age 28.0 (range 3- 56) years	0-4 years 3.9 (2.1-7.3), 5-9 years 2.3 (1.3- 4.0), 10-14 years 1.2 ns.	D. Confounding: Low risk
	Treatment era (reference 1970-1974)	Reason: Multivariable regression
	1975-1979 1.1 ns, 1980-86 1.9 (1.2-3.0)	model adjusted for all important
	Chest RT dose: <5 Gy 0.9 ns, 5-<15 Gy 1.3 ns,	confounders
	15-35 Gy 2.2 (1.4-3.5), 35 Gy 4.5 (2.8-7.2)	
	Anthracycline dose (reference none)	
	<250 mg/m2 2.4 (1.5-3.9), ≥250 5.2 (3.6-7.4)	
	Cisplatin yes vs no: 1.7 ns	
	Myocardial infarction, Pericardial disease and valvular disease risk factor analysis also performed	

*Blanco et al.* Genetic Polymorphisms in the Carbonyl Reductase 3 Gene CBR3 and the NAD(P)H:Quinone Oxidoreductase 1 Gene NQO1 in Patients Who Developed Anthracycline-related Congestive Heart Failure After Childhood Cancer. CANCER June 15, 2008 / Volume 112 / Number 12

Study design	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Treatment era				e.g. risk of bias
Years of follow-				
up				

Study design Nested case control in CCSS <u>Treatment era</u> 1970-1986 <u>Follow-up</u> (median) Not reported. >=5 years	Type and number of participants 30 cases with heart failure 115 controls matched on age at diagnosis, race/ethnicity, duration of follow-up (controls were followed at least until the case developed the event), anthracycline dose and radiation to the heart (also adjusted for RT in models) <u>Diagnoses</u> All childhood cancer diagnoses	<u>Chemotherapy</u> 100% ANT No median reported <u>Radiotherapy</u> Cases: 60% Controls: 55% No medians <u>Surgery</u> Not reported <u>HSCT</u>	Outcome definitions Self-reporting of signs and symptoms of CHF and use of medication for CHF management. <u>Multivariable conditional logistic regression</u> CBR3 rs1056892: GG vs AA: OR 8.16 (0.95-70.10), p=0.056 -GA vs AA: OR 8.16 (0.95-70.10), p=0.092 Female vs male: OR 2.68 (1.07-6.73) Recurrence or not: OR 6.35 (1.13-35.75) adjusted for heart in radiation beam <u>Univiariate analysis only</u>	Risk of biasA. Selection bias: unclearReason: limited to patients whoprovided buccal sample,unknow what proportionprovided thisB. Attrition bias: low riskReason: outcome assessed in allC. Detection bias: high riskReason: self-reportedoutcomes, no blinding reportedD. Confounding: low risk
Not reported. >=5 years	<u>Diagnoses</u> All childhood cancer diagnoses <u>Age at diagnosis</u> Cases: mean 10.3±6.5 years Controls: mean 9.1±5.8 years <u>Age at follow-up</u> Not reported	Surgery Not reported <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	Recurrence or not: OR 6.35 (1.13-35.75) adjusted for heart in radiation beam <u>Univiariate analysis only</u> NQO1 s1800566: not significant adjusted for heart in radiation beam <u>Functional studies</u> Recombinant CBR3 V244 (G allele) synthesized 2.6-fold more cardiotoxic doxorubicinol per unit of time than CBR3 M244 A allele.	Reason: self-reported outcomes, no blinding reported D. Confounding: low risk Reason: matching and MV model considered confounder with >10% effect on estimate.

Hudson et al. Noninvasive evaluation of late anthracycline cardiac toxicity in childhood cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 25:3635-43, 2007

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				

Study design	Type and number of	Chemotherapy	Outcome definitions	Asymptomatic
Cross-sectional	<u>participants</u>	Anthracyclines 70.4%	Screening echo.	One time-point
	1268 full cohort	Median dose 202 mg/m2	LVSF <28%, LV Wall stress (afterload)	No cardiac dose quantification
Treatment era	223 anthracycline and or	(range 25-510)		
Not reported	chest RT treated survivors		Univariate regression (SF<28%):	Risk of bias
	participated	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	Male vs female OR 1.17 (0.57-2.40)	A. Selection bias: High risk
Follow-up	D: (0()	cardiac RT: 2.7%	Cardiac RT yes/no: OR 0.9 (0.4-2.05)	Reason: only 22% of full cohort
Median 9.0 (range	Diagnoses (%)	Anth + cardiac RT: 26.9%	Other vs white race OR 0.89 (0.34-2.33)	participated
3.0-18.0)	Leukemia 30%		Anthracycline mg/m2/week	
	Sarcomas 26.9%	Surgery only	>=50 vs <50 OR 3.13 (1.41-6.96)	B. Attrition bias: low risk
	Lymphoma 24.2%	none	Cyclophosphamide, infusion type, smoking,	Reason: outcome assessed in all
	$\left(1000 \text{g} \text{K} \text{III 1376}, \text{K} \text{III 2.076}\right)$		thyroid function, BMI, GH deficiency,	
	LIIDI yoliai tullois (10.0%)	<u>HSCT</u>	dyslipidemia, QTc not significant	C. Detection bias: low risk
	Ago at diagnosis	Not reported		Reason: cardiologist who
	Age at utagritusis Modian E E (rango 0.22.6)		Multivariate regression with risk factors with	performed echo was blinded for
	Weuldh 5.5 (range 0-23.6)		<u>univariate p &lt;0.10 (SF&lt;28%):</u>	treatment status
			Age at diagnosis	
	Age at follow-up		>=5 yrs – OR 2.41 (0.9-6.4), p0.08	D. Confounding: low risk
	Wedian 17.0, range 7.5-		<5 Ref	Reason: multivariable analysis
	55.7 years		Diagnosis group	adjusted for important covariates
	Controls (if applicable)		Sarcoma 5.09 (1.3-19.89)	(after univariable selection of p) value <0.1)
	<u>Controis (II applicable)</u>		Lymphoma 2.04 (0.47-8.94)	
	55 – Not received		Embryonal 1.70 (0.36-8.04)	
			Leukemia (Reference)	
			Years of therapy	
			per 5 years increase OR 1.08 (0.52-2.27)	
			anthracycline dose	
			per 50 mg/m2 increase OR 1.19 (1.01-1.39)	
			p=0.033	

Aleman et al. Late cardiotoxicity after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 2007;109:1878-86				
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Retrospective cohort <u>Treatment era</u> 1965-1995 <u>Follow-up</u> Median 18.7 yrs (28 669 person-years for cohort)	Type and number of participants1474 5-year survivors of HL, diagnosed before age 41 yr.Diagnoses Hodgkin lymphomaAge at start treatment <20 yo (21.3%)	Chemotherapy Chemo only 4.8% <u>Radiotherapy</u> RT only 27.5% (all fields) RT + chemo (incl. anth) 29.5% RT + chemo (no anth) 37.9% 84% of RT included the mediastinum 	Outcome definitionsCumulative incidence of CHF after 25 yearsfrom medical recordsN=52ResultsCumulative incidenceNo RT group: 0.4%Mediastinal RT only group: 6.8%Mediast RT + CT, no anth group: 4.9%Mediast RT + CT, anth group: 7.9%Median time to heart failure: 18.5, range5.0-39.0Multivariate regression (CHF):Model 1Mediastinal RT (vs no) HR 7.37 (1.81 – 30.0)Anth. Containing chemo (vs no) HR 2.44(1.37-4.33)Recent smoking (vs no) HR 1.96 (1.16-3.30)Diabetes mellitus (vs no) HR 4.45 (2.54-7.81)Not significant:hypercholesterolemia (vs no) HR 1.48 (0.85-2.58)	Large pop of adult lymphoma survivors (most <35 yo at Dx) Very long follow-up Critical role of cardiovascular risk factors No dosimetry for cardiac XRT Includes older treatment era <b>Risk of bias</b> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Low risk Reason: only 12 of original cohort had no medical records available <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> Low risk Reason: Only 6.7% had no complete follow-up <u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear if outcome assessors were blinded, however medical records need only little judgement from the assessor. <u>D. Confounding:</u> Low risk Reason: as sex was not corrected for after stepwise selection. However, cardiovascular risk factors were taken into account.
	17% recent smokers		Model 2	

10% hypertension	Mediastinal RT only (Ref)	
5% diabetes	Med. RT + CT, no anthracycline:	
8.5%	HR 1.33 (0.79-2.24)	
hypercholesterolemia	Med. RT + CT, anthracycline:	
	HR 2.81 (1.44-5.49)	
	(Adjusted for recent smoking, age at	
	diagnosis, hypertension,	
	hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitu	s)

### WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should surveillance be performed?

*Creutzig et al.* Longitudinal evaluation of early and late anthracycline cardiotoxicity in children with AML. Pediatr Blood Cancer 48:651-62, 2007

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Retrospective cohort, single centre study.	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>participants</u> Within 1yr and ≥ 1yr survivors.	<u>Chemotherapy</u> 1207 (100%) anthracyclines Dauno: Ida – 1:5 Dauno: Mitox – 1:5	Outcome definitions -Clinical cardiotoxicity: clinical signs and symptoms of cardiomyopathy. -Subclinical cardiotoxicity: no clinical	Additional remarks: -Early and late cardiotoxicity, only late cardiotoxicity reported in table -A lot of missing information
<u>Treatment era</u> 1993-2003. *Treated in_1993- 2003 according to AML-BFM-98 and 93 trial study.	N=1207 survivors <18 years at primary cancer diagnosis. Data on late cardiotoxicity (>1year after AML chemotherapy) were available for 547 (45%) patients.	Anthracycline dose: AML-BFM-93 study: 300- 400mg/m2 AML-BFM-98 study: 420- 450mg/m2 <u>Number of patients treated &amp;</u> followed up for late	signs and symptoms of cardiomyopathy, but with an abnormal SF of the left ventricle measured by ECHO. -Late cardiotoxicity >1 year after AML chemotherapy. <u>Results</u> -Late cardiotoxicity: 16/547 (2.9%) cardiomyopathy (9 clinical and 7	<ul> <li>-Cumulative dose was lowered for high-risk patients eg, dose reduced by 1/3 for patients with down syndrome</li> <li>-Long term follow up</li> <li>-986/1399 (70%) of echo evaluations done within first 5yrs after diagnosis</li> <li>-Homogenous treated group of AML patients</li> <li>-Assessment of subclinical cardiac</li> </ul>
<u>Follow-up</u> AML-BFM-98 study: Median 3.6 (0.8-7.0) years.	<u>Diagnoses</u> AML 100% AML with down syndrome: 121(10.02%)	<u>cardiotoxicity</u> BFM-93 study: 306/547 (55.94%)	subclinical cardiomyopathy). - Late cardiotoxicity determined at median follow up 5.3 (0.8-11.5) years after diagnosis	function was only by fractional shortening <u>Risk of bias</u>

AML-BFM-93 study: Median 7.5 (1.1- 11.5) year.	AML without down syndrome: 1010 (83.68%) Secondary AML:	BFM-98 study: 241/547 (44.06%).	-5/9 patients with clinical cardiomyopathy had persistent abnormal SF.	<u>A. Selection bias:</u> unclear Reason: Unclear how many survivors were included in the original cohort and study group.
	76(6.29%). Age at diagnosis		<u>Cumulative incidence late</u> <u>cardiomyopathy at 5 yrs after diagn.</u> Patient with/without down syndrome:	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> High risk Reason: Data on late cardiotoxicity were available on 547/1207 (45%)
	<18 years of age. Age at follow-up		4% ±1% Patients with secondary AML: 60% ± 22% Early/late cardiomyopathy: 4-5%	patients <u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear Reason: unclear if outcome assessors
	Not Reported.		Late clinical cardiomyopathy: 2.5±1% <u>Cox Regression:</u> Early cardiotoxicity was the only predictor of late cardiotoxicity	D. Confounding: Low risk Reason: Adjusted for early cardiotoxicity, sex, age <2 vs >2 years,
			(Adjusted for early cardiotoxicity, sex, age at diagnosis, FAB M4/M5 vs. other FAB types)	age <10 vs >10 years, FAB M4/M5 vs other FAB types.

*Paulides et al.* Prospective longitudinal evaluation of doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy in sarcoma patients: a report of the late effects surveillance system (LESS). Pediatr Blood Cancer 46:489-95, 2006

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design	Type and number of	Chemotherapy	Outcome definitions	- Clinical and subclinical DCM
Prospective cohort	participants	Doxorubicin dose mean 290	Subclinical FS<29% at least twice, no	- Low participation rate
germany, Austria,	LESS - sarcoma	+/-91 mg/m2	symptoms	- Short follow-up, acute setting
switzerland	1066 non-relapse cohort		Clinical heart failure – per AHA	- Similar to several other low-yield
	564 excluded 502 eligible	<u>Radiotherapy</u>		studies
Treatment era	265 with echo	Chest RT: 6.8%	<u>Results</u>	Risk of bias
1992-2004		Mean dose 47 +/- 10 Gray	4/265 Clinical CHF	A. Selection bias: very high risk
	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u>		16/265 subclinical DCM	

<u>Follow-up</u> Mean 3 yrs (+/-1 yr)	Sarcoma 100% <u>Age at end of therapy</u> mean: 13 +/- 5 yrs <u>Age at follow-up</u> No descriptive statistic reported Range 1-23 years <u>Controls (if applicable)</u> none	Surgery only none <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	Results multivariable regression not reported, no statistically significant results. Tested were anthracycline dose, age at diagnosis, gender, mediastinal irradiation, and longer follow-up	Reason: 237/1066 included in analysis. Comparison between 502 eligible and 237 with echo did not show differences in important confounders but data was not shown <u>B. Attrition bias:</u> unclear Reason: unclear how many patients were lost to follow-up. <u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear risk Reason: blinding investigators/sonographers not mentioned <u>D. Confounding:</u> NA Reason: unclear how multivariable modeling was done and results not reported
--	--	---	--	---

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed? Van Dalen et al. Clinical heart failure in a cohort of children treated with anthracyclines: a long-term follow-up study. Eur J Cancer 42:3191-8, 2006 Study design Treatment era Treatment Main outcomes Additional remarks Years of follow-up Outcome definitions Follow-up on previous 2001 JCO Study design Type and number of Chemotherapy study. Not limited to long-term participants Retrospective Mean anthracycline dose: 288 A-CHF = congestive heart failure, not survivors. No RT dosimetry cohort Children treated with mg/m2 (range 15-900) attributable to other known causes, such as reported. anthracyclines (n=830) direct medical effects of the tumour, septic shock, valvular disease or renal failure. Treatment era Mitoxantrone: **Risk of bias** Diagnoses Any 4.1% 1976-2001 A. Selection bias: low risk Various Results None 95.5% Reason: 830 out of 831 eligible Cumulative incidence of A-CHF: 2.5% (21 Mean dose 21.8 mg/m2 Follow-up patients were evaluated (>75%). patients; 95% CI 1.6-3.8%). (range 12-108)

Median 8.5, range 0.01-28.4	Age at anthracycline exposure Mean 8.8 years (median 8.7; range 0.1–18.0) Age at follow-up, mean 17.3 years (median 16.7; range 0.3–42.7)	Ifosfamide: Any 27.2% None 72.4% Cyclophosphamide: Any 55% None 44.8 % <u>Radiotherapy</u> Chest RT: Any 21.2% None 78.7%	Mean time between the first dose of anthracyclines and A-CHF: 3.7 years (median 0.84 years; range 0.1–20.9 years). <u>Univariate analyses:</u> Cumulative anthracycline dose of 300 mg/m2 or more (RR = 8.66, 95% CI 2.01– 37.35, P = 0.004). Additional treatment with ifosfamide with a cumulative dose of more than 10 g/m2 (RR = 2.67, 95% CI 1.05– 6.82, P = 0.04). Female sex, age at first anthracycline dose 2 years or younger, RT involving the heart region, additional treatment with mitoxantrone and additional treatment with more than 10 g/m2 of cyclophosphamide) were not associated with an increased risk of A-CHF. <u>Multivariate COX regression analyses:</u> It was unclear which variables were included in the multivariate analyses. Cumulative anthracycline dose of 300 mg/m2 or more was the only independent risk factor. Cumulative anthracycline ≥300 mg/m2 RR: 7.78 (95% CI 1.76-34.27), p<0.01	<ul> <li><u>B. Attrition bias:</u> low risk</li> <li>Reason: the authors state that information on the clinical status up to at least January 2002 was available for 95.8% of the cohort.</li> <li><u>C. Detection bias:</u> low risk</li> <li>Reason: the cardiologist who confirmed the diagnosis was unaware of the cumulative anthracycline dose received by the patients.</li> <li><u>D. Confounding:</u> unclear risk</li> <li>Reason: it was not stated which variables were included in the multivariate model.</li> </ul>
--------------------------------	---	--	---	--

WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance?				
Guldner et al. Radiation dose and long term risk of cardiac pathology following radiotherapy and anthracyclin for a childhood cancer. Radiother Oncol 2006;81:47-56				
Study design Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				

Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	No XRT heart dosimetry, dosing
Retrospective	participants	Anthracyclines: mean	- heart failure, n=24	estimated
cohort	447 CCS treated with	344mg/m <sup>2</sup> (range 40-600)	- Prevalence of asymptomatic cardiac	
Cross-sectional	anthracycline and alive at		disease (FS <25 / EF <50 / ESWS > 100)	Inclusion based on anth. exposure,
evaluation	end therapy, now ≥15	Radiotherapy		no RT alone population.
	years after exposure.	245/447 pt had BT	<u>Results</u>	
Treatment era		XBT heart dose:	218 not examined and not known cardiac	Cohort overlap with Pein, 2004.
1968-1985	229 (51%) participated in	Moop $8.1/15.6$	failure	,,,,,,, -
1900 1909	study	Mean 8.1 (15.6)	140 examined and healthy	Mixed reporting of details on the
Follow up (n=220)			24 with cardiac failure	whole cohort BT treated cohort
<u>Follow-up (II=229)</u>	<u>Diagnoses (of 245 who</u>		65 with asymptomatic cardiac disease	examined cohort and groups
Mean 18 years	received RT)		os with asymptomatic cardiac disease	with(out) cardiac failure.
	Lymphoma n=75			
	nephroblastoma n=46		Heart radiation dose:	Risk of hias
	Soft tissue sarcoma n=42		Not examined vs healthy vs. heart failure vs	A Selection bias: High risk as only
	Neuroblastoma n=33		asymptomatic disease:	A. Selection bids. Fight fish as only
	Ewing sarcoma n=25		Median 0.27 Gy vs 0.6 Gy vs. 18.1 Gy vs 2.2	known cardiac failure were added
	Other n=22		Gy; p <0.001	to the selection
	Unknown n=2			
			Multivariable logistic regression (heart	D. Attrition biographics, all 205
	<u>Age at diagnosis (n=447)</u>		<u>failure)</u>	B. Attrition blas: Low, all 205
	6.2 yrs (0-21)		Dose-dependent increase in HF and cardiac	asympt. participants had an echo
			disease risk by radiation dose	
	Age at follow-up (n=229)		RR of 19% (95% CI: 2% to 50%) per 1 Gray	<u>C. Detection bias:</u> Unclear if blinded
	Mean 24 vrs		(adjusted for sex, age at treatment,	assessor
			cumulative anthracycline dose, attained	
			age).	D. Confounding: Low risk, adequate
				adjustment

*Van der Pal et al.* Risk of morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease following radiotherapy for childhood cancer: a systematic review. Cancer Treat Rev 2005;31:173-85

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design	Criteria for review:	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Older treatment eras
Systematic review	1) Original report	10 studies mentioned	All cardiac events	
of risk of morbidity	2) English, Dutch, French,	possible cardiotoxic co-		Many studies include arterial
and mortality from	German	treament (i.e. anthracyclines),	<u>Results</u>	events (ie: MI) and CHF as CVE.
cardiovascular	3) Study pop.: >50 pts.	ranging from 4% to 100% of	Cumulative incidence CVE	
disease for	4) Childhood CA: <=18 y.	the conort.	0.3% to 22.8%	For many, no clear delineation
	5) XRT involving heart		Cumulative incidence CV mortality	between RT-related systolic heart
Lit Deview	region	Radiotherapy	0% to 3.5%	failure vs. CHF due to coronary
<u>LIL REVIEW:</u> 1966-2002	6) Outcome: Clinical	Inclusion criterion	Pooled standardized mortality ratio 28.4	artery disease, or MI alone.
1900-2002	cardiovascular event (CVE)			
Treatment are	or cardiovascular mortality		Multiple regression from 1 case-control	Dose-dependent Risk
<u>Treatment era</u>			study (all Cardiac events), matched for	
1954-1997			Continuous ty Variables (PP: 95%CI):	<u>Risk of bias</u>
	For CVE:		Continuous ix. Variables (KK, $33\%$ Cl).	A. Selection bias: Low risk; 10/11
Follow-up:	9 studies selected based		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ferriale/Male: 4.5 (1.0-12.0)} \\ \text{Auth}  100 \text{ mg}(\text{m}2)  2.2  (1.0 \text{ F} \text{ 7}) \\ \end{array}$	studies had more than 95% of the
Reported means	on validity and inclusion		Anth, 100 mg/m2: 3.2 (1.8-5.7)	original cohort or a random
/medians range	criteria.		Lung RT, 10 Gy: 1.6 (1.1-2.7)	sample.
Reported ranges			Left abd, 10 Gy: 1.8 (1.1-2.7)	
range from 1 to 29	For CV mortality:		Categorical tx. Variables (RR; 95% CI):	B. Attrition bias: Unclear risk. 5/11
vears.	11 studies selected based		Female/Male: 3.7 (1.4-9.3)	studies assessed >90%, $3/11$
,	on validity and inclusion		Anth,>300 mg/m2: 5.0 (1.3-19)	assessed 60-90%, 3/11 n.m.
	criteria.		Left abd. RT: 3.5 (1.2-10)	
				<u>C. Detection bias:</u> High risk: none of
			Not significant:	detection
			Continuous tx. Variables (RR; 95% Cl):	
			Right abd. 10 Gy: 0.94 (0.66-1.3)	D. Confounding: Unclose: 6/11
			Categorical tx. Variables (RR; 95% CI):	D. Comounding: Unclear: 6/11 studies adequately adjusted for
			Lung RT >20Gy: 3.1 (0.5 – 19)	confounders. Low risk for matched
				case-control study

### WG1: Who needs cardiomyopathy surveillance? WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?

*Pein et al.* Cardiac abnormalities 15 years and more after adriamycin therapy in 229 childhood survivors of a solid tumour at the Institut Gustave Roussy. Br J Cancer 91:37-44, 2004

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up		Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Retrospective cohort <u>Treatment era</u> 1968-1982 <u>Follow-up</u> 18 yrs (average)	Type and number of participantsChildren who received at least one dose of anthracyclines and who were alive at the end of treatment (n=229)Group I (n=205) examined according to protocol Group II (n=24) not examined but included because they had experienced a clinical cardiac failure.Diagnoses Solid tumourAge at treatment: Group II: 5.7 (0–21) Group II: 4.8 (1–13)Age at follow-up	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Anthracycline, mean dose mg/m2 (range): Group I: 333 (40–600) Group II: 412 (180–600) <u>Radiotherapy</u> Chest RT Group I: yes 106 (52%) Group II: yes 14 (58%) Mean dose chest RT Gy (range): Group I: 7.7 (0–91) Group II: 13.4 (0–46)	Outcome definitions-Heart failure, FS<25%, EF<50%, or ESWS>100,n=89-Heart failure, n=24ResultsRisk of cardiac failure increased with time sincefirst anthra treatment.Multivariate COX regression (asymptomatic and symptomatic cardiomyopathy)Model 1: RR (95% CI)Female (male ref): 1.41 (0.8 – 2.6)Age at first treatment <7 yrs (≥8 yrs ref): 3.21 (1.63 – 6.34)Cum anthracycline dose at 100mg/m2: 1.60 (1.22 – 2.09)Average chest RT dose: 1.25 (0.99 – 1.50)Model 2: RR (95% CI)Adjusted for age at treatment of first cancer, sex, and average radiation dose received at 6 points in the heart, and stratified on the site of the cancer.	<ul> <li>High proportion treated with chest radiation. Very long term follow-up.</li> <li>One of the earlier studies to demonstrate dose-response with RT.</li> <li><u>Risk of bias</u> <ul> <li><u>A. Selection bias:</u> high risk</li> <li>Reason: there were 447</li> <li>consecutive patients, &lt;75%</li> <li>were included for the analyses.</li> </ul> </li> <li><u>B. Attrition bias:</u> low risk</li> <li>Reason: group II is &lt;75% of the study group.</li> <li><u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear risk</li> <li>Reason: blinding was not mentioned by the authors.</li> <li><u>D. Confounding:</u> low risk</li> </ul>

nm	Cumulative anthracycline dose	Reason: all the relevant
	0–150 (Ref)	variables were included in the
	>150 - 250 2.0 (0.44 - 9.5)	multivariate analyses.
	>250 - 400 4.0 (0.95 - 17)	
	>400 3.3 (0.78 - 14)	
	Model 3: RR (95% Cl)	
	Adjusted for age at treatment of 1st cancer, sex,	
	and cumulative anthracyline dose, and stratified	
	on the site of the cancer.	
	0 No chest RT (Ref)	
	>0-5 Gy: 1.63 (0.82-3.26)	
	>5-20 Gy: 6.48 (2.76-15.20)	
	>20 Gy: 4.40 (1.11-17.48)	
	Model 4 RR (95% CI)	
	Adjusted for age at treatment of first cancer, and	
	sex, and stratified on the site of the cancer.	
	<250 mg of adriamycin	
	+ < 5Gy to the heart (ref)	
	+ ≥ 5Gy to the heart 4.9 (1.3 –18.0)	
	≥ 250 mg of adriamycin	
	+ < 5Gy to the heart 5.1 (1.8 –14.5)	
	+ ≥ 5Gy to the heart 6.6 (2.1 –20.6)	

WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?					
Kismet et al. Sei	Kismet et al. Serum troponin T levels and echocardiographic evaluation in children treated with doxorubicin. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2004;42:220–224.				
Study design Treatment era	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks	

Years of follow-up				
Study design Multi-center cohort study (Turkey). Treatment era June 1982 to August 2000. <u>Median time from last</u> doxorubicin dose: 12 months (range 1 to 168).	Type and number of Participants24 childhood cancer patients who received doxorubicin for treatment of Hodgkin disease (n=4), rhabdomyosarcoma (n=4), Ewing sarcoma (n=3), osteosarcoma (n=3), malignant mesenchymal tumor (n=3). Wilms tumor (n=2), neuroblastoma (n=1), hepatoblastoma (n=1), clear cell sarcoma (n=1), malignant mesothelioma (n=1) and primitive neuroectodermal tumor (n=1).14 males/10 femalesAge at diagnosis Not reportedMot reportedAge at study median 14 years (range 3-31).Cancer treatment Median cumulative doxorubicin dose 480 mg/m² (range 400 to 840); 4 patients also received mediastinal irradiation (no further information provided)	Diagnostic test(s)         Cardiac troponin T; an abnormal test result was defined as ≥ 0.010 ng/ml (n=3; prevalence 12.5%).         Outcome definitions         Two-dimensional, M-mode and Doppler echocardiography performed by pediatric cardiologists (number of observers nm); an abnormal test result was defined as LVEF < 55% and LVSF < 29% (n=2; prevalence 8.3%).	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC)When the echocardiographic result is used as the reference standard^: Sensitivity: 50% (95% CI 2.7 to 97.2)Specificity: 90.9% (95% CI 86.6 to 95.2)Positive predictive value: 33.3% (95% CI 1.8 to 64.8)Negative predictive value: 95.2% (95% CI 90.7 to 99.7)Agreement between tests (i.e. either both abnormal or both normal): 21/24 (87.5%).	None of the patients had clinical evidence of abnormal cardiac functions; patients with evidence of renal disease were excluded from the study. <b>Risk of bias</b> <b>A</b> . Selection bias: unclear Reason: not clear if these 24 patients were all eligible patients or a random sample thereof. <b>B</b> . Index test bias: unclear Reason: nm if outcome assessors were blinded. <b>C</b> . Reference test bias unclear Reason: nm if outcome assessors were blinded. <b>D</b> . Verification bias: low risk Reason: time between test <24 hrs
				both tests.

^ Since echocardiography is most often used to assess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard

~ Calculated by the guideline developers based on information provided in the article (for the main outcomes we used the calculator on

http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html)

#### WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

**Soker et al.** Plasma concentrations of NT-pro-BNP and cardiac troponin-I in relation to doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy and cardiac function in childhood malignancy. Saudi Med J 26:1197-202, 2005

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	Tests were performed at the same
Single-center study	participants	Median cumulative	Two-dimensional, pulse-wave Doppler and	time
(Turkey). Cross	31 childhood cancer	doxorubicin dose 240 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	M-mode echocardiography (performed by 1	
sectional	patients who received	(range 30-600).	experienced pediatric cardiologist); an	<u>Risk of bias</u>
	doxorubicin		abnormal test result was defined as LVEF <	A. Selection bias: unclear
Treatment era		<u>Radiotherapy</u>	60% and LVSF < $30%$ (n=4; prevalence	Reason: not clear if these 31
October 2000 and	14 males/17 females;;	Patients who received	12.5%].	patients were all eligible patients or
December 2004.		mediastinal RT were excluded	Cardiaa trananin luan abnormal tast result	a random sample thereof.
	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u>		was defined as $> 0.50 \text{ pg/m}/(\text{p}-0)$	
<u>Follow-up</u>	ALL (n=27), AML (n=2),	Surgery only	prevalence $0\%$	B. Attrition bias: low risk
Mean follow-up	Hodgkin disease (n=1),	none		Reason: all 31 patients had both
after the last	NHL (n=1).		Time between tests: performed	tests.
anthracycline dose		<u>HSCT</u>	simultaneously.	
9.39 months (range	<u>Age at diagnosis</u>	NA		<u>C. Detection bias:</u> unclear
1 (0 42).	median age at diagnosis		Results	Reason: unclear if outcome
	nm		When the echocardiographic result is used	assessors were blinded.
			as the reference standard^:	
	Age at follow-up		Sensitivity:	D. Confounding: NA
	median age at study 8.16		0% (95% Cl 0 to 0)	Reason: no multivariable
	years (range 4 to 15).			adjustments, we are interested in
			Specificity	the crude sensitivity, specificity etc.
	Controls (if applicable)		specificity.	

<u>Na</u>	100% (95% Cl 100 to 100)
	Positive predictive value: NaN
	Negative predictive value: 87.1% (95% CI 87.1 to 87.1)
	Agreement between tests (i.e. either both abnormal or both normal):
^ Since echocardiography is most often used to asse	27/31 (87.1%). ess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard

http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html)

# WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

*Mavinkurve-Groothuis et al.* Abnormal NT-pro-BNP levels in asymptomatic long-term survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2009;52(5):631–6.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks
Study design Single-center cohort study (the Netherlands). <u>Treatment era</u> Not reported (current study executed	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>Participants</u> 122 long-term survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines for ALL (n=38), AML (n=8), ependymoma (n=1), Ewing sarcoma (n=6), hepatoblastoma (n=3).	Diagnostic test(s) Cardiac troponin T; an abnormal test result was defined as ≥ 0.010 ng/ml (n=0%; prevalence 0%) NT-pro-BNP; an abnormal test result was defined as males <10 pmol/l	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC) When the echocardiographic result of the LVEF is used as the reference standard^: Sensitivity: cTnT: 0% (95% CI 0 to 0) NT-proBNP: 22.2% (95% CI 4.0 to 57.0)	At time of testing none of the patients had symptomatic cardiac disease (defined as < NYHA class II) or a history of cardiovascular disease or chronic renal insufficiency. <u>Risk of bias</u>
and October 2007).	Hodgkin lymphoma (n=13), neuroblastoma (n=6), NHL (n=30),	females <18 pmol/L and for children age dependent reference	Specificity: cTnT: 100% (95% CI 100 to 100)	Reason: all consecutive patients who visited the Late Effects Clinic

				r
<u>Follow-up</u>	osteosarcoma (n=3),	values by Albers et al*	NT-proBNP: 87.6% (95% CI 86.2 to 90.4)	during the study period were
Median 13.8 years	rhabdomyosarcoma (n=4)	(n=16; prevalence 13.1%).		included, but it is not stated if
(range 5 to 28.7).	or Wilms tumor (n=10).		Positive predictive value:	those patients represented a
		Outcome definitions	cTnT: NaN	random sample of the complete
	62 males/60 females	Transthoracic M-mode	NT-proBNP: 12.5% (95% CI 2.3 to 32.1)	cohort of survivors.
		echocardiography		
	Age at diagnosis	(performed by	Negative predictive value:	B. Index test bias: low risk
	median 5 7 years (range	experienced	ivegative predictive value:	Reason: echocardiographic
	0.03  to  14.4	echocardiographic	cTnT: 92.6% (95% Cl 92.6 to 92.6)	outcome assessors were blinded.
	0.05 (0 14.4)	technicians and	NT-proBNP: 93.4% (95% CI 91.8 to 96.3)	
		supervised by 2 (pediatric)		C Reference test bias low risk
	Age at study	cardiologists who were	Agreement between tests (i.e. either both	Reason: echocardiographic
	median 21 years (range 5	unaware of the	abnormal or both normal):	outcome assessors were blinded
	to 39.4 years).	cumulative chemotherapy	cTnT: 113/122 (92.6%).	
		dose and levels of cardiac	NT-proBNP: 101/122 (82.8%)	
	Cancer treatment	troponin T); an abnormal		D. Verification bias: low risk
	Median cumulative	test result was defined as		Reason: biomarker and echo
	anthracycline dose	LVEF < 55% (n=9;	When the echocardiographic result of the LVSF	performed at the same time
	, (doxorubicin and/or	prevalence 7.4%) or as	is used as the reference standard^:	
	daunorubicin) 180 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	LVSF < 29% (n=4;	Sensitivity:	E. Attrition bias low risk
	(range 50-542);	prevalence 3.3%).	cTnT: 0% (95% CI 0 to 0)	Reason: all 122 patients had both
	7 patients also received			tests.
	mediastinal irradiation (no	Time between tests	Specificity:	
	further information	Both tests were	cTnT: 100% (95% CI 100 to 100)	
	provided).	performed at the same		
	,	time.		
			Positive predictive value:	
			cTnT: NaN	
			Negative predictive value:	
			cTnT: 96.7% (95% CI 96.7 to 96.7)	
			Agreement between tests (i.e. either beth	
			Agreement between tests (i.e. either both	
			cTnT: 118/122 (96.7%).	

\* Albers S, Mir TS, Haddad M, Läer S. N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide: normal ranges in the pediatric population including method comparison and interlaboratory variability. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2006;44(1):80–5.

^ Since echocardiography is most often used to assess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard

~ Calculated by the guideline developers based on information provided in the article (for the main outcomes we used the calculator on http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html)

#### WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

Krawczuk-Rybak et al. Cardiac function in survivors of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and Hodgkin's lymphoma J Paediatr Child Health, 47 (2011), pp. 455-459

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks
Study design Single-center cohort study (Poland). <u>Treatment era</u> Not reported <u>Follow-up after treatment</u> <u>completion:</u> mean 5.91 years (range 1.6 to 13.8).	Type and number ofParticipants44 childhood cancersurvivors treated withanthracyclines(doxorubicin,daunorubicin) for ALL(n=37) or Hodgkinlymphoma (n=7).30 males/ 14 females;Age at diagnosisNot reportedAge at studyMean 14.7 years (range 6to 23)Cancer treatmentCumulative anthracyclinedose for ALL 180 to 540	Diagnostic test(s) NT-pro-BNP; an abnormal test result was defined as > 115 ng/L (n=6; prevalence 13.6%). Outcome definitions Doppler and colour flow visualization echocardiography; M- mode for heart structures and Teicholz method for contractility and LVEF (number of observers nm); an abnormal test result was defined as indexed stroke volume < 40 ml/m <sup>2</sup> (n=16; prevalence 36.4%). <u>Time between tests</u>	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC) When the echocardiographic result is used as the reference standard^: Sensitivity: 12.5% (95% CI 2.3 to 27.9) Specificity: 85.7% (95% CI 79.9 to 94.5) Positive predictive value: 33.3% (95% CI 6.1 to 74.4) Negative predictive value: 63.2% (95% CI 58.9 to 69.6) Agreement between tests (i.e. either both abnormal or both normal): 26/44 (59.1%).	Patients had no history of heart disease and no signs of cardiac failure. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : unclear Reason: not stated if all eligible patients or a random sample thereof were included. <u>B. Index test bias</u> : unclear Reason: not reported if outcome assessors were blinded. <u>C. Reference test bias</u> unclear Reason: nm if outcome assessors were blinded. <u>D. Verification bias</u> : unclear

mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; for Hodgkin lymphoma 120 to 240 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; patients with Hodgkin lymphoma received 15 Gy of radiotherapy to the upper mediastinum (no information on number of fractions).	not reported		Reason: time between biomarker and echo was not reported <u>E. Attrition bias</u> low risk Reason: all 44 patients had both tests.
^ Since echocardiography is most often used to assess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard			
Calculated by the guideline developers based on in	iormation provided in the art	icle (for the main outcomes we used the calculator	011

http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html)

# WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

*Sherief et al.* Biomarkers and early detection of late onset anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children, Hematology, 17:3, 151-156, 2012

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				
<u>Study design</u> Single-center cohort study (Egypt) <u>Treatment era</u> Not reported	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>Participants</u> 50 survivors of childhood acute leukemia (n=39 ALL; n=11 AML) treated with anthracyclines.	Diagnostic test(s) -Cardiac troponin T; an abnormal test result was defined as > 0.010 ng/ml (n=0; prevalence 0%). -NT-proBNP. age-	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC) When the echocardiographic result is used as the reference standard^: Sensitivity: cTnT: 0% (95% CI 0 to 0)	At time of testing all survivors were asymptomatic (i.e. no signs and symptoms of cardiac impairment); patients with renal or hepatic impairment were excluded as were patients with a history of cardiac disease and hypertension.
<u>Mean years of follow-up</u> not completely clear from manuscript, but most likely 3.75 years (range 1.5 to 6)	30 males/20 females; <u>Age at diagnosis</u> mean 8.4 years (range 3 to 15)	dependent reference values defined by Albers 2006* (n=10; prevalence 20%) <u>Outcome definitions</u> Conventional	Specificity: cTnT: 100% (95% CI 100 to 100) NT-proBNP: data to calculate not provided Positive predictive value:	Risk of bias A. Selection bias: unclear Reason: not clear if these 50 patients were all eligible patients or a random sample thereof.
	Age at study	echocardiography (no	cTnT: NaN	<u>B. Index test bias</u> : unclear

	mean 11.63 years (range 8 to 16) <u>Cancer treatment</u> n=18 cumulative anthracycline dose <150- 300 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; n=32 cumulative anthracycline dose > 300 mg/m <sup>2</sup> (but elsewhere in the manuscript n=19 < 300mg/m <sup>2</sup> and n=31 > 300 mg/m <sup>2</sup> was mentioned).	further information provided; number of observers not reported); an abnormal test result was defined as LVEF < 55% or a LVSF < 29% (n=8 prevalence 16%). <u>Time between tests</u> Not reported	NT-proBNP: data to calculate not provided Negative predictive value: cTnT: 84% (95% CI 84 to 84) NT-proBNP: data to calculate not provided Agreement between tests (i.e. either both abnormal or both normal) cTnT: 42/50 (84%). NT-proBNP: data to calculate not provided Higher NT-proBNP levels associated with worse FS, LVEDS, LVEDD, abnormal TDI and with higher anthracycline dose.	Reason: not reported if outcome assessors were blinded. <u>C. Reference test bias</u> unclear Reason: not reported if outcome assessors were blinded. <u>D. Verification bias</u> : unclear Reason: time between tests not reported <u>E. Attrition bias</u> low risk Reason: all 50 patients had both tests.
--	---	---	---	---

\* Albers S, Mir TS, Haddad M, Läer S. N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide: normal ranges in the pediatric population including method comparison and interlaboratory variability. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2006;44(1):80–5.

^ Since echocardiography is most often used to assess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard

~ Calculated by the guideline developers based on information provided in the article (for the main outcomes we used the calculator on <a href="http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html">http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html</a>)

#### WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

*Mladosievicova et al.* Role of NT-proBNP in detection of myocardial damage in childhood leukemia survivors treated with and without anthracyclines. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 31, 86, 2012

Study design				
Treatment era	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Years of follow-up				

Study design:	Type and number of	Diagnostic test(s):	-None had LVEF<50%	Patients with heart failure and renal failure were
Cross sectional	Participants	-NT-proBNP, males >75 ng/L,		excluded.
study	36 asymptomatic survivors	females >105 ng/L (n=4,	NT-proBNP to detect LVEF	
	of ALL (33 ALL survivors	11.1%)	<u>&lt;50% on echo</u>	Risk of bias
Treatment era:	not treated with		Sensitivity: NA	A. Selection bias: Unclear
not reported.	anthracyclines are not	Outcome definitions	Specificity: 89% (95% CI 89-	Reason: Underlying cohort not described, not
Outpatient clinic	described in this table)	Echo 2D LVEF <50% (n=0,	89%)	stated if all patients who visited outpatient clinic
visit between		0%)	Positive predictive value: 0%	were included.
January 2006 to	100% Anthracyclines, dose		(95% CI 0-0%)	<u>B. Index test bias</u> : Unclear
October 2010	not reported, 0% chest-RT		Negative predictive value:	Reason: Blinding of investigators for reference
			100% (95% Cl 100-100%)	standard was not reported.
Follow-up:	Age at diagnosis:			C. Reference test bias: Unclear
Median 11, range 5-	Median 8 range, 1–17		-NT-proBNP not correlated	Reason: Blinding of investigators for index test was
22 years from	years		with LVEF (rho=0.15, p=0.42)	not mentioned.
therapy				D. Verification bias: Low risk
	Age at follow-up:			Reason: tests on same day
	Median 22 range 18–31			E. Attrition bias: Low risk
	years			Reason: All patients received the same index and
				reference test

WG2: What surveilla	WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?				
Armstrong et al. Screening adult survivors of childhood cancer for cardiomyopathy: comparison of echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Clin Oncol 30:2876-84, 2012					
Study design					
Treatment era	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	
Years of follow-up					
Single-center	134 adult childhood cancer	Anthracyclines or chest	Screening performance of echocardiography	Risk of bias	
cohort (USA) - St.	survivors (cancer diagnosed	directed radiotherapy	compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging	A. Selection bias: high risk	
Jude Lifetime	before age 21 years; 47 men		(reference standard) for detection of an LVEF<50%:	Reason: convenient sample	
Cohort Study (SILIFF)	/ 6/ women).	Diagnostic tests:		from 5 pilot studies in SJLIFE	
(00==)					

Treatment era: Not reported Follow-up: Median 27.8 (18.4- 38.3) years	Primary cancer diagnosis: ALL (n=44), Hodgkin's lymphoma (n=37), osteosarcoma (n=11), non- Hodgkin's lymphoma (n=8), AML (n=6), neuroblastoma (n=3), Ewing sarcoma (n=2). Wilms tumour (n=2) and soft tissue sarcoma (n=1). Age at primary cancer diagnosis: Median 11.3 (0.02-19.0) years Age at follow-up: Median 38.5 (22.7-53.7) years Genetic predisposition: NA	Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (analysis was supervised and/or performed by a single investigator); an abnormal test result was defined as LVEF<50% (n=16; prevalence 14%). 3D as well as a 2D echocardiogram with Doppler and time-motion mode (M-mode) (analysis was performed by a single investigator); an abnormal test result was defined as LVEF<50% (n=22/prevalence 19.3% with 3D echocardiography; n=6/prevalence 5.3% with biplane 2D echocardiography; n=8/prevalence 7% with apical 4-Chamber 2D echocardiography and n=24/prevalence 21.1% with Teichholz 2D echocardiography). Time between tests: within a 48-hour period.	<u>3D echocardiography:</u> Sensitivity 53%Specificity 86%Positive predictive value 36%Negative predictive value 92%Biplane 2D echocardiography:Sensitivity 25%Specificity 98%Positive predictive value 67%Negative predictive value 89%Apical 4-Chamber 2D echocardiography:Sensitivity 25%Specificity 96%Positive predictive value 50%Negative predictive value 89%Teichholz 2D echocardiography:Sensitivity 25%Specificity 96%Positive predictive value 89%Teichholz 2D echocardiography:Sensitivity 25%Specificity 96%Positive predictive value 89%Positive predictive value 88%Poor correlation between CMR and echo LVEFTeichholz 10 between CMR and echo LVEFTeichholz LVEF, $r = 0.39$ ; 3D LVEF, $r = 0.37$ .Bland-Altman measures of agreement with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging:	<ul> <li><u>B. Index test bias</u>: low risk Reason: blinding of investigators</li> <li><u>C. Reference test bias</u> low risk Reason: blinding of investigators</li> <li><u>D. Verification bias</u>: low risk Reason: MRI and echo performed within 48 hours</li> <li><u>E. Attrition bias</u> low risk Reason: 20 out of 134 survivors that agreed to participate (15%) cardiac magnetic resonance imaging could not be completed*), <i>however this is &lt;25%.</i></li> <li>Patients with an implanted medical device or a history of congenital heart disease were excluded. Of the 114 patients that completed the evaluation, 108 were previously undiagnosed with cardiomyopathy.</li> </ul>
---	--	---	--	---

	For 3D echocardiography (bias, 1%; Bland-Altman limits of agreement [± 1.96 standard deviation], –11.8% to 14.0%);	
	For 2D echocardiography:	
	2D biplane (bias, -5.2%; -19.0% to 8.69%),	
	2D apical 4-chamber (bias, –5.4%; –22.1% to 11.4%),	
	Teichholz M-mode (bias, -3.1%; -28.3% to 22.1%).	

### WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

Ylänen et al. Three-Dimensional Echocardiography and Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the screening of Long-Term Survivors of Childhood Cancer after Cardiotoxic Therapy. Am J Cardiol 2014;113:1886-1892

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic Tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Prospective, cross- sectional, single centre <u>Treatment era</u> Not specified, study performed Feb 2010- June 2011 <u>Follow-up</u> Median 7 (range 5-18) yrs	Type and number of participants 76 childhood cancer survivors treated >5 yrs ago 42 female/34 male, 5 excluded (4- Cardiomyopathy and 1- suboptimal acoustic window) 71 studied participants Group I-Anthracyclines only no RT: 63 participants. Group II-AC and RT: 8 participants.	-Conventional ECHO FS<28% (prevalence 0%) -real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography (RT- 3DE) 3D LVEF <50% (prevalence 10%) Outcome -cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) in 58 patients LVEF <55% 45/58 (78%) LVEF <50% 29/58 (50%)	Diagnostic values with CMR LVEF<55% as reference* <b>3D LVEF &lt;50% (95%Cl)</b> Sensitivity 13.3% (7.3-13.3) Specificity 100% (79.2-100) PPV 100% (55.0-100) NPV 91.2% (80.4-96.3) Agreement 32.8% (23.5-32.8) <b>FS&lt;28% (95%Cl)</b> Sensitivity 0% (0-0) Specificity 100% (100-100) PPV NA NPV 22.4% (22.4-22.4) Agreement 22.4% (22.4-22.4)	Demonstrated the RT-3DE-derived LVEF to detect more abnormalities in the systolic LV function than M-mode. Better sensitivity of the RT-3DE and MRI over that of the 2-dimensional echocardiography <b>Risk of bias</b> <u>A. Selection bias:</u> Low risk 76 out of 86 (88%) eligible survivors of original cohort

<u>Controls</u> 71 healthy volunteers as	LV volumes, ejection fraction (EF), and dyssynchrony indexes for	<u>Diagnostic definitions</u> Systolic dysfunction: FS < 28% or RT-3DE LVEF < 50% or CMR- derived LVEF < 55%	participated, 5 excluded. CMR was performed in 58/71 participants (82%)
Controls 71 healthy volunteers as gender-, body surface area-, age-matched Gr. I: 63, Gr. II: 8 Diagnoses Gr.I: ALL 31 (49%), AML 3 (5%), Solid tumor 29 (46%) Gr.II: AML 6(75%), Solid Tu 2 (25%) Age at diagnosis Gr.I Median 4 (range 0-14) years Gr. II Median 5 (0-13) yrs Age at follow-up Gr.I 14 ±3 years Gr. II 13± 3 years Cancer Treatment: Anthracyclines All participants (71) Gr. I Cumulative dose median 218 (range 80-386) mg/m2	traction (EF), and dyssynchrony indexes for the 16 and 12 cardiac segments (Tmsv16-SD and Tmsv12-SD)	Systolic dysfunction: FS < 28% or RT-3DE LVEF < 50% or CMR- derived LVEF < 55% CMR performed in 58 participants; Gr. I 52 and Gr. II 2 participants. No CMR in both control groups Upper normal limits for dyssynchrony indexes derived from +2 SD of 71 healthy controls: Tmsv16-SD 2.26% and Tms12-SD 2.11% <u>Proportion with outcome</u> None of the survivors had an abnormal fractional shortening (<28%), but 10% had an LVEF <50% by RT-3DE. An LVEF <55% was detected in 45 of 58 (78%) of those imaged with CMR. Group I: median FS 33% ± 3%; median RT-3DE LVEF 57 ± 6%; median CMR LVEF 50% ± 6% Group II: median FS 33% ± 2%; median RT-3DE LVEF 56% ± 7%; median CMR LVEF 50% ± 6% 1 case-control pair excluded from dyssynchrony analysis due to stich artifact. <b>7/70 (10%) survivors with M-mode normal FS found to have abnormal LVEF by RT-3DE.</b> <b>3/70 (4%) had abnormally high Tmsv16-SD</b> <b>4/70 (6%) with high Tmsv12-SD (all with normal QRS- duration in ECHO)</b> In Linear regression analysis: <b>Tmsv16-SD was predictable with</b> <b>the RT-3DE LVEF (p&lt;0.001) and Cardiac Irradiation (p=0.002)</b> <b>with adjusted R2 of 0.28</b> . FS derived by M-mode and LVEF by RT-3DE and CMR in 58 survivors (all had normal FS).	<ul> <li>LINIK Was performed in 58/71 participants (82%)</li> <li><u>B Index test bias:</u> unclear Reason: Blinding not mentioned. There was not a clear reference test however.</li> <li><u>C. Reference test bias:</u> unclear Reason: Blinding not mentioned. There was not a clear reference test however.</li> <li><u>D. Verification bias</u>: unclear intraobserver and interobserver variability was low. Not stated if CMR and echo were performed at same time</li> <li><u>E. Attrition bias</u>: Low risk, same tests were used in all 58 participants who had CMR.</li> </ul>
Gr. II Cumulative dose median 382 (range 163- 454) mg/m2		6/58 (10%) LVEF<50% by RT-3DE 45/58 (78%) LVEF<55% by CMR (even with lower cut off <50% by CMR was found in 29/58 (50%).	

(11% of study participants)	31 ± 7ml/m2, p<0.001 for both)
Average cumulative cardiac radiation dose 10 Gy (range 3.6-12.0)	Group I-larger LV end-sys dimensions and lowe FS than controls. RT-3DE LV end-syst volumes larger and LVEFs lower in survivors. RT-3DE LVEF<50% in 5/63 (8%) CMR LVEF<55% in 40/52 (77%)
<u>Allogenic</u> Gr. I: 0 Gr. II: 6 (75%)	Group II- Lower FS and M-mode derived LV mass/volume ratio than controls. Dyssynchrony indexes were higher among survivors than controls. 2/8 (25%) had RT-3DE LVEF<50% 5/6 (83%) had CMR LEF<55%
	All dyssynchrony indexes higher in Gr.II than Gr. I: Tmsv16-SD ( $p = 0.003$ ), Tmsv16-Dif ( $p = 0.005$ ), Tmsv12-SD ( $p = 0.001$ ), and Tmsv12-Dif ( $p = 0.001$ ), Respectively
	<b>Correlations</b> between the RT-3DE and the CMR were for the LV end-diastolic ( $r = 0.880$ , $p < 0.001$ ) and end-systolic volumes ( $r = 0.831$ , $p < 0.001$ ) and LVEF ( $r = 0.189$ , $p = 0.155$ ).
	Bland altman analysis 3D LVEF - CMR LVEF (from figure) Mean difference: 7% (higher for CMR) Lower limit [-1.96 SD]: -9% Upper limit [+1.96 SD]: 21%

WG2: What surveillance modality should be used? WG3: At what frequency should surveillance be performed?						
Yeh et al. Routine Echocardiography Screening for Asymptomatic Left Ventricular Dysfunction in Childhood Cancer Survivors: A Model-Based Estimation of the Clinical and Economic Effects. Ann Intern Med. 2014;160:661-71.						
Study Design, Treatment Era, Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Diagnostic Tests	Main Outcomes	Additional Remarks	
Study Design: Simulation of life histories using Markov health states (no ALVD, ALVD, HF or death). <u>Treatment Era:</u> Not reported <u>Years of Follow-up:</u> Not reported. Model estimates lifetime risk.	Type and no. of participants:         Childhood         cancer survivors         ≥ 5 years from         cancer diagnosis         (similar to CCSS)         Diagnoses:         Not reported         Age at diagnosis:         Median age = 10         yrs         Age at follow-         up:         Not reported         CV Risk Factors:         Not reported	Anthracyclines: Not reported. Assumed that all patients received anthracyclines <u>Radiation:</u> Not reported <u>Surgery:</u> Not reported <u>HSCT:</u> Not reported Looked at 2 risk groups based on anthracycline dose < 250 or ≥ 250 mg/m2 Lifetime risk of ALVD = 22.6%; lifetime risk of HF = 18.8%; and lifetime risk of dying from HF = 11.1% <u>Intervention:</u>	1. 2D-Echo: -LVEF, % Assumed sensitivity = 25% and specificity = 99% compared to CMR 2. CMR -LVEF, %	Primary Outcome:Cost-effectiveness of screening for ALVD in childhood cancer survivors at intervals of 1, 2, 5 and 10 yrs:1. For anthracycline exposure < 250 mg/m2 - no screening w/ echo was most cost-effective (ICER/QALY gained for every 10 yrs \$104,400 exceeds cut-off value of \$100,000)2. For anthracycline ≥ 250 mg/m2 - screening every 2 years w/ echo was most cost- effective (ICER/QALY gained \$ 83,600)CMR more cost -effective as a screening strategy than echo: -For anthracyclines < 250 mg/m2 - every 10 years (ICER/QALY gained \$78,000)-For anthracyclines ≥ 250 mg/m2 - every 5 years (ICER/QALY gained \$78,000)-For anthracyclines ≥ 250 mg/m2 - every 5 years (ICER/QALY gained \$9,800)	Risk of biasModel is limited by multipleassumptions:1. Accuracy of echo to detect ALVD2. Sensitivity of echo is constant,regardless of EF3. Effectiveness of ACEi/BB toprevent progression to HF in ptswith ALVD4. Adherence to screening schedule5. Adherence to ACEi/BB6. Rate of progression to HF is sameas that in adult pts7. Rate of progression to HF isconstant after age 30 yrs. inchildhood survivors8. Rate of dying from HF does nottake into account modern therapiesfor HF such as ARNIs etc.9. Excludes the possibility ofdeveloping HF from restrictivecardiomyopathy w/o developingALVD first.10. Does not take added risk of RTinto account when assessing risk ofALVDSelection bias: Low risk	

ACEi and BB upon detection of ALVD (assumed efficacy = 36% and adherence = 100%)	Gender; age at cancer diagnosis; efficacy of ACEi and BB; time to progression to CHF; absolute excess risk of CHF; CHF death risk; cost of diagnostic tests, medicine, and clinical care; disutility of ACEi/BB due to adverse effects. -model affected most by efficacy of ACEi/BB, AER of HF in childhood cancer survivors and time to progression to CHF.	Reason: model simulated CCSS pts <u>Attrition bias</u> : Low risk Reason: Model assumes that all patients will have echocardiograms performed throughout their lifetime. <u>Detection bias:</u> High risk Reason: CCSS investigators were not blinded
		Confounding: Low risk
		Reason: effects measures from CCSS were adjusted in MV analysis

*Wong et al.* Cost-Effectiveness of the Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-up Screening Guidelines for Childhood Cancer Survivors at Risk for Treatment-Related Heart Failure. Ann Intern Med. 2014;160:672-83.

Study Design, Treatment	Participants	Treatment	Diagnostic Tests	Main Outcomes	Additional Remarks
Era, Years of follow-up					
Study Design: Simulation of life histories using Markov health states (no ALVD, ALVD, HF or death).	<u>Type and no. of</u> <u>participants:</u> N=4635 childhood cancer survivors ≥ 5 yrs from cancer diagnosis	<u>Anthracyclines:</u> N=4635, median dose = 292.8 mg/m2 <u>Radiation:</u> N=1020 (22%)	<u>1. 2D-Echo:</u> -LVEF, % Assumed sensitivity = 75% and specificity = 90% compared to MUGA	Primary Outcome: Cost-effectiveness of COG guidelines for ALVD screening in childhood cancer survivors: COG Guidelines:	Model is limited by multiple assumptions: 1. Accuracy of echo to detect ALVD 2. Effectiveness of ACEi/BB to prevent progression to HF in
<u>Years of Follow-up:</u> Median f/u: 20 yrs within CCSS.	<u>Diagnoses:</u> As in CCSS but not specifically reported for the anthracycline treated subset included in this study	<u>Surgery:</u> Not reported <u>HSCT:</u> Not reported	<u>2. CMR</u> -LVEF, % (to confirm echo findings)	<ol> <li>Increased life expectancy by 6.1 mths</li> <li>Increased QALY by</li> <li>6 mths</li> <li>Reduced HF risk at 30 ys after cancer by 18%</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>3. Adherence to screening schedule</li> <li>4. Adherence to ACEi/BB</li> <li>5. Rate of progression to HF is same as that in adult pts</li> </ul>
Model estimates lifetime	Ago at diagnosis:		A ICER/OALY gained is	6 Pata of prograssion to UF	
--------------------------	--	---	--	---	
risk	Age at follow-up:         Not reported         CV Risk Factors:         Not reported	Looked at 12 risk profile groups based on age at diagnosis, dose of anthracyclines, and exposure to chest radiation Median prevalence of ALVD =13.3-14.2% (range 2.6-39.2%) Median prevalence of HF is 1/3 ALVD <u>Intervention:</u> ACEi and BB upon detection of ALVD (assumed efficacy = 30% and adherence = 76%)	<ul> <li>Section of the section of t</li></ul>	<ul> <li>is constant after age 30 yrs.</li> <li>in childhood survivors</li> <li>7. Rate of dying from HF does not take into account modern therapies for HF such as ARNIs etc.</li> <li><u>Risk of bias</u></li> <li><u>Selection bias:</u> Low risk Reason: model included all anthracycline treated CCSS pts</li> <li><u>Attrition bias</u>: Low risk Reason: Model assumes that all patients will have echocardiograms performed throughout their lifetime.</li> <li><u>Detection bias</u>: High risk Reason: CCSS investigators were not blinded</li> <li><u>Confounding</u>: Low risk Reason: effects measures from CCSS were adjusted in MV analysis</li> </ul>	

*Shah et al.* Medium-term assessment of cardiac function in pediatric cancer survivors. Comparison of different echocardiographic methods, cardiac MRI and cardiac biomarker testing in adolescent cancer survivors. *Echocardiography 2017;34:250–256* 

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Observational (cross- sectional) Treatment era Recruitment: Not stated - 2015 (extrapolated from submission date of 29 July 2016) Date of diagnosis: 1992-2009 (calculated from range of years off therapy minus submission date) Follow-up Years off therapy: median [range] 10.8y (5-21.6)	Type and number of participants 50 consecutive asymptomatic patients ≥10y attending Children's Mercy Hospital paediatric and young adult cancer survivorship program, treated with chemotherapy and/or radiation for childhood malignancies and had completed treatment more than 2 years prior to their upcoming clinic visit. All who were approached consented to the study. Exclusions: Age <10y, Pacemaker, Claustrophobia Characteristics of the participants (n=50) number (percentage) Male gender 25 (50%) Blood cancer 33 (66%) High anthracycline dose ≥300 mg/m2 10 (20%) Radiation therapy 19 (38%) Bone marrow transplant 7 (14%) median (range)	Diagnostic test(s) Echocardiography: 2D and 3D with volumetric measurements of end-diastolic volume, end- systolic volume, and EF were performed offline using the bullet method. Cardiac MRI: 1.5T with 16-channel phased array cardiac coil. Biomarkers: N-terminal pro-B- type brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and troponin-I <u>Outcome</u> definitions EF of_<53% was considered abnormal	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC)         Cardiac MRI:         Successfully performed in all 50 subjects (but the number excluded (age <10y pacemaker or claustrophobia) is not stated.         4 asymptomatic male subjects (8% of total) had mild LV dysfunction (cardiac MRI-measured LV EF of 51-52%). They had been in remission for a median duration of 10 years, 3 were ≤4 years of age, and one subject was age 6 at diagnosis.         5 other patients had LVEF 53-54%         Echocardiography:         M-mode and 2D echo were successfully performed in all 50 subjects. 4 subjects were said to have poor image quality on 2D echo but their results were used in the calculation of end-systolic volume, end-diastolic volume and LVEF (Table 2a).         3D was successfully performed in 46 of 50 subjects.         Of the 4 patients with LVEF <53% on cardiac MRI, the number correctly classified as abnormal by echo was: M-mode – 0 (sensitivity 0; specificity 91.3, PPV 0, NPV 91.8)         2D echo – 1 (sensitivity 25; specificity 97.6, PPV 20, NPV 92.9)         Of the 9 patients with LVEF <55% on cardiac MRI, the number correctly classified as abnormal by echo was: M-mode – 1         All – 1 (sensitivity 25; specificity 97.6, PPV 20, NPV 92.9)         Of the 9 patients with LVEF <55% on cardiac MRI, the number correctly classified as abnormal by echo was: M-mode – 1         2D echo – 2         3D echo – 3	The paper defines cardiac MRI as the gold standard test for detection of left ventricular systolic dysfunction defined as LVEF <53%. It shows LVEF calculated by M-mode, 2D and 3D echo to have low sensitivity in this patient group. NT-proBNP and troponin-I were not correlated with LVEF. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : Low risk Reason: 50 consecutive patients approached and all consented to take part <u>B. Index test bias</u> : Unclear Reason: Blinding is not stated. It is also unclear whether the echocardiographer was aware of previous echo reports. <u>C. Reference test bias</u> Unclear Reason: Blinding is not stated. It is also unclear whether the MRI

Age at diagnosis 3y (0.4- 16) Years off of therapy 10.8 (5-21.6)	All – 4 (3D did not identify a patient identified by M-mode and/or 2D) The significance of this is unclear, as these are different imaging modalities with different reference ranges.	radiographer was aware of previous MRI or echo reports.
dose (mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) 175 (75- 450) LVEF% by MRI 58.1 (51- 67) RVEF% by MRI 58 (47-70) E'/E-wave velocity ratio 5.5 (3.3-9.9) Left ventricular mass index to BSA (gm/m <sup>2</sup> ) 59.4 (40.4-92.6)	Bland altman analysis CMR - echocardiography M mode LVEF – CMR LVEF: mean 5.5%, SD=6.3, SE=0.89 2D LVEF – CMR LVEF: mean 1.8%, SD=5.5, SE=0.78 3D LVEF – CMR LVEF: mean 1.9%, SD=5.3, SE=0.78 <u>Correlations CMR - echocardiography</u> M mode LVEF – CMR LVEF: 0.17, P=0.265 2D LVEF – CMR LVEF: 0.44, p=0.001 3D LVEF – CMR LVEF: 0.24, p=0.12	D. Verification bias: Low risk Reason: Cardiac MRI, echo and blood biomarkers were obtained on the same day <u>E. Attrition bias</u> - Low risk Reason: All of the study group received the same
	Biomarkers:	tests.
	<u>1 patient with elevated NT-proBNP &gt;300 ng/L had normal</u> LVEF on CMR (LVEF>=53%)	
	Sensitivity: 0% (95%Cl 0-23)	
	Specificity: 98% (95%Cl 98-100)	
	Positive predictive value: 0% (NA)	
	Negative predictive value: 92% (95%CI 92-94)	
	<u>1 other patient with elevated troponin I &gt;0.03 ng/mL had</u> <u>normal LVEF on CMR (LVEF&gt;=53%)</u> Sensitivity: 0% (95%CI 0-23) Specificity: 98% (95%CI 98-100) Positive predictive value: 0% (NA)	

*Ylänen et al.* Cardiac biomarkers indicate a need for sensitive cardiac imaging among long-term childhood cancer survivors exposed to anthracyclines. Acta paediatrica 2015 104, pp. 313–319

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design: cross	Type and number of	Diagnostic test(s):	Results	4 patients on cardiac medication
sectional study	Participants: 76 >5-year	-NT-proBNP (n=76), cut-off	Of the survivors, four (5.3%) without risk	for anthracycline cardiotoxicity
	survivors of childhood	for abnormal <63 ng/L for	factors for cardiotoxicity were cTnAAb	
<u>Treatment era:</u>	cancer	males and <116 ng/L for	positive	A. Selection bias: Low risk
not reported	34 males (45%)	females. Children: Nir 2009*	with an impaired cardiac function in MRI.	Reason: All consecutive survivors
Examinations:		-cTnT (n=76), cut-off 0.03	Another four (5.3%) had an abnormal	who visited the outpatient clinic
February 2010 - June	Age at diagnosis:		NT-proBNP level associated with an	were included. Underlying cohort
2011.	Median 3.8 (range 0.0–	-high-sensitivity c1n1 (n=76), $cut-off 0.014 \text{ pg/m}$	abnormal cardiac function and risk factors	not described
	13.8) years	cat-off 0.014  Hg/me		
<u>Follow-up:</u>		ng/mL	cardiotoxicity. None showed measurable	B. Index test blas: Unclear
Time from end of	Age at follow-up:	-cTnAAbs (n=75) cut-off 100	different methods, with even the high	Reason: Blinding of Investigators
primary therapy	Median 14.3 (7.2–20.0)	counts or higher	sensitivity cTnT-levels remaining normal	not mentioned
(years) filedian 7.1 (range 5.0-18.0)	years	5		C. Reference test bias: Unclear
			Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity specificity	<u>C. Reference test blas</u> . Officieal
Time from diagnosis	Cancer treatment:	Outcome definitions	PPV NPV ROC)~	and sonographers for biomarker
median 9.0 (range 5.4-	100% anthracyclines	-FS <28% in 2/76 (2.6%)	NT-proBNP to detect 3D LVEE<50% on	measurements not mentioned
18.4) years	Cumulative anthracycline	-3D LVEF <50% in 10/75	echo	
	454)	(13.3%)	Sensitivity: 20% (95% Cl 4-56)	D. Verification bias: Low risk
	Chest RT: 10 (13%)	-MRI LVEF <55% or LVED or	Specificity: 97% (95%Cl 88-99)	Reason: Biomarkers and echo was
		LVES volumes >2SD from	Positive predictive value: 50% (95%Cl 9-91)	performed at the same visit.
	Cancer types	normal in 49/62 (79%)	Negative predictive value: 89% (95%CI 78-	
	Leukaemia 42 (55)		95)	E. Attrition bias: Low risk for echo.
	Solid tumour 34 (45)			High risk for MRI outcomes
	Relapse 6 (8)		NT-proBNP to detect LVEF<55% on MRI (in	Reason: Echo was performed in all
	Allogeneic stem cell		n=62)	patients
	transplantation 7 (9)		Sensitivity: 8.2% (95%Cl 3.4-8.2)	MRI only in 62 patients.
	Cardiac irradiation 10 (13)		Specificity: 100% (95%Cl 82.1-100)	
			Positive predictive value: 100% (95%Cl 41.7-100)	

Negative predictive value: 22.4% (95%Cl 18.4-22.2)
Troponins to detect 3D LVEF<50% on echo

\*Nir A, Lindinger A, Rauh M, et al. NT-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in infants and children: reference values based on combined data from four studies. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2009;30(1):3-8. doi:10.1007/s00246-008-9258-4

~Adopted from Leerink et al 2019 (3D LVEF) or calculated using <a href="https://statpages.info/ctab2x2.html">https://statpages.info/ctab2x2.html</a>.

## WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

Pourier et al. Values of high-sensitive troponin T in long-term survivors of childhood cancer treated with anthracyclines. Clinica Chimica Acta 441 (2015) 29–32

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design: Cross sectional study <u>Treatment era:</u> not reported <u>Follow-up:</u> median 8.3 (range 4.5–34.1) years Study conducted between February 2012 and September 2012	<u>Type and number of</u> <u>Participants</u> 64 survivors without heart failure symptoms, 38 Male (59%) <u>Age at diagnosis:</u> median 5.8 (range 0.3– 17.3) <u>Age at follow-up:</u> median 16.7 (range 7.2– 39.8)	Diagnostic test(s): High sensitive troponin T (Hs- cTnT), cut-off for abnormal 0.0135 ng/mL N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-pro- BNP). Cut-off: above sex and age adjusted normal values (adults: Fradley et al; children: Nir et al) 2. Outcome definitions	<ul> <li><u>Prevalence of LV dysfunction echo</u></li> <li>-Mean left ventricular shortening fraction (SF) was 34% (range 28 to 43%)</li> <li>-Mean ejection fraction (EF) was 61% (range of 48 to 74%).</li> <li>-Seven survivors had a mildly decreased EF between 48% and 55% (10.9%)</li> <li><u>Prevalence of abnormal biomarkers</u></li> <li><u>hs-cTnT:</u></li> <li>-0/64 abnormal (0%)</li> </ul>	Patients with heart failure symptoms were excluded. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : Low risk Reason: 67/75 survivors who visited between feb 2012 and sep 2012 were included. Underlying cohort not described. <u>B. Index test bias</u> : Unclear risk Reason: Blinding of investigators for reference standard was not reported.

Cancer treatment: Median anthracyclii 225 (range 85–450)Cancer types ALL: 24, AML:1, Ana T Cell lymphoma: 1, lymphoma: 2, Cystadenofibromat pancreas: 1, Rhabdomyosarcom Ewing sarcoma: 6, Hepatoblstoma: 1, Hodgkin: 8, Neuroblastoma: 4, osteosarcoma: 1 PN Wilms tumor:8, Yol tumor:1	LV dysfunction on 2D echocardiogram (biplane ejection fraction (EF) <55%) aplastic Burkitt osis a: 2, NHL: 3, IET: 1, k Salk	<ul> <li>-Values did not differ among different anthracycline dosage groups: ≤120, 120– 300 and ≥300 mg/m2.</li> <li><u>NT-proBNP</u></li> <li>-5/64 (7.8%) abnormal.</li> <li>-Only 1 also had mild LV dysfunction (EF 51%).</li> <li>Diagnostic values of NT-proBNP to detect LVEF&lt;55% on echo (adopted from Leerink et al 2019)</li> <li>Sensitivity: 14% (95%Cl 1-58)</li> <li>Specificity: 93% (95%Cl 83-98)</li> <li>Positive predictive value: 20% (95%Cl 1-70)</li> <li>Negative predictive value: 90% (95%Cl 79- 96)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>C. Reference test bias</u>: Unclear risk Reason: Blinding of investigators for index test was not mentioned.</li> <li><u>D. Verification bias</u>: Low risk Reason: time interval between biomarker and echo was not mentioned but the study was conducted within a one-year time period (feb 2012 – sep 2012).</li> <li><u>E. Attrition bias</u>: Low risk Reason: All patients received the same index and reference test</li> </ul>
Described under ou	tcomes		

WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?					
Leerink et al. Biomarkers to diagnose ventricular dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors: a systematic review. Heart, 2019					
Study design					
Treatment era	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks	
Years of follow-up					
Study design	Type and number of	Diagnostic test(s)	NT-proBNP studies (n=5, 575 patients, 61 LV	-3 studies did not exclude	
Systematic review	Participants	Blood biomarkers	dysfunction)	symptomatic patients and patients	
		-NT-proBNP	Variable cut-offs for abnormal (range 63 ng/L	on heart failure medication and	
			for males/116 ng/L for females to 125 ng/L for		

Eligibility criteria	8 studies (691 survivors)	-BNP	males and females). Other studies used age	one study excluded patients with
-childhood cancer	included	-Troponins	and sex specific cut-offs.	CAD <400 mg/m2
diagnosis <= 21 years		-Cut-off for abnormal as		-3 studies did not report
-treated with	Age at diagnosis	defined by the authors of	Diagnostic accuracy in 4 studies (n=539)	biomarker cut-offs for abnormal
anthracyclines	Median in studies 3.8-8.8	each study	Sensitivity range 14-22%	impairing the calculation of
->1 year after	years		Specificity range 88-97%	diagnostic accuracies
treatment		Outcome definitions	PPV range 13-50%	Risk of bias
-biomarker and echo	Age at follow-up	LV systolic dysfunction	NPV range 65-90%	A. Selection bias: Low risk
not more than 1	Median in studies 9.1-27.5	defined as an EF <50% or	No meta-analysis due to heterogeneity in	Reason: study cohorts were
	years	<55% and/or a FS <28%,	patient characteristics and biomarker/echo	of interest. However, most
-LV dystunction	Range all studies 3-48 years	<29% or <30% measured	cut-offs	survivors were <30 years old.
cut-off		with cenecaralography.		
	Cancer treatment		Troponins	B. Index test bias: Unclear
Treatment era	Median anthracycline dose		5 studies, 423 patients, 128 with LV	Reason: blinding of investigators
Not reported	in studies 165-480 mg/m2		dysfunction	who performed the echo for the
			Only 1 of the 5 patients with abnormal	biomarker values was not
Follow-up since	Prevalence/risk of		ng/ml)	reported in the included studies
anthracyclines	LV dysfunction			
Median in studies	Range in studies 0-36.8%		Diagnostic accuracy could be calculated for 1	<u>C. Reference test bias</u> : Unclear
ranged from 0.9-18.2			study (Kismet 2004). In other studies, no	Reason: blinding of investigators
years			patients with LV dysfunction AND abnormal	who performed the echo for the
			troponin were present.	biomarker values was not
			<u>Kismet 2004:</u>	reported in the included studies
			Sensitivity 50% (95% Cl 3-97%)	D. Verification bias: Low risk
			Specificity 91% (69-98%)	Beason: <1 month between
			PPV 33.3% (2-87%)	biomarker and echo in all studies
			NPV 95% (74-99%)	
				E. Attrition bias: Low risk
			BNP	Reason: within each study all
			1 study, 63 patients. Higher BNP values were	patients received the same
			present in CCS with a FS <29% compared with	reference standard. However,
			CCS with a FS >29% (32.4±34.9 vs 15.6 ±	between studies LV dysfunction

	12.4pg/mL, p<0.008) but no cut-off values or	cut-offs varied (EF<50 or <55% or
	contingency tables were provided.	FS <28%, <29% or <30%)

*Corella Aznar et al.* Use of speckle tracking in the evaluation of late subclinical myocardial damage in survivors of childhood acute leukaemia. The International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging (2018) 34:1373–1381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10554-018-1346-9

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Cross-sectional, retrospective study <u>Treatment era</u> 1991-2006 <u>Follow-up</u> Mean 16.97 ± 4.04 years	Type and number of Participants57 asymptomatic survivors of childhood leukaemia diagnosed > 10 yrs.ALL 52(91.2%), AML 5 (8.8%)Low tumor risk- 40 (70.2%)High tumor risk-17 (29.8%)Age at diagnosis <1 year: 2 (3.5% 1-5 yrs: 30 (54.1%) > 5 years: 25 (55.9%)Age at follow-up 22.56 $\pm$ 3.76 yearsCancer treatment Anthracycline < 250 mg/m2- 39 (68.4%)	Diagnostic test(s) -Two-dimensional echocardiography, tissue Doppler,longitudinal/ circumferential strain* -troponin-T and NT- ProBNP, no normal values reported Outcome definitions Lower limits of EF<52% in males and EF<54% in females (by M-mode and biplane Simpson's) Lower limits of global longitudinal strain (GLS) of < 16.9% in males and < 18.5% in females	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC)Biomarkers as predictors of myocardial damage-Troponin levels; all within the normal range (normal cut-off not reported)-Higher NT-Pro BNP associated with lower EF (r = - 0.49, p < 0.05) and GLS (R = 0.61, p < 0.05)NT- proBNP: Only 2 had values above normal, with a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 81.4% (normal biomarker cut-off not reported)LV Function -5.2% had reduction of EF ≥ 10%, with EF ≤ 53% since diagnosis (M-mode), -7% had EF values below normal (biplane Simpson's method),-10.5% had Tei index > 0.5. -LVEF reduction since diagnosis until the evaluation was significant (from 69.26 ± 5.9% to 58.8 ± 6.8%; p = 0.00)Abnormal GCS 8.8% -Only 4 patients with altered GLS had a reduced EF.	Diagnostic values for NT-proBNP to detect LV dysfunction (LVEF<52 males or <54 females) are high but biomarker cut-off for abnormal was not mentioned Risk of bias Selection bias: High risk From 84 survivors, 57 eligible Index test bias: Unclear Reason: blinding of sonographers and investigators for biomarker levels was not mentioned Reference test bias: Unclear Blinding of
	≥ 250 mg/m2- 18 (3.6%)			sonographers and

No RT: 43 (75.4%) HSCT: 10 (17.5%) Prevalence/risk of late effect Abdominal obesity- 11 (20.3%) Hypertriglyceridemia- 6 (11.1%) Low HDL- 10 (18.5%) Hypertension- 9 (16.7%) Hypergycemia- 1 (1.8%)	circumferential strain (GCS) of <15.4% Biochemical markers: Myocardial function: Troponin-T, NT-pro- BNP. Cardiovascular risk factors: glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-c, LDL-c and triglycerides.	was correlated with lower values of LV systolic function: EF (r = 0.82; R2 = 0.68), M-mode EF (r = 0.54; R2 = 0.34) and fractional shortening (FS) (r = 0.58; R2 = 0.41), excursion of the mitral annulus (r = 0.46; R2 = 0.3), S- wave velocity (r = 0.62; R2 = 0.53), and increase in the Tei index (r = - 0.71; R2 = 0.6). The decrease in the GCS was correlated with lower E-wave velocity (r = 0.51), higher Tei index (r = - 0.62), and isovolumetric relaxation time (r = - 0.46) and with decreased EF and FS (p < 0.05). Risk factors associated with subclinical myocardial dysfunction by 2-D strain Patients with high-risk leukaemia treated with RT or high doses of anthracyclines ( $\geq$ 250 mg/m2) had a higher risk of GLS alteration (OR 13.8, 7.6, and 7.19, p < 0.05) There was a linear correlation between doses of anthracyclines and the decrease of GLS (r = 0.68; p < 0.05). The strain and overall longitudinal strain rate were lower in those exposed to high doses than those receiving doses < 250 mg/m2 (I16.43 ± 1.9] vs. [22.23 ± 4.14], p < 0.05 and [1.09 ± 0.3] vs. [1.33 ± 0.41], p < 0.05). Prevalence of higher altered GLS in those receiving high doses of anthracyclines (43.7 vs. 12.9%, p < 0.05). No differences were found in strain and GCS rate (I20.73 ± 6.38] vs. [23.55 ± 4], p > 0.05). There was no age difference between survivors with abservers of a get these who set (22.51 ± 4.5 us 22.6 ±	biomarker levels was not mentioned <u>Verification bias</u> : Unclear Not mentioned if biomarker and echo was performed at the same time. <u>Attrition bias</u> : Low risk All patients received the same tests
--	--	--	---

	Survivors with metabolic syndrome (14.8%) had increased risk of abnormal GLS (RR 3.28; 95% IC 1.24– 8.68); obese (RR 3.26; 95% IC 1.21–8.7), with reduced HDL (RR 3.67; 95% IC 1.35–9.65) and hypertriglyceridemia (RR 4.57; 95% IC 1.88–11.1). In multivariable logistic regression, the risk of presenting altered GLS was increased in those treated with cumulative anthracyclines doses $\geq$ 250 mg/m2 (ORa 10.6; 95% CI 1.64–100.1; p = 0.017).
*Normal values according to ASE: Lang RM, Badano LP echocardiography and the european Association of Car	Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernade L et al (2015) Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by diovasular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 16:233–271

*Hayakawa et al.* Plasma levels of natriuretic peptides in relation to doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity and cardiac function in children with cancer. Med Pediatr Oncol. 2001 Jul;37(1):4-9. doi: 10.1002/mpo.1155. PMID: 11466716.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes~	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Single-center cohort study/cross-sectional study at time of first echocardiogram after treatment (Japan). <u>Treatment era</u> January 1994 to January 1999.	Type and number ofParticipants34 childhood cancerpatients (no furtherinformation on diagnosesprovided) treated withanthracyclines whocontinued to be incomplete remission.18 males/ 16 females.	Diagnostic test(s) ANP and BNP; an abnormal test result was defined as ANP > 26 pg/ml and BNP > 13 pg/ml (i.e. > mean + 2 SD of 19 healthy controls) (n=6; prevalence 17.6%*). Outcome definitions Pulsed wave doppler and	Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC) When the echocardiographic result is used as the reference standard*^: Sensitivity: 62.5% (95% CI 30.6 to 74.3) Specificity: 96.2% (95% CI 86.3 to 99.8)	Patients who received mediastinal radiotherapy, developed congestive heart failure or had other illness such as infection were excluded. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : low risk Reason: all 34 eligible patients were included.
Years of follow-up after last	Age at diagnosis Not reported	M-mode echocardiography (number of observers nm); an abnormal test	Positive predictive value: 83.3% (95% Cl 40.8 to 99.1)	<u>B. Index test bias</u> : unclear Reason: nm if outcome assessors were blinded.

anthracycline dose:		result was defined as LVEF	Negative predictive value:		
at least 1 month.	<u>Mean age at study</u> 11.5 years (range 0.7 to 21.7). <u>Treatment</u> Mean cumulative doxorubicin dose 315 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ; median 314 mg/m <sup>2</sup> (range 42 to 696).	<60% or LVSF <30% and if abnormal regional wall motion such as dyskinesis, hypokynesis or akinesis was detected (n=8; prevalence 23.5%). <u>Time between tests</u> All tests were performed at the same time.	89.3% (95% CI 80.2 to 92.7) Agreement between tests (i.e. either both abnormal or both normal): 30/34 (88.2%).	<ul> <li><u>C. Reference test bias</u> unclear Reason: nm if outcome assessors were blinded.</li> <li><u>D. Verification bias</u>: low risk Reason: tests performed at the same time</li> <li><u>E. Attrition bias</u> low risk Reason: all 34 patients had both tests</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>^ Since echocardiography is most often used to assess cardiac function in clinical practice, we have chosen the echocardiographic results as reference standard</li> <li>~ Calculated by the guideline developers based on information provided in the article (for the main outcomes we used the calculator on     <a href="http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html">http://statpages.org/ctab2x2.html</a>)</li> </ul>					

*Dixon et al.* Cardiac biomarkers and association with subsequent cardiomyopathy and mortality among adult survivors of childhood cancer: A report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort. Cancer 2020

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design:	Type and number of	Diagnostic test(s):	Diagnostic values	Patients with heart
Prospective cohort study with cross sectional biomarker	Participants 1213 adults >10 year from childhood cancer.	NT-proBNP: sex and age normal values* (n=273, 22.5%)	-Patients with grade 3-4 (symptomatic) cardiomyopathy were excluded for calculation of diagnostic values	failure symptoms were excluded.
assessment and follow-up for cardiac events/mortality	Excluded: congenital heart disease, chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60).	-Troponin T (cTnT) >0.01 ng/mL (n=5, 0.4%)	Troponin T 2/5 with abnormal cTNT had echo LVEF<53%	<u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : Low risk
<u>Treatment era:</u> 1962-2007	Previous cardiomyopathy (CTCAE grade >=2) in 8.6%	<u>Outcome definitions</u> -Echo 3D LVEF<53% (n=171, 16.4%)	NT-proBNP to detect 3D LVEF <53% on echo Sensitivity: 23% (95%Cl 17-29)	Reason: 88.6% of original cohort was included

	Coronary artery disease in	-Echo GLS >2SD above sex.	Specificity: 82% (95%Cl 80-85)	
Follow-up:	6.9%	age and vendor specific	Positive predictive value: 20% (15-26%)	B Index test hias. Low
At biomarker		means***(n=425, 39.8%)	Negative predictive value: 85% (82-87%)	risk
evaluation	Age at diagnosis:	-Diastolic dysfunction		Reason:
26.4 (IQR 19.9-33.8) years	8.7 (IQR 3.7-14.3) years	according to ASE** (n=222, 22.1%)	NT-proBNP to detect abnormal GLS on echo Sensitivity: 22% (95%Cl 18-26)	Echocardiograms were obtained in blinded
	<u>CV risk factors</u> Diabetes 9.9%, Hypertension 28.5%,	-Cardiac events: (CTCAE 2-4). -Cardiac mortality: ICD 9-10	Specificity: 83% (95%Cl 80-86) Positive predictive value: 47% (95%Cl 40-54)	C. Reference test bias:
	Dyslipidemia 14.8%	or review of death		Low risk
	Age at follow-up:	certificates	NT-proBNP to detect diastolic dysfunction on echo	Reason: Echocardiograms were
	At biomarker assessment		Sensitivity: 26% (95%Cl 20-32)	obtained in blinded
	median 35 5 (IOP 29 8-		Specificity: 84% (95%Cl 81-86)	manner
	42 5)		Positive predictive value: 31% (95%Cl 24-38)	
			Negative predictive value: 80% (95%CI 77-83)	<u>D. Verification bias</u> : Low risk
	Cancer treatment: 786 exposed to anthracyclines/chest RT		-Comparable results in an analysis limited to survivors exposed to cardiotoxic treatments	Reason: Biomarkers and echo were performed at the same baseline
	Anthracycline median dose 204 mg/m2 (IQR 152-342)		Association of treatment exposures with abnormal NT-	evaluation
	Chest RT dose 1-19.9 Gray 5.4%, 20-29.9 17.1%, 30+		-Age at diagnosis <5 years vs 15-20.9 RR 1.56 (1.14- 2.14)	<u>E. Attrition bias</u> : Low risk
	12%		-Attained age >40 vs 18-30 RR 1.10 (0.84-1.42)	Reason: Outcomes were
	Concertures		-Non-hispanic white vs other RR 1.18 (0.88-1.58)	assessed in all
			-Male vs female RR 1.28 (1.05-1.57)	participants.
	other leukemia 0.9%		-Anthracycline dose (0mg/m2=ref)	
	Hodgkin 19.6%, Non-		1-200 RR 1.39 (1.01-1.91)	
	Hodgkin 4.8%, CNS 14.6%,		201-350 RR 2.28 (1.74-2.99)	
	Wilms 7.5%,		>350 RR 2.99 (2.27-3.95)	
	Retinoblastoma 3.7%, Soft		-Chest RT dose (0 Grav=ref)	
	tiss sarc 5.3%, Neuroblastoma 4.9%,		1-19.9 RR 1.62 (1.07-2.46)	

Osteosarc 5.0%, Ewing	20-29.9 RR 1.68 (1.23-2.30)	
sarcoma 4.1%, other 5.4%.	>=30 RR 3.66 (2.89-4.64)	
	Association of CV risk factors and previous CMP with	
	abnormal NT-proBNP in multivariable model	
	Adjusted for Sex, race, Age at diagnosis, current age	
	-Diabetes yes/no RR 1.04 (0.73-1.41)	
	-Hypertension yes/no RR 1.06 (0.82-1.36)	
	-Dyslipidemia yes/no RR 1.02 (0.76-1.36)	
	-BMI (18.5-24.9=reference)	
	<18.5 RR 1.43 (1.02-2.00)	
	25-29.9 RR 0.61 (0.48-0.78)	
	>=30 RR 0.57 (0.44-0.75)	
	-Cardiomyopathy (no=ref)	
	Grade 2 RR 1.41 (1.02-1.94)	
	Grade 3 RR 2.59 (1.93-3.47)	
	Grade 4 RR 3.05 (1.94-4.80)	
	-Coronary artery disease (no=ref)	
	Grade 2-3 RR 1.54 (1.13-2.09)	
	Predictive value of NT-proBNP among survivors	
	without cardiomyopathy and normal LVEF at baseline	
	using poisson regression.	
	132 (24.7%) had abnormal NT-proBNP	
	Median FU 5.4 years, 72 cardiac events, 52 CMP, 15	
	deaths (4 cardiac)	
	Cardiac mortality higher in abnormal NT-proBNP group	
	(rate/1000 person years 2.93 vs 0.96, p<0.0001)	
	Any cardiac event rate 35.76 vs 24.56, p<0.0001	
	Cardiomyopathy rate 32.10 vs 15.98, P<0.0001	

	Adjusted HR of abnormal NT-proBNP for cardiac events	
	using Cox regression adjusted for age at diagnosis,	
	attained age, sex, race, BMI and CV risk factors	
	Any cardiac event HR 1.75 (1.04-2.94)	
	Cardiomyopathy HR 2.28 (1.28-4.08)	
	Cardiac mortality HR 3.31 (0.32-34.59) ns	
		Adjusted HR of abnormal NT-proBNP for cardiac events using Cox regression adjusted for age at diagnosis, attained age, sex, race, BMI and CV risk factorsAny cardiac event HR 1.75 (1.04-2.94) Cardiomyopathy HR 2.28 (1.28-4.08) Cardiac mortality HR 3.31 (0.32-34.59) ns

\*Fradley MG, Larson MG, Cheng S, et al. Reference limits for N-terminal-pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in healthy individuals (from the Framingham Heart Study). Am J Cardiol. 2011

\*\*Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17:1321-1360.

\*\*\*Takigiku K, Takeuchi M, Izumi C, et al. Normal range of left ventricular 2-dimensional strain: Japanese Ultrasound Speckle Tracking of the Left Ventricle (JUSTICE) study. Circ J. 2012;76:2623-2632.

#### WG2: What surveillance modality should be used?

*Pourier MS et al.* Myocardial 2D Strain During Long-Term (> 5 Years) Follow-up of Childhood Survivors of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Treated with Anthracyclines. Am J Cardiol 2020;127:163-168

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Diagnostic tests	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
1. Study designLongitudinal studyduring anthracyclineupto >=5 years aftertreatmentT0=beforeanthracyclinesT1=after 120mg/m2T2=1 year after endtreatmentT3= >=5 years afterend treatment2. Treatment era	1. Type and number of participants         ALL-suvivors ≥ 5 years after completion of anthracycline treatment (n=41)         Standard risk n=16         Medium risk n=23         High risk n=2         Exclusion: clinical heart failure, known cardiovascular disease or chronic kidney failure         Healthy controls n=70, age matched	1. Diagnostic test(s)         NT-pro-BNP (age and sex specific normal values according to Fradley et al)*         cTNT >0.01 ng/mL         echocardiography at rest         - conventional parameters         - strain (rate) parameters         Subclinical cancer         therapeutics related cardiac         dysfunction (CTRCD):	1. Diagnostic outcomes (sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, ROC)         -No diagnostic values reported         -cTNT abnormal in 1 patient (3.0%) at 1 year after treatment (T2)         -normal cTNT at >=5 follow-up in all survivors         -2/33 (6.1%) survivors with abnormal NT- proBNP at >=5 years follow-up (T3), LVEF not reported for these pts.         -No further decrease in LVSF and LVEF at 1-year after end of treatment (T2) through >5 years (T3)         -T3 vs T0: Relative reduction of 10% in GLS over total time in 54% (≥ 15%)	No diagnostic values of biomarkers reported. Troponins were not abnormal >=5 years from anthracyclines. No correlations between echo and biomarkers are shown. All echocardiographic parameters over time are shown in table 3. <u>Risk of bias</u> <u>A. Selection bias</u> : Unclear Reason: unclear how many of original cohort were included.
	-0	- Auuits.		

not indicated, presumably 2010- 2012. Included were survivors who visited late effects outpatient clinic between march 2016 and June 2018 <u>3. Follow-up</u> Median 9.7 (range 7.9-12.6) after diagnosis	2. Age at diagnosis Median 5.1 (range 2.2 – 16.9) years 3. Age at follow-up Median 15.1 (range 11.1 – 28.2.) years 4. Cancer treatment 100% anthracyclines Median cumulative dose 300 (range 120-300) mg/m2 0% radiotherapy 5. Prevalence/risk of late effect LVSF < 30% in 1/41 survivors	Relative reduction of 15% in GLS (global longitudinal strain) compared with baseline Children: Relative reduction of 10% in GLS (global longitudinal strain) compared with baseline, - Reduction of > 10% in LVEF	reduction in 40%) despite preserved LVEF (<=10% LVEF decrease). -All myocardial strain parameters decreased during anthracycline treatment and at late follow-up (T3 vs T2) (global longitudinal strain rate and global circumferential strain rate p < 0.001) -T3: Lower FS, GLS and GLS rate values in survivors compared to healthy controls (GLS p < 0.001 and GLSR p=0.008). LVEF and GCS were not different.	Only survivors who visited outpatient clinic were included. <u>B. Index test bias</u> : Unclear Reason: blinding not mentioned <u>C. Reference test bias</u> : Unclear Reason: blinding not mentioned <u>D. Verification bias</u> : Unclear Reason: time between echo and biomarkers not reported <u>E. Attrition bias</u> - Low risk Reason: all patients received the same tests <u>Additional items for change in EF F. Detection bias</u> – unclear, blinding not reported <u>G. Confounding</u> – unclear, no multivariable adjustment for EF
				<u>G. Confounding</u> – unclear, no multivariable adjustment for EF change but it is unclear if this biased the results.
*Fradley MG, Larson Mo healthy individuals (fror	G, Cheng S, McCabe E, Coglia n the Framingham Heart Stuc	nese E, Shah RV, Levy D, Vasan RS Iy). Am J Cardiol 2011;108:1341−	, Wang TJ. Reference limits for N-terminal- pro- 1345.	-B-type natriuretic peptide in

WG2: What surveillance modality should be used? WG3: At what frequency should surveillance be performed?

*Ehrhardt et al.* Cost-effectiveness of the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group screening guidelines to prevent heart failure in survivors of childhood cancer. J Clin Oncol 2020.

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Simulation model Treatment era Not stated, but includes all of CCSS, which is 1970-1999, and SJLIFE which includes 1962-2012 Follow-up, median (range) CCSS 21.1 (5-39.3) SJLIFE 27.2 (11- 53.2)	Type and number of participants24,297 CCSS and 3,010SJLIFE survivors used to inform risk for simulation modelDiagnoses All childhood cancer survivors in CCSS and SJLIFEAge at diagnosis, median (range) CCSS 7 (0-20.9) yrs SJLIFE 7.3 (0-24.8) yrsAge at follow-up, median (range) CCSS 28.6 (5.6-58.9) SJLIFE 35.1 (18.9-68.3)Cardiac symptoms Not reportedCardiac medications Not reportedControls (if applicable)	AnthracyclinesCCSS and SJLIFENone: 49.6% and 41.7%>0 to <100: 14.6% and	Outcome definitionsIGHG High: (250+ mg/m²; OR 35+ Gy; OR both100+ mg/m² and 15+ Gy)IGHG Mod: (100 to < 250 mg/m²; OR 15 to < 35	This is a simulation study, which has inherent limitations (i.e., assumptions for model inputs). 

1		
	NA	Sensitivity analyses showed stability of results for
		high- and low-risk across several model
		parameters (e.g. treatment efficacy), but much
		variability for the moderate-risk group.

# WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?

Border et al. Longitudinal changes in echocardiographic parameters of cardiac function in pediatric cancer survivors. JACC: CardioOncology 2020

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Study design Retrospective multicenter case-control study <u>Treatment era</u> 1991-2015 <u>Follow-up from cancer</u> to last echocardiogram Cases: <10 years 46%, 10+ years 54%. Mean 5.4±5.0. Controls: <10 years 58%, 10+ years 42%. Mean 6.2±4.4 years. <u>Follow-up from cancer</u> to first abnormal echo	Type and number of participants Childhood cancer survivors treated below age 21 and surviving for at least 1 year. Cases (n=50): FS<=28% or EF<=50% at two occasions with one measurement obtained after cancer treatment. Or at one occasion after cancer treatment when cardiac medications were initiated. Controls (n=50): individually matched to controls on 1) anthracycline dose and type, 2) chest RT dose, 3) follow-up duration, 4) age at cancer diagnosis and 5) sex. FS>=30 and EF>=55% at the same follow-up interval as the matched case. No cardiac medication.	Anthracyclines Cases: median dose 280 mg/m2 (IQR 200- 450) Controls: median dose 300 (IQR 200-450) <u>Chest RT</u> Cases: 44% Controls: 42% <u>Surgery</u> Not reported <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	Outcome definitionsLongitudinal changes in echocardiographicmeasurements before cardiomyopathy onset. 412echocardiograms in totalLV systolic function: FS, LVEF, mitral S' TDI, Septal S'TDI-LV diastolic function: Mitral E/A ratio, mitral E',mitral E/E' ratio, septal E', septal E/E' ratioLV combined: PW doppler derived MPI, TDI derivedMPI-LV size and geometry: Posterior wall thickness Zscore, LVEDD z score, thickness to dimension ratioMixed models modeling time as a categorical variableParameters that showed a significant differencebetween cases and controls at >2 years prior tocardiomyopathy onset-FS significant difference from 4-5.9 years prior, 3.1%difference (95%CI 0.7-5.5)	-Absolute values of echo parameters aswell as their slope of change over time are different already >2 years prior to cardiomyopathy onset compared to those who will not develop cardiomyopathy <b>Risk of bias</b> A. Selection bias: High risk Reason: case control study with no mention whether all cases from a specified cohort were included

Cases: <2 years 34%, 2-9 years 34%, 10+ years 32% Mean 6.4±5.3 years	Diagnoses Cases/controls Leukemia 28%/28% Lymphoma 22%/30% Sarcoma 28%/36% Other solid 22%/6% Age at diagnosis Cases: Mean 8.0±5.5 years Controls: Mean 7.2±4.6 years Age at follow-up (last echo) Cases: Mean 18.3±5.2 years Controls: Mean 17.2±4.4 years Treated for cardiomyopathy Cases 54%, controls 0%		<ul> <li>-LVEF significant from 2-3.9 years, 4.8% difference (95% Cl 1.5-8.1)</li> <li>-mitral E/A ratio, significant from 2-3.9 years prior</li> <li>-LVEDD significant difference from 4-5.9 years prior</li> <li><u>Mixed model: Differences in slope of change with</u> time modeled as a continuous variable and a case*time interaction (to estimate the slope differences) upto 2-years prior to cardiomyopathy</li> <li>-biplane EF showed a significant difference in slope of change over time between cases and controls</li> <li>-also significant differences in slope of septal E', septal E/E'</li> <li>-other showed no significant differences in slope over time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>B. Attrition bias: Low risk</li> <li>Reason: outcome was assessed in all patients</li> <li>C. Detection bias: low risk</li> <li>Reason: echo core lab was blinded for patient characteristics</li> <li>D. Confounding: Low risk</li> <li>Reason: well matched controls</li> </ul>
---	--	--	---	--

## WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?

Leerink et al. Refining the 10-year prediction of left ventricular systolic dysfunction in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. JACC: CardioOncology 2021

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
<u>Study design</u> Retrospective longitudinal follow-up of	<u>Type and number of participants</u>	Anthracyclines	Outcome definitions Left ventricular systolic dysfunction with an ejection fraction <40% during echocardiographic follow-up.	-results from echo during long-term follow-up improve

2 cohorts in the Netherlands Derivation: Amsterdam, Emma Childrens Hospital Validation: Nijmegen, RadboudUMC. <u>Treatment era</u> Derivation: 1966-1997 Validation: visited outpatient clinic between 2006 and 2012 <u>Follow-up years</u> At first echocardiogram (prediction time point) Derivation: median 16.74 (IQR 11.83-23.15) Validation: 16.95 (IQR 12.99-21.70)	Childhood cancer survivors without heart failure or LVEF<40% before or at first echocardiogram Derivation: n=299 Validation: n=218 Diagnoses Derivation/validation ALL 18.4%/32.6% AML 4.7%/6.9% Hodgkin 7.7/13.8% Non-Hodgkin 20.4/17.0% Nephroblastoma 15.4/6.4% Soft-tiss sarcoma 9.4/3.2% Ewing sarc 6.0/6.4% Osteosarcoma 8/0/6.0% CNS tumor 5.7/1.8% Germ cell tumor 1.3%/0.5% Neuroblastoma 0.7/4.1% Other 2.3%/0.9%	Derivation: 79.9%, median dose 280 (IQR 180-400) mg/m2 Validation: 98.2%, median dose 180 (IQR 150-301) <u>Chest RT</u> Derivation: 35.1%, median dose 25.00 (IQR 18.00-33.25) Gray Validation: 27.1%, median dose 20.00 (IQR 18.00-30.00) Gray <u>Surgery</u> Not reported <u>HSCT</u> Not reported	Cumulative incidence at 10-years follow-up with death as a competing riskDerivation cohort: overall 3.7% (95% CI 1.4%-5.9%)EF>=50% at first echocardiogram: 2.6% vs. EF 40- 49%: 11.0% (Gray's test p=0.012)Validation cohort: overall 3.6% (0.7-6.4%)Multivariable Cox proportional hazard models Model 1: anthracycline dose+chest RT dose (reference)Model 2: anthracycline dose+chest RT dose+LVEF at first echocardiogram (as continuous variable)EF per 10% decrease HR 9.62 (2.84-32.57)Anthracycline dose per 100 mg/m2 HR 1.43 (1.04- 1.98)Chest RT dose per 10 Gray HR 1.67 (1.21-2.30)Model 3: anthracycline dose+chest RT dose+LVEF at first echocardiogram (2 categories: EF 40-49 vs EF>=50)	prediction of subsequent CMP -identification of low- risk survivors in whom surveillance frequency can be decreased -low number of events +validation in independent cohort <u>Risk of bias</u> A. Selection bias: Low risk Reason: survivors included were treated with higher anthracycline doses. However, inverse probability weighted sensitivity analysis showed comparable
<u>Follow-up from first</u> <u>echocardiogram to the</u> <u>outcome or last</u> <u>echocardiogram</u> Derivation: median 10.90 (IQR 8.19-13.05) Validation: 8.86 (IQR 5.22-10.86)	Age at diagnosis Derivation: median 7.22 (IQR 4.01- 11.72) years Validation: median 7.02 (IQR 4.00- 12.46) years Age at follow-up (first echo) Derivation: median 24.06 (IQR 19.60-30.71) years Validation: median 22.63 (IQR 20.05-28.06) years		EF 40-49 vs EF>=50 HR 7.81 (2.07-29.50) Anthracycline dose per 100 mg/m2 HR 1.70 (1.22- 2.36) Chest RT dose per 10 Gray HR 1.91 (1.34-2.72) <u>Discrimination, reclassification and predictive values</u> <i>Derivation</i> Model 1 integrated AUC=0.74 (95%CI 0.55-0.84) Model 2 integrated AUC=0.87 (95% 0.71-0.98) Model 2 vs model 1 likelihood ratio test p<0.001 Net reclassification of cases: not significant	B. Attrition bias: High risk Reason: survivors with <2 echocardiograms were excluded during follow-up and were treated with lower anthracycline doses. However, IPW adjusted analysis

Modifiable cardiovascular risk	Net reclassification of non-cases: 0.50 (0.40-0.60) Predicted probability <=3% in 76.3% of survivors had	showed comparable results
factors	a negative predictive value of 99.5% (98.6-100%)	
Derivation chort		C. Detection bias: High
Hypertension 15 (5.0%)	Validation	risk
Dyslipidemia 4 (1.34%)	Model 1 integrated AUC=0.72 (95%CI 0.70-0.77)	Reason: Investigators
Diabetes 2 (0.7%)	Model 2 integrated AUC=0.86 (95% 0.83-0.89)	were not blinded
	Net reclassification of cases: not significant	
Heart failure medication use at first echocardiogram	Predicted probability <=3% in 74.8% of survivors had a negative predictive value of 99.3% (97.9%-100%)	D. Confounding: Low risk
Derivation: 4 (1.3)		Reason: multivariable
Validation: 3 (1.4%)	Sensitivity analysis to adjust for selection bias: inverse probability weighted analysis of having received echocardiographic follow-up showed comparable results.	adjusted analysis
	Cardiac medication use and modifiable CV risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia) were not associated with the outcome and did not attenuate the association of LVEF with the outcome.	

WG3: At what fr	WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?				
Getz KD et al. Occurrence of treatment-related cardiotoxicity and its impact on outcomes among children treated in the AAML0531 clinical trial: A report from the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 2018;37:12-21.					
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks e.g. risk of bias	
<u>Study design</u> Prospective study	<u>Type and number</u> of participants n=1022 Patients	<u>Chemotherapy</u> Daunorubicin and Mitoxantrone	Outcome definitions Primary outcomes included incident cardiotoxicity, Event Free Survival (EFS), and Overal Survival (OS).	Limitations: Enrolment did not include baseline EF or SF cutoffs	

-				
	<30y with AML		-Cardiotoxicity (LVSD)= ≥ grade 2 systolic dysfunction on echo	
Treatment era	(female 50.3%)	<u>Radiotherapy</u>	(resting SF<24% or EF<50%).	Moderate noncompliance
Aug 2006-June	starting course	-	-EFS= time from study enrollment until death, induction failure,	with cardiac monitoring
2010			secondary malignancy, or relapse.	over time, suggesting
	Diagnoses	Surgery	-OS=time to death.	underestimation of true
Follow-up	AML	Surgery		cardiotoxicity.
from entrance		-	Secondary outcomes included compliance with echo monitoring,	
Median	Age at diagnosis		relapse risk (RR), and non-relapse or non-induction failure–	Detailed information on
(range) 6 6v	$\frac{Age at ulagitosis}{0.1 \text{ where} - 207}$	<u>HSCT: n=158</u>	related mortality (NRM).	treatment
(0.98) for	(20.2%)			modifications (e.g., timing,
patients alive	(20.2%)		Results	specific agents, and dose),
at last contact.	2-10y: n=354		12% of nations experienced cardiotoxicity over a 5-year follow-	cardiovascular
	(34.0%)		up with more than 70% of incident events occurring during on-	management after LVSD,
	≥ 11: n=461		protocol therapy (on protocol= $8\%$ ). Median time to	and salvage therapies on
	(45.1%)		cardiotoxicity was 4.3 months (IOR 3.1-5.9).	relapse were not collected.
				Thus, we were unable to
	Age at follow-up		-Compliance with echo monitoring was modest: highest during	evaluate how cumulative
	Not reported.		induction Land intensification only 54% with completed echo	anthracycline exposure,
			induction rund intensineation, only 54% with completed ceno.	cardiac directed
				medications, and relapse
			<u>Mutivariable risk factor analysis for off-protocol (=long-term)</u>	regimens may mediate the
				association between LVSD
			- 622 patients completed protocol-planned therapy.	and EFS/OS.
			- 4.8% (n=30) had cardiotoxicity	Dexrazoxane use was not
			-Of those, 46.7% (n = 14) had cardiotoxicity first documented	captured but was likely
			during on- protocol therapy.	used in 10% of
			-LVSD on-protocol therapy HR 12.1 (4.22 to 34.8)	Patients.
			-Underweight HR 5.26 (1.23 to 22.5)	
			-Female sex HR 2.66 (0.91 to 7.83)	Risk of bias
			-Age at diagnosis (2-10 years as reference); 0-1 years HR 0.41	A. Selection bias: Low risk
			(0.05 to 3.61); >=11 years HR 1.37 (0.47 to 3.97)	Reason: AML trial with
			-Black vs white race HR 0.67 (0.13 to 3.51)	entry based on AML
			-Hispanic/lating HR 0.78 (0.16 to 3.70)	diagnosis

		-Cytogenetic risk group (intermediate vs low) HR 1.90 (0.56 to 6.44); high vs low not estimable. -Treatment arm: not significant	<u>B. Attrition bias:</u> High risk Reason: 643 of 1022 patients reached 5 years follow-up
		Multivariable risk factor analysis for overall cardiotoxicity (on and off-protocol) Overall, the incidence of LVSD was higher among noninfants (thus older age at diagnosis) and black patients, and in the setting of a bloodstream infection. <b>Both EFS</b> (hazard ratio [HR], 1.6; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.1; P = .004) <b>and</b> <b>OS</b> (HR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.2, P = .005) were significantly worse in patients with documented early treatment related cardiotoxicity.	<u>C. Detection bias: unclear</u> Reason: blinding not reported <u>D. Confounding: Low risk</u> Reason: multivariable models
		Impacts on EFS were equivalent whether the incident cardiotoxicity event occurred in the absence (HR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.1 to 2.2; P = .017) or presence of infection (HR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.0 to 2.7; P = .069) compared with patients without documented cardiotoxicity.	
		However, the reduction in OS was more pronounced for cardiotoxicity not associated with infection (HR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.5; P = .004) than for infection-associated cardiotoxicity (HR, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.7 to 2.4; P = .387).	

WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?						
<i>Temming et al.</i> Prevalence and predictors of anthracycline cardiotoxicity in children treated for acute myeloid leukaemia: retrospective cohort study in a single centre in the United Kingdom. Pediatr Blood Cancer 56:625-30, 2011						
Study design	Participants	Treatment         Main outcomes         Additional remarks				
Treatment era						
Years of follow-	ears of follow-					
up						

Study design         Type and         Chemotherapy         Outcome definitions         -Not	ot a very wide distribution of age due to
Retrospectivenumber ofAnthracyclines:Subclinical cardiotoxicity: shortening fractionDx.	
cohort. participants Dauno and Mitox (SF) <28% on 2D echocardiographyAnth	thracycline dose range similar across
Single centre≥ 1yr survivors(1:5 conversion)Clinical cardiomyopathy: heart failure symptomsAML	IL 10 and 12, unable to assess dose-
study. N=128, AML 10 trial: 550 mg/m2 in absence of sepsis. assoc	ociation
AML 10 trial: 60 AML 12 trial: 610 mg/m2 Not strictly by the AHA classification.	gher cumulative dose of anthracycline
Treatment era     (48.39%)     Amsacrine: 100 mg/m2.     Late cardiotoxicity: > 1 year after the end of     complexity	npared to other studies
1987-2004.     AML 12 trial: 68     first line treatment (clinical and subclinical)     -Echo	hocardiograms were unavailable for
(54.84%).	% of the patients
Follow-up Conditioning with total body Results	
Median: 7.3 (0- Data on late irradiation 8 (6.45%) Prevalence of late cardiotoxicity overall, was	
21.7) yrs Cardiotoxicity in 15/86 (17.4%; 95%CI: 10.9–26.8%) children.	Selection bias: low risk
86 patients. Non-relapse pts: 4.5% (95% Cl 1.5-12%)	ison: 124/128 (78.48%) childhood
1st remission: autologous 7 Time to CHF: 1.75 yrs (range 0.6-8.3)	vivors were eligible for the
Diagnoses (5.65%), allogeneic 12 (9.68%)	Attrition biost bigh risk
AML 100% 2nd remission: autologous 9 Multivariate logistic regression with late	Attrition blas: high fisk
(7.26%), Allogeneic 17 (13.71%) <u>cardiotoxicity as the outcome</u>	ison: the outcome was assessed in (124 (69 35%) of the study group
Age at diagnosis -Early cardiotoxicity Yes vs. None OR: 9.18	124 (09.55%) of the study group.
Median: 2.9 (2.10–40.11)	
(0.1–12.9) yrs -Female vs. Male OR: 1.36 (0.35–5.30)	ason: unclear if outcome assessors were
-Age (<4 vs. ≥4 yrs) OR: 0.76 (0.20–2.94)	Confounding: Low risk
Age at follow-up -Treatment intensity 2nd vs. 1 <sup>st</sup> line treatment	<u>comounding.</u> Low Hisk
Not reported. OR: 3.53 (0.86–14.48)	ison: Adjusted for age (<4 vs. >4 years),
genu	rany (1st line treatment alone versus
1st li	line treatment plus salvage therapy).

WG3: At what frequency should cardiomyopathy surveillance be performed?				
Lipshultz et al. Chronic progressive cardiac dysfunction years after doxorubicin therapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol 23:2629-36, 2005				
Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks

Study design	Type and number of	<u>Chemotherapy</u>	Outcome definitions	No multivariate regression analysis
Observational	<u>participants</u>	Median anthracycline dose:	Longitudinal trends in echo parameters (Z-	Use of Z scores
longitudinal cohort	278 full cohort	352 mg/m2 (range 45-550)	scores), stratified by anthracycline dose	
study	ALL survivors N=115		LV contractility (stress velocity index)	Risk of bias
		<u>Radiotherapy</u>	LVEDD	A. Selection bias: high risk
<u>Treatment era</u>	-Serial echos performed	None	LVPW thickness	Reason: 460 were eligible
Disease/cancer free	N=499		LV mass	
from 1989		Surgery only	LV fractional shortening	B. Attrition bias: low risk
	<u>Diagnoses (%)</u>	none	LV afterload (end systolic wall stress)	Reason: complete follow-up
Follow-up	ALL 100%		Thickness-dimension ratio	
Median 11.8 (range		<u>HSCT</u>	Blood pressure trends (systolic and diastolic)	C. Detection bias: low risk
8.3-15) years off	Age at diagnosis	Not reported		Reason: sonographer was blinded
therapy	Median 4.8 years (range,		Results	for clinical data
	1.0-19.0)		5 with late CHF	
			Trends in figure 2 per anthracycline dose	D. Confounding: high risk
	Age at follow-up		category (<300, 300-400, >400 mg/m2).	Reason: No multivariable analysis
	Not reported		LV contractility, LVFS significantly over time	of anthracycline dose
			and was depressed at last f/u in those who	
			received >300mg/m <sup>2</sup>	

## WG4: What should be done when abnormalities are identified?

*Silber et al.* Enalapril to prevent cardiac function decline in long-term survivors of pediatric cancer exposed to anthracyclines. J Clin Oncol 22:820-8, 2004

Study design Treatment era Years of follow-up	Participants	Treatment	Main outcomes	Additional remarks
Double-blinded RCT <u>Treatment era:</u>	-135 childhood cancer survivors (aged 8.3 to 30.6 years, 78 males, at least 4 years from diagnosis and 2	Oral enalapril once daily (n = 69) or oral placebo once daily (n = 66). Dosing of study medication was as follows: at start 0.05 mg/kg/day, escalation	Overall survival, mortality due to heart failure, development of <b>clinical heart failure</b> <b>and quality of life</b> : no (statistically) significant differences between treatment and control group.	<ul> <li>Risk of bias:</li> <li><u>Selection bias:</u> low risk, blinding and random allocation</li> <li><u>Attrition bias:</u> unclear, low risk for clinical outcomes, unclear how many</li> </ul>

	1			,
Unknown,	years off anthracycline	after 14 days to 0.10		were lost to follow-up for cardiac
probable end '70-	treatment).	mg/kg/day and escalation	Cardiac function: a post-hoc analysis	function outcomes
mid '90s	-asymptomatic decline of	at 3 months visit to 0.15	showed a decrease (i.e. improvement) in	- Detection bias: low risk, double
	cardiac function at some	mg/kg/day if no side	one measure (left ventricular end systolic	blinded RCT
Follow-up:	time after anthracycline	effects occurred	wall stress (LVESWS): -8.62%change)	<ul> <li>Performance bias: Low risk,</li> </ul>
Median (range)	exposure, defined as		compared with placebo (+1.66% change) in	Double blinded RCT
2.8 years (2 weeks	one or more:	-100% anthracyclines	the first year of treatment (P = 0.036), but	- Confounding: Low risk, RCT
- 6.1 years)	-FS<= 29%		not afterwards.	
, ,	-10% FS decrease			Since the authors did not present
	Cated nuclear angiography		Adverse events: patients treated with	dichotomous outcomes, we were not
			enalapril had a higher risk of dizziness or	able to define RRs for the outcome
			hypotension (RR 7.17, 95% Cl 1.71 to 30.17)	change in cardiac function; we
	-10% decrease in LVEF with		and fatigue (Fisher's exact test, P = 0.013).	therefore describe the outcomes as
	-a maximal cardiac index			presented in the original study.
	(MCI) of <=7.4 L/min/m2 on			
	cycle ergometry at peak			No correction for multiple echo
	exercise			outcomes tested.
	-ECG QTc interval >= 440 ms			
				Low/mod risk of solastion
	Primary cancer diagnosis:			performance and detection hiss. For
	Not described			most outcomes there was a low risk of
				attrition higs but for some outcomes
	Ago at primary concer			(the post-boc analysis of LVESWS other
	Age at primary cancer			narameters of cardiac function (SE and
	diagnosis:			stress-velocity index) the change in
	Enalapril: 7.2 (3-21.8) years			quality of life and the risk of adverse
	Placebo: 8.2 (0.3-19.3) years			events) intention-to-treat analysis was
				not possible or it was unclear if follow-
	Age at follow-up:			up was complete, leading to a possible
	Median (range) time since			risk of attrition bias for these other
	cancer diagnosis 9 (4.2 to			outcomes.
	22.3) years in the enalapril			
	group and 9.6 (4.3 to 25.8)			
	years in the placebo group			