## Conclusions of evidence from the systematic literature search for hypothalamic-pituitary dysfunction surveillance for CAYA cancer survivors

Who needs surveillance?		
Risk <u>GHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence	
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH (6,28,34,35)	
Increased risk after higher doses of cranial radiotherapy vs. lower doses	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ніGн (36-38)	
Unknown risk after different fractionation schedules	No studies	
No significant effect after different types of radiotherapy (proton vs. photon)	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (40)	
No significant effect of spinal radiotherapy vs. no spinal radiotherapy	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (35)	
No significant effect of <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy in addition to cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH (28,38)	
Unknown risk after <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy, without exposure to radiotherapy	No studies	
No increased risk after neurosurgery vs. no neurosurgery	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,28)	
Increased risk after higher number of neurosurgeries vs. lower number	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (34)	
Increased risk in <i>males</i> vs. females	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,38,40)	
No significant effect of neurofibromatosis type 1	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (28)	
Increased risk after hydrocephalus or CSF shunt vs. no hydrocephalus or CSF shunt	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,37)	
Increased risk after younger age at tumor diagnosis/treatment vs. older age	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,35,36,38,40)	
Increased risk after longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,36-38)	
Increased risk in <i>later treatment era (i.e., 2005–2010)</i> vs. earlier treatment era (i.e., 1980–1996)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (34)	
Unknown risk for different <i>ethnicities/races, histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, age at follow-up</i>	No studies	
Risk <u>GHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence	
Increased risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH (41-43)	
Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (8,44,45)	
Increased risk after total body irradiation vs. no total body irradiation	$\bigoplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (42,43)	
Unknown risk after different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy and spinal radiotherapy	No studies	
Unknown risk after chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy	No studies	
Unknown risk after brain injury vs. no brain injury	No studies	

No significant effect of different ethnicities/races	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
Increased risk after younger age at tumor diagnosis/treatment vs. older age	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8,44,45)
Increased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older age	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8,43)
Increased risk after longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (43,44)
Unknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles	No studies
Risk <u>TSHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
Unknown risk after different doses of cranial radiotherapy, fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy and spinal radiotherapy	No studies
Unknown risk after chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy	No studies
No significant effect after neurosurgery vs. no neurosurgery	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
Increased risk in <i>males</i> vs. females	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
No significant effect after hydrocephalus vs. no hydrocephalus	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
No significant effect of younger age at tumor diagnosis/treatment vs. older age	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
No significant effect after longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
Unknown risk for different ethnicities/races, presence of neurofibromatosis, histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at follow-up and treatment eras	No studies
Risk <u>TSHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
<b>Risk <u>TSHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)</b> Unknown risk after <i>radiotherapy to the head and neck region</i> vs. no radiotherapy	Quality of evidence No studies
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)         Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy         Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses	Quality of evidence No studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$ MODERATE (8)
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)         Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy         Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses         Unknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury	Quality of evidence No studies ⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (8) No studies
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)         Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy         Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses         Unknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury         Increased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicity	Quality of evidenceNo studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$ MODERATE (8)No studies $\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicity Increased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older age	Quality of evidenceNo studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus MODERATE$ (8)No studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus LOW$ (8) $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus LOW$ (8)
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicity Increased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older age No significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	Quality of evidenceNo studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus MODERATE$ (8)No studies $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus LOW$ (8) $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus LOW$ (8) $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus LOW$ (8)
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicityIncreased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older ageNo significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-upUnknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment	Quality of evidence         No studies         ⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (8)         No studies         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)         Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy         Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses         Unknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury         Increased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicity         Increased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older age         No significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up         Unknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment         Risk LH/FSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence         No studies         ⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (8)         No studies         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         Quality of evidence
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicityIncreased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older ageNo significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-upUnknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at tumor diagnosis/treatmentRisk LH/FSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	Quality of evidence         No studies         ⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE (8)         No studies         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         Ouality of evidence         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (34)
Risk TSHDIn CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicityIncreased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older ageNo significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-upUnknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at tumor diagnosis/treatmentRisk LH/FSHDIncreased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapyUnknown risk after different doses of cranial radiotherapyUnknown risk after different doses of cranial radiotherapy	Quality of evidence         No studies         ⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE (8)         No studies         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         Ouality of evidence         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (34)         No studies
Risk TSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapyIncreased risk after nigher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower dosesUnknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injuryIncreased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicityIncreased risk after younger age at follow-up vs. older ageNo significant effect of longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-upUnknown risk in males, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at tumor diagnosis/treatmentRisk LH/FSHD in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy Unknown risk after different doses of cranial radiotherapy, fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy and spinal radiotherapyUnknown risk after different doses of cranial radiotherapyUnknown risk after chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy	Quality of evidence         No studies         ⊕⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE (8)         No studies         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)         No studies         Quality of evidence         ⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (34)         No studies         No studies         No studies

	-
Unknown risk in males, different ethnicities/races, presence of neurofibromatosis, hydrocephalus, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment, ages at follow-up, follow-up durations and treatment eras	No studies
Risk <u>LH/FSHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. no radiotherapy	No studies
Increased risk after higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region vs. lower doses	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$ moderate (8)
Unknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury	No studies
Increased risk in <i>males</i> vs. females	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
Increased risk in patients with white ethnicity vs. non-white ethnicity	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
No significant effect of <i>longer follow-up</i> vs. shorter follow-up	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
Unknown risk after different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment and ages at follow-up	No studies
Risk <u>ACTHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (28,34)
Increased risk after higher doses of cranial radiotherapy vs. lower doses	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (39)
Unknown risk after different fractionation schedules	No studies
Unknown risk after treatment with different types of radiotherapy	No studies
No significant effect of <i>spinal radiotherapy</i> vs. no spinal radiotherapy	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (39)
No increased risk of <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy in addition to cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (28,34,39)
Unknown risk after <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy, without exposure to radiotherapy	No studies
No significant effect after neurosurgery vs. no neurosurgery	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (28)
Increased risk in <i>males</i> vs. females	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (28,34,39)
No significant effect of younger age at tumor diagnosis/treatment vs. older age	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (39)
No significant effect after longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (39)
Increased risk in <i>later treatment era (i.e., 1997–2007)</i> vs. earlier treatment era (i.e., 1985–1996)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (28)
Unknown risk for different ethnicities/races, presence of neurofibromatosis, hydrocephalus, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles and ages at follow- up	No studies

Risk <u>ACTHD</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Unknown risk after <i>radiotherapy to the head and neck region</i> vs. no radiotherapy	No studies
Increased risk after <i>higher doses of radiotherapy to the head and neck region</i> vs. lower doses	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (8)
Unknown risk after total body irradiation, different fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury	No studies
Increased risk after shorter follow-up vs. longer follow-up	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (8)
Unknown risk in males, different ethnicities/races, histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment and ages at follow-up	No studies
Risk <u>CPP</u> in CAYA cancer survivors (CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (6)
Unknown risk after different doses of radiotherapy, fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy and spinal radiotherapy	No studies
No increased risk of <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy in addition to cranial radiotherapy	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (34)
Unknown risk after <i>chemotherapy</i> vs. no chemotherapy, without exposure to radiotherapy	No studies
No significant effect after neurosurgery vs. no neurosurgery	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
Increased risk in <i>males</i> vs. females	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,34)
Increased risk after hydrocephalus vs. no hydrocephalus	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
No significant effect of younger age at tumor diagnosis/treatment vs. older age	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ LOW (6)
No significant effect after longer follow-up vs. shorter follow-up	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6)
Unknown risk for different ethnicities/races, presence of neurofibromatosis, different histologies/tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at follow-up and treatment eras	No studies
Risk <u>CPP</u> in childhood cancer survivors (non-CNS tumor)	Quality of evidence
Unknown risk after radiotherapy to the head and neck region, different doses of radiotherapy, total body irradiation, fractionation schedules, types of radiotherapy, spinal radiotherapy, chemotherapy with or without exposure to radiotherapy and brain injury	No studies
Unknown risk in males, different ethnicities/races, histologies/ tumor types, genetic profiles, ages at tumor diagnosis/treatment, ages at follow-up and follow-up durations	No studies

Abbreviations: ACTHD= adrenocorticotropic hormone deficiency, CAYA= childhood and young adult, CCP= central precocious puberty, CNS= central nervous system, GHD= growth hormone deficiency, HP= hypothalamic-pituitary, LH/FSHD= luteinizing hormone/follicle-stimulating hormone deficiency.

When should surveillance be initiated? At what frequency and for how long should surveillance be performed?		
Risk GHD in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
<ul> <li>Overall average latency time ranges from &lt;1 to 4.4 years, ranging from minimal 0.05 years to at least 15 years</li> <li>Average latency time <i>after tumor diagnosis</i> ranges from 1.4 to 4.4 years, ranging from minimal 0.05 to at least 11.1 years</li> <li>Average latency time <i>after start radiotherapy</i> ranges from &lt;1 to 3.96 years, ranging from minimal 0.9 to at least 4.3 years</li> </ul>	⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (6,35-37,45-51)	
Shorter latency time after higher doses of radiotherapy vs. lower doses	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (36,37)	
Cumulative incidence increases over time which does not seem to plateau	⊕⊕⊖⊖ MODERATE (6,8,28,34,36,37,45,49,51,5 3,54)	
Modifying factors of cumulative incidence unknown	No studies	
Risk TSHD in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
<ul> <li>Overall average latency time ranges from 1.8 to 5.1 years, ranging from minimal 0.02 years to at least 11.9 years</li> <li>Average latency time <i>after tumor diagnosis</i> ranges from 2.8 to 4.5 years, ranging from minimal 0.02 to at least 11.9 years</li> </ul>	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,47,49,52)	
Modifying factors of latency time unknown	No studies	
Cumulative incidence increases over time; presence of plateau can not be assessed	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,8,34,49,52)	
Modifying factors of cumulative incidence unknown	No studies	
Risk LH/FSHD in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
Average latency time <i>after tumor diagnosis</i> ranges from 4.5 to 10.2 years, ranging from minimal 0.2 to at least 11.6 years	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (6,47)	
Modifying factors of latency time unknown	No studies	
Cumulative incidence increases over time; presence of plateau can not be assessed	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,8,34)	
Modifying factors of cumulative incidence unknown	No studies	
Risk <u>ACTHD</u> in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
<ul> <li>Overall average latency time ranges from 2.5 to 7.0 years, ranging from minimal 0.01 to at least 8.7 years</li> <li>Average latency time <i>after tumor diagnosis</i> ranges from 2.5 to 6.6 years, ranging from minimal 0.01 to at least 8.7 years</li> <li>Average latency time <i>after the end of treatment</i> ranges from 2.9 to 7.0 years, ranging from minimal 0.75 to at least 7.5 years</li> </ul>	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,35,47,51,52)	
Modifying factors of latency time unknown	No studies	
Cumulative incidence increases over time; presence of plateau can not be assessed	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,8,28,34,49,51-54)	
Modifying factors of cumulative incidence unknown	No studies	

Risk <u>CPP</u> in childhood CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence
Average latency time <i>after tumor diagnosis</i> ranges from 3.1 to 3.8 years, ranging from minimal 0.1 to at least 8.8 years	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,47)
Modifying factors of latency time unknown	No studies
Cumulative incidence increases over time; plateau is not applicable	⊕⊕⊖⊖ LOW (6,34,53)
Modifying factors of cumulative incidence unknown	No studies
Order of occurrence of HP dysfunction in general	Quality of evidence
Order of occurrence HP dysfunction CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	See Figure 1
Order of occurrence of HP dysfunction in CAYA CNS tumor survivors with a tumor in the sellar and suprasellar region versus brain tumors elsewhere in the brain unknown	No studies
Order of occurrence HP dysfunction in CAYA non-CNS tumor survivors after brain injury unknown	No studies
What surveillance modality should be used?	
Diagnostic value of testing modalities to detect <u>GHD</u> in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence
Diagnostic value of IGF- I compared to GH dynamic testing to detect GHD in cancer survivors of pediatric age is moderate (sensitivity ranged from 47% to 80%, specificity ranged from 77% to 100%)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (55- 58)
Diagnostic value IGFBP-3 compared to GH dynamic testing to detect GHD in cancer survivors of pediatric age is moderate (sensitivity is 20%, specificity is 100%, AUC 0.617)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (55,56,58)
Unknown diagnostic value of height plotted in a growth chart compared to GH dynamic testing in cancer survivors of pediatric age	No studies
Unknown diagnostic value IGF-I or IGFBP-3 to detect GHD in adult cancer survivors	No studies
Diagnostic value of testing modalities to detect <u>TSHD</u> in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence
Correlation between nocturnal TSH surge and FT4 concentrations to detect TSHD is low	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (59,60)
Correlation between TSH peak after TRH test and FT4 concentrations to detect TSHD is low	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (59,60)
Correlation between TSH decline after TRH test and FT4 concentrations to detect TSHD is low	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW (59,60)
Diagnostic value of testing modalities to detect <u>LH/FSHD</u> in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence
Unknown diagnostic value of pubertal stage (according to Tanner stage), bone age, LH, FSH and sex steroids measurements to detect LH/FSHD	No studies
Unknown interobserver variability and likelihood performance for defining pubertal stages (according to Tanner stage) among health care providers from different specialities	No studies

Diagnostic value of testing modalities to detect <u>ACTHD</u> in CAYA CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
The agreement between morning cortisol and low dose-ACTH test to detect ACTHD in cancer survivors of pediatric age is poor (Agreement 63%, kappa 0.25)	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ very low (61)	
Diagnostic value of morning plasma cortisol versus dynamic testing (preferably ITT) for detecting ACTHD in adult cancer survivors	Existing guidelines	
Influence of steroid use on the testing results of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis	No studies	
Confounders which bias the testing results of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis	Expert opinion	
Diagnostic value of testing modalities to detect <u>CPP</u> in childhood CNS and non-CNS tumor survivors	Quality of evidence	
Unknown diagnostic value of pubertal stage and/or height velocity compared to LH, FSH, sex steroids, LHRH/GnRH testing, pelvic ultrasound and/or bone age	No studies	
Unknown diagnostic value of testes volume in boys treated with gonadotoxic therapy	No studies	
What should be done when abnormalities are identified?		
Potential harms of treatment of HP dysfunction in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence	
Suggestion for possible significant effect of GH therapy on the occurrence of secondary neoplasms	$\oplus \ominus \ominus \ominus$ VERY LOW (62-69)	
No significant effect of GH therapy on the occurrence of tumor recurrence	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE (62,65,66,68,70-73)	
Potential benefits of treatment of HP dysfunction in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence	
Improvement of final adult height, bone mineral density and cardiovascular and metabolic health, and quality of life (including fatigue) after treatment of GHD	Expert opinion, existing guidelines (74-79)	
Improvement of final adult height, metabolic health and quality of life (including fatigue) after treatment of TSHD	Expert opinion, existing guidelines (75,80)	
Adequate pubertal development and maintenance of secondary sex characteristics, fertility, bone mineral density, final adult height, psychological well-being (including sexual health) / quality of life after treatment of LH/FSHD	Expert opinion, existing guidelines (75,81,82)	
Prevention of adrenal crisis, and possibly mortality, improvement of fatigue and quality of life after treatment of ACTHD	Expert opinion, existing guidelines (75)	
Improvement of final adult height and psychological well-being/quality of life after treatment of CPP	Expert opinion, existing guidelines (83)	

*Abbreviations:* ACTHD= adrenocorticotropic hormone deficiency, CAYA= childhood and young adult, CCP= central precocious puberty, CNS= central nervous system, FT4= free thyroxine, FSH= follicle stimulating hormone, GHD= growth hormone deficiency, HP= hypothalamic-pituitary, IGF-I= insulin-like growth factor, LH= luteinizing hormone, SDS= standard deviation score, LH/FSHD= luteinizing hormone/follicle-stimulating hormone deficiency, TRH= thyrotropin releasing hormone, TSH= thyroid stimulating hormone, TSHD= thyroid stimulating hormone deficiency.