## Conclusions of evidence from the systematic literature search for subsequent CNS neoplasm surveillance for CAYA cancer survivors

What is the prognosis for subsequent CNS neoplasms and does early diagnosis result in better		
outcomes?		
Prognosis of subsequent CNS neoplasms in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence LOW <sup>10,28-30</sup>	
5-year survival rate of subsequent <i>glioma</i> ranges from 0% (high-grade	LOW10,25 30	
glioma) to 38·9% (low-grade glioma)	LOW <sup>6,10,12,28-33,49</sup>	
5-year survival rate of subsequent <i>meningioma</i> ranges from 57·3% to	LOW <sup>0,10,12,20</sup> 33,43	
100%	LOW <sup>8,25-27</sup>	
5-year survival rate of subsequent CNS neoplasms (different types)*	LOW <sup>6,23</sup> 27	
ranges from 16·6% to 69·9%	2 111 6 11	
Early detection of subsequent CNS neoplasms in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence	
Small, but non-significant differences between screened versus	VERY LOW <sup>24</sup>	
unscreened CAYA cancer survivors related to meningioma size, extent of		
resection and persistent morbidity	No studios	
Unknown recurrence rate, mortality rate, survival rate and quality of life	No studies	
when CNS neoplasm detected in smaller size or asymptomatic stage		
Who needs surveillance for subsequent CNS neoplasms?		
Risk of subsequent glioma in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence	
Increased risk after <i>cranial radiotherapy</i> vs. no cranial radiotherapy	HIGH <sup>7,10,27,34</sup>	
Increased risk after higher doses of cranial radiotherapy vs. lower doses	HIGH <sup>7,10,27</sup>	
No significant effect of <i>alkylating agents</i> vs. no alkylating agents	MODERATE 7,27	
No significant effect of <i>antimetabolites</i> vs. no antimetabolites	MODERATE <sup>7,27</sup>	
No significant effect of <i>intrathecal methotrexate</i> vs. no intrathecal	LOW <sup>27</sup>	
methotrexate	-	
No significant effect of 6-mercaptopurine or 6-thioguanine vs. no 6-	LOW <sup>7</sup>	
mercaptopurine or 6-thioguanine		
No significant effect of <i>epipodophyllotoxins</i> vs. no epipodophyllotoxins	VERY LOW <sup>7</sup>	
No significant effect of anthracyclines vs. no anthracyclines	LOW <sup>7</sup>	
No significant effect of <i>platinum agents</i> vs. no platinum agents	VERY LOW <sup>7</sup>	
No significant effect of chemotherapy (not further specified) vs. no	MODERATE <sup>10,34</sup>	
chemotherapy	LOW <sup>7,10,27,34</sup>	
Increased risk after younger age at primary cancer treatment vs. older	LOW',10,27,34	
age	NACDED A TE7 10 27 34	
No significant effect of gender	MODERATE <sup>7,10,27,34</sup> LOW <sup>27</sup>	
No significant effect of <i>hormonal replacement therapy</i> vs. no hormonal	LOW <sup>27</sup>	
replacement therapy	No studies	
Unknown risk in patients with <i>genetic syndromes</i>		
Risk of subsequent meningioma in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence HIGH <sup>7,12,27,35-41</sup>	
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	HIGH <sup>7,10,12,27,35,37,38,41,48-50</sup>	
Increased risk after higher doses of cranial radiotherapy vs. lower doses	LOW <sup>7,27,36,38</sup>	
No increased risk after <i>alkylating agents</i> vs. no alkylating agents Increased risk after <i>intrathecal methotrexate</i> vs. no intrathecal	VERY LOW <sup>10,27,37</sup>	
methotrexate	VENT LOW '	
No significant effect of <i>6-mercaptopurine or 6-thioguanine</i> vs. no 6-	VERY LOW <sup>7</sup>	
mercaptopurine or 6-thioguanine	VENT LOW	
No significant effect of <i>epipodophyllotoxins</i> vs. no epipodophyllotoxins	MODERATE <sup>7,36,38</sup>	
No significant effect of <i>epipodophyliotoxins</i> vs. no epipodophyliotoxins	MODERATE 7,36,38	
Increased risk after <i>platinum agents</i> vs. no platinum agents	VERY LOW <sup>7,36-38</sup>	
increased risk after platinum agents vs. no platinum agents	VERT LOVV	

No significant effect of chemotherapy (not further specified) vs. no	LOW <sup>35</sup>
chemotherapy	
Increased risk after <i>younger age at primary cancer treatment</i> vs. older	LOW <sup>12,27,35-38,49</sup>
age	
Increased risk in <i>females</i> vs. males	MODERATE <sup>12,27,35-38,49</sup>
No significant effect of <i>growth hormone replacement therapy</i> vs. no	LOW <sup>27,40</sup>
hormonal replacement therapy	
Unknown risk in patients with genetic syndromes	No studies
Risk of subsequent CNS neoplasms (different types)* in CAYA cancer	Quality of evidence
survivors	
Increased risk after cranial radiotherapy vs. no cranial radiotherapy	HIGH <sup>7-10,25,27,29,42-47</sup>
Increased risk after higher doses of cranial radiotherapy vs. lower doses	HIGH <sup>8,25,27,42</sup>
No significant effect of alkylating agents vs. no alkylating agents	MODERATE <sup>8,27</sup>
No significant effect of intrathecal methotrexate vs. no intrathecal	LOW <sup>27</sup>
methotrexate	
No significant effect of anthracyclines vs. no anthracyclines	LOW <sup>8</sup>
Unknown risk after <i>epipodophyllotoxins</i>	No studies
Unknown risk after <i>platinum agents</i>	No studies
No significant effect of chemotherapy (not further specified) vs. no	VERY LOW <sup>9</sup>
chemotherapy	
Increased risk after younger age at primary cancer treatment vs. older	LOW <sup>8,25,27,29,45</sup>
age	
Increased risk in <i>females</i> vs. males	LOW 8,27,45
No significant effect of hormonal replacement therapy vs. no hormonal	LOW <sup>27</sup>
replacement therapy	
Increased risk in patients with <i>neurofibromatosis</i> vs. no fibromatosis	VERY LOW <sup>9</sup>
No significant effect of hereditary cancer predisposition syndromes other	VERY LOW <sup>9</sup>
than neurofibromatosis vs. no genetic syndrome	
At what age or time from exposure should surveillance for subsequent CI	NS neoplasms be initiated?
Latency time of subsequent CNS neoplasms in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence
Latency time of <i>glioma</i> ranges from median 7 to 17 years after primary	MODERATE <sup>7,10,28,29,32,36,43,5</sup>
cancer diagnosis, ranging from minimal 4 years to at least 25.5 years	3,55
Latency time of <i>meningioma</i> ranges from median 11 to 27 years after	MODERATE <sup>6,7,10,12,28,29,32,33</sup> ,
primary cancer diagnosis, ranging from minimal 5 years to at least 44·5	36,37,42,43,47,49,50,52,53,57
years	
Latency time of subsequent CNS neoplasms (different types)* ranges from	MODERATE <sup>8,25,29,30,38,42,43,4</sup>
median 7 to 25 years after primary cancer diagnosis, ranging from	5-47,52-55
minimal 1 year to at least 33 years	
At what frequency should surveillance for subsequent CNS neoplasms be	performed?
Risk of subsequent CNS neoplasms over time in CAYA cancer survivors	Quality of evidence
Cumulative incidence of high-grade glioma increased over time and	VERY LOW <sup>29</sup>
reaches a plateau 14 years after primary cancer diagnosis	
Standardized incidence ratio of glioma decreases over time	MODERATE <sup>7,10</sup>
Cumulative incidence of <i>meningioma</i> increases over time which does not	MODERATE <sup>12,27,29,37,43,48,50,</sup>
seem to plateau	57
Standardized incidence ratio of <i>meningioma</i> increases over time which	LOW 35
1	•
does not seem to plateau	
does not seem to plateau  Cumulative incidence increases of subsequent CNS neoplasms (different types)* over time which does not seem to plateau	MODERATE <sup>8,25,32,36,45,47</sup>

Standardized incidence ratio of subsequent CNS neoplasms (different	LOW <sup>8,45,46,56</sup>	
types)*; presence of plateau cannot be assessed		
Unknown growth rate of glioma, meningioma and other CNS neoplasms	No studies	
What surveillance modality should be used for the detection of subsequent CNS neoplasms?		
Diagnostic value of imaging techniques to detect subsequent CNS	Quality of evidence	
neoplasms in CAYA cancer survivors		
neoplasms in CAYA cancer survivors  Unknown diagnostic value computed tomography (CT) scan compared to	No studies	
	No studies	
Unknown diagnostic value computed tomography (CT) scan compared to	No studies	

CAYA, childhood, adolescent and young adult, CNS, central nervous system.

\* Studies including different types of subsequent CNS neoplasms, not making a distinction between glioma, meningioma and other types.